IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

DAN McCALEB, Executive Editor of THE CENTER SQUARE,))	
Plaintiff,))	
v.	Case No. 3:22	2-cv-00439
MICHELLE LONG, in her official capacity as DIRECTOR of the	Judge Richai	rdson
TENNESSEE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS,	Magistrate J	udge Frensley
Defendant.)	

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff Dan McCaleb, Executive Editor of The Center Square, files this Motion for Summary Judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and LR 56.01. Plaintiff McCaleb moves for summary judgment against Defendant Michelle Long, in her official capacity as Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts ("AOC").

Accompanied with this Motion for Summary Judgment is a supporting Memorandum of Law as well as a Rule 56.01 Statement, which provides the Court with a concise statement of the material facts as to which Plaintiff McCaleb contends there is no genuine issue for trial.

Moreover, McCaleb attaches the following exhibits to this Motion for Summary Judgment as follows:

- Exhibit 1, Dan McCaleb Deposition
- Exhibit 2, Michelle Long Deposition
- Exhibit 3, Michelle Consiglio-Young Deposition
- Exhibit 4, May 20, 2016, Public Meeting Notice
- Exhibit 5, [Proposed] Permanent Injunction

In further support of his Motion for Summary Judgment, McCaleb submits:

- 1. His First Amended Complaint (ECF No. 19) against Defendant Long sought prospective injunctive relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983 and the First Amendment.
- 2. This included a request for a permanent injunction to access meetings of the Tennessee Advisory Commission on the Rules of Practice & Procedure ("Advisory Commission"), created by Tenn. Code Ann. §16-3-601.
- 3. As more fully set forth in his Memorandum of Law, Defendant Long oversees the AOC, which provides administrative support to the Advisory Commission. This support includes posting public notice of meetings on the AOC's website.
- 4. Moreover, in the past the AOC posted public notice on its website of upcoming Advisory Commission meetings. But a member of the public verbally disrupted a 2018 meeting. And after this incident, the AOC instituted a practice of no longer posting public notice of Advisory Commission meetings, and Defendant Long has continued this practice during her tenure as Director of the AOC.
- 5. But the AOC's practice of not posting public notice to Advisory Commission meetings limits the stock of information on the state court rulemaking process from

which members of the public may draw. And the First Amendment attaches to meetings under the *Richmond Newspapers*' "experience and logic" test.

RELIEF REQUESTED

For these reasons, Plaintiff Dan McCaleb requests that the Court grant his Motion for Summary Judgment and enter a permanent injunction, ordering Defendant Long and the AOC to provide public access to meetings of the Advisory Commission. McCaleb is submitting a proposed permanent injunction for the Court's consideration.

Additionally, McCaleb reserves the right to move for reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988 at the applicable time in accordance with the Federal and Local Rules.

December 15, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ M. E. Buck Dougherty III
M. E. Buck Dougherty III, TN BPR #022474
James McQuaid, Pro Hac Vice
LIBERTY JUSTICE CENTER
440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200
Chicago, Illinois 60654
312-637-2280-telephone
312-263-7702-facsimile
bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org
jmcquaid@libertyjusticecenter.org

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Dan McCaleb, Executive Editor of The Center Square

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, counsel for Plaintiff, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served on the following counsel of record, via the Court's ECF system by email on this 15th day of December 2023:

JONATHAN SKRMETTI

Office of the Attorney General & Reporter Public Interest Division P.O. Box 20207 Nashville, Tennessee 37202-0207

Andrew C. Coulam Michael M. Stahl Robert W. Wison andrew.coulam@ag.tn.gov michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov robert.wilson@ag.tn.gov

Attorneys for Defendant AOC Director Michelle Long

Donna Green Cody Brandon Liz Evan donna.green@ag.tn.gov cody.brandon@ag.tn.gov liz.evan@ag.tn.gov

Attorneys for Non-Party Tennessee Supreme Court Justices

/s/ M.E. Buck Dougherty III

Exhibit

1

McCALEB

VS.

LONG

DAN MCCALEB
October 13, 2023



Page 3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 1 FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE STIPULATIONS 2 NASHVILLE DIVISION 3 3 4 The videoconference deposition of DAN McCALEB, Executive Editor 5 DAN McCALEB was taken by counsel for the 4 of THE CENTER SQUARE, 5 Plaintiff, 6 Defendants, by Notice, with all participants Case No. 3:22-cv-00439 7 appearing at their respective locations, on 6 vs. Judge Richardson 8 October 13, 2023, for all purposes under the MICHELLE LONG, in her 9 Tennessee Rules of Civil Procedure. official capacity as Magistrate Judge 8 DIRECTOR of the TENNESSEE Frensley 10 All objections, except as to the form of ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF 11 the question, are reserved to the hearing, and said THE COURTS. 10 Defendant. 12 deposition may be read and used in evidence in said 13 cause of action in any trial thereon or any 11 12 14 proceeding herein. 13 15 It is agreed that Deborah H. Honeycutt, 14 Videoconference Deposition of: Notary Public and Licensed Court Reporter for the 15 DAN McCALEB 16 16 Taken on behalf of the Defendants 17 State of Tennessee, may swear the witness remotely, October 13, 2023 17 18 and that the reading and signing of the completed Commencing at 11:57 a.m. 19 deposition by the witness is waived. 18 20 19 2.0 21 21 22 22 23 Deborah H. Honeycutt, LCR, Associate Reporter 23 Lexitas Legal TENNESSEE 2.4 24 1015 Avery Park Circle Smyrna, TN 37167 25 25 (615)595-0073 Page 2 Page 4 1 1 2 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiff: 3 4 THE REPORTER: Good morning. My name is MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III 5 Deborah Honeycutt. I am a stenographic reporter MR. JAMES McQUAID 5 with Lexitas Legal TENNESSEE. My license number is 6 Attornevs at Law Liberty Justice Center 6 472. 7 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 7 Today's date is October 13, 2023, and Chicago, Illinois 60654 8 (312)637-2280 8 the time is approximately 11:57 a.m. Central time. bdoughertv@libertvjusticecenter.org. 9 This is the deposition of Dan McCaleb in 9 jmcquaid@libertyjusticecenter.org 10 the matter of Dan McCaleb, Executive Editor of The 11 Center Square vs. Michelle Long, in her official For the Defendants: capacity as Director of the Tennessee Administrative 12 MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL 13 Office of the Courts, filed in the District Court 13 Attorney at Law for the Middle District of Tennessee. Nashville Senior Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 20207 15 Division. The Case Number is 3:22-cv-00439. Nashville, Tennessee 37202-0207 16 This deposition is being taken by (615)253-5463 15 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov 17 videoconference, and the oath will be administered 16 remotely by me. Any digital exhibits marked during 18 17 Also present: 19 this deposition will be deemed as "original" for 18 20 purposes of said deposition. MS. BRIDGET CONLAN, Liberty Justice Center 21 At this time, I will ask counsel to 19 2.0 22 identify yourselves and state whom you represent. 21 23 If you have any objections with the procedures I've 22 23 outlined, please state so when you introduce 24 yourself. We will start with the noticing attorney. 25

Page 8

Page 5 MR. STAHL: Michael Stahl, representing

Michelle Long, the defendant.

3 MR. DOUGHERTY: Buck Dougherty 4 representing the plaintiff, Dan McCaleb. And just one objection for the record. I don't recall this

6 being noticed as a video-recorded deposition which I 7 believe is required under the Rules. We're going to

reserve the right to raise that if it's to be

9 recorded, but I just want to get that for the 10 record.

THE REPORTER: I am recording that for 12 my purposes only, Mr. Dougherty.

MR. DOUGHERTY: Thank you.

MR. McQUAID: And James McQuaid also for

15 Plaintiff. Dan McCaleb.

16 17 18

11

13

14

1

2

DAN McCALEB

* * *

19 was called as a witness, and after having been duly 20 sworn, testified as follows:

21 22

EXAMINATION

23 QUESTIONS BY MR. STAHL:

24 Okay. Mr. McCaleb, we'll begin. Can you

25 state your name for the record. 1 free to let me know, please. If you don't

understand something or need clarification, I can

3 definitely help you with that. It's best if you let

me finish my question before you start to give your

answer for a couple of reasons. One, so that you

6 know the question that I'm asking and then, two, so

7 that the court reporter can be sure to get down what

everyone is saying because if people start talking

9 over each other, the transcript just gets a little

10 bit messy.

11 In that vein, it's also important that you

12 give verbal responses. So sometimes during

13 depositions people will shake their heads or give

14 uh-huh or huh-uh answers. And, again, that's hard

for the transcript to reflect. So please do your

16 best to give verbal responses.

17 If you need a break, that's perfectly fine.

Just let me know. We can take a break. I don't 18

19 think this will take all that long, but if at any

time you feel like you need a break, that's

21 perfectly fine. You can let me know or let your

22 attorney know. The only thing that I ask is that if

23 I have asked you a question already, that you answer

24 that question before we go on break. Does that

25 sound good?

Page 6

A. Dan McCaleb. 1

2 Q. And you understand that you're under oath

3 today, sir, right?

4 Α. I do, yes.

5 Q. And are you represented by counsel?

6 Α. I am.

7 Q. And who is that?

8 Α. Liberty Justice Center, Buck Dougherty,

9 Mr. Buck Dougherty, lead counsel.

Okay. Thank you. Have you ever given a 10

11 deposition or provided testimony in a court case

12 before?

13 Α. I gave one deposition many, many years ago,

14 18 or 19 years ago.

15 Q. What was that case? Do you remember?

The case name or number I don't remember. I 16 Α.

remember some very few details but no. 17

Well, let me just go over some procedures so 18

19 that we are on the same page since it's been a

20 while. The first is that if you can't hear me or

you don't understand a question please let me know.

22 It's really important that you understand the

23 questions that I'm asking before you give your

answer. So if that comes up, if there's technology

issues because we're remote or whatever, just feel

Α. 1 Yes.

> 2 Q. Great. As far as your mental condition

3 today, is there reason why you believe you can't

4 give full and complete answers?

5 Α.

6 Q. Are you under any medication or substances

7 that would impair your ability to give honest

8 testimony?

9 A. No.

Q. 10 Have you talked with anyone in preparation

for today's deposition?

Α. 12 My attorney.

Q. 13 Anyone else?

14 Α. No.

15 Q. Have you reviewed anything in preparation for

16 today's deposition?

17 Α. I have reviewed some of our filings, our

18 amended lawsuit, and my declarations.

19 Q. And Buck mentioned before we went on the

20 record that you didn't have anything with you today

21 but I'll just ask again for the transcript. Did you

22 bring anything with you today?

23 I brought printouts of what I just mentioned

24 but I don't have them on me here, no.

25 Q. Okay. Let's just go through some

- 1 biographical information. What's your address?
- 2 A. My personal address is 726 Windsor Drive,
- 3 Crystal Lake, Illinois.
- 4 Q. So you're a resident of Illinois then?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Do you have any other addresses in any other
- 7 states?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Are you a resident of any other state?
- 10 **A.** No.
- 11 Q. What is your occupation?
- 12 A. Journalist.
- 13 Q. How long have you been doing that?
- 14 A. More than 30 years.
- 15 Q. Are you an independent journalist, or do you
- 16 work for someone?
- 17 A. I work for The Center Square.
- 18 Q. Okay. And how long have you worked for them?
- 19 A. Since 2017, although we weren't known as The
- 20 Center Square in 2017.
- 21 Q. What were you known as in 2017?
- 22 A. Illinois News Network.
- 23 Q. And when you say that you're now known as The
- 24 Center Square, was that because you changed your
- 25 business license or just the name or what

- 1 that this lawsuit would apply to the entirety of the
- 2 public?
- 3 A. The press and the public.
- 4 Q. Okay. The caption in the lawsuit says that
- 5 you are the plaintiff as executive editor of The
- 6 Center Square. So is it your understanding that you
- 7 are filing this suit as a private citizen or as a
- 8 member of the press?
- 9 A. As a member of the press.
- 10 Q. Have you reviewed all the pleadings in this
- 11 case?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Do you agree with everything that's been
- 14 filed on your behalf?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. Why did you initiate this lawsuit?
- 17 A. As a 30-plus-year journalist I believe in
- 18 open government. When I learned that this was
- 19 closed, I asked the question, what are they hiding,
- 20 as I would in any situation. So I looked into it
- 21 further and thought it was appropriate to get the
- 22 meetings open.
- 23 Q. When you say you learned that they were
- 24 closed, how did you learn that they were closed?
- 25 A. I think my first information about it had to

- 1 precipitated the change from the Illinois News
- 2 Network to Center Square?
- 3 A. Well, in 2017 when I joined, we were covering
- 4 just Illinois only. And then we expanded our
- 5 coverage to across the country and Illinois News
- 6 Network didn't work for Tennessee or Pennsylvania,
- 7 for example.
- 8 Q. Okay. Before you worked for Illinois News
- 9 Network, where did you work?
- 10 A. I worked for Shaw Media.
- 11 Q. Was that also in Tennessee -- or in Illinois?
- 12 A. Yes, Illinois. I was in Illinois. Illinois
- 13 and lowa, yes.
- 14 Q. All right. Do you know why we are here
- 15 today?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What is your understanding of why we're here
- 18 today?
- 19 A. I am giving a deposition in a lawsuit against
- 20 Defendant Michelle Long.
- 21 Q. And what is the purpose of that lawsuit?
- 22 A. To open up the Bench Bar Advisory Commission
- 23 in Tennessee to public scrutiny, essentially.
- 24 Q. When you say public scrutiny, are you
- 25 limiting that to press or is it your understanding

- 1 do with Ms. Long's statement or a policy position
- 2 that she put out that had to do with concerns about
- 3 safety and security and closing -- was it the
- 4 Tennessee Judicial Conference? I started looking
- 5 into it after that.
- 6 Q. So you believe that you saw a policy from
- 7 Michelle Long that indicated that the Tennessee
- 8 Rules Advisory Commission on Practice and Procedure
- 9 were closed to the public?
- MR. DOUGHERTY: Object to the form of
- 11 the question.
- 12 BY MR. STAHL:
- 13 Q. You can answer.
- 14 A. Okay. No. No. Initially it was the
- 15 Tennessee Judicial Conference, I think is what the
- 16 name of it is. I think it came from Michelle Long,
- 17 yes.
- 18 Q. But that was a policy that you saw that
- 19 indicated that the Judicial Conference was closed to
- 20 members of the public?
- 21 A. I don't know if you'd call it a policy or a
- 22 rule or whatever but the Judicial Conference was
- 23 closed.
- 24 Q. Well, what would you call it?
- 25 A. I guess if they're acting on the policy, I'd

- 1 call it a rule that was put in place.
- 2 Q. And where did you see this rule?
- 3 A. On the Tennessee judicial website,
- 4 tncourts.gov, I believe it is.
- 5 Q. When was the last time you saw this policy?
- 6 Or the rule?
- 7 A. When I reviewed my filings, I believe.
- 8 Q. When did you review those filings?
- 9 A. Over the course of this week.
- 10 Q. So within the past week you saw a policy or a
- 11 rule on the Tennessee Judicial website from Michelle
- 12 Long that said the Tennessee Judicial Conference was
- 13 closed to members of the public?
- 14 MR. DOUGHERTY: Object to the form of
- 15 the question.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I have reviewed a lot of
- documents in the past week, so I guess I can't state
- 18 specifically. I definitely read something related
- 19 to concerns over security and safety for members of
- 20 the Tennessee Judicial Conference.
- 21 BY MR. STAHL:
- 22 Q. Was this lawsuit filed in the last week?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Do you remember when you filed this lawsuit?
- 25 A. June of 2022.

- Q. Okay. So what caused you to believe prior to
- 2 June of 2022 that the Tennessee Commission on the
- 3 Rules of Practice and Procedure were closed to the
- 4 public?

1

- 5 MR. DOUGHERTY: Object to the form of
- 6 the question.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I want to say it started
- 8 with that, with -- with -- I'm sorry -- with the --
- 9 the policy or the rule from Ms. Long.
- 10 BY MR. STAHL:
- 11 Q. So, just to be clear, prior to June of 2022,
- 12 you saw a policy or a rule on the Tennessee Judicial
- 13 website from Ms. Long saying that the Tennessee
- 14 Judicial Commission was closed to the public and you
- 15 assumed that the Tennessee Rules of Practice and
- 16 Procedure Commission was also closed to the public?
- 17 A. No, I did not assume that.
- 18 Q. So what made you file this lawsuit to open
- 19 the meetings of the Tennessee Advisory Commission on
- 20 the Rules of Practice and Procedure?
- 21 A. I started looking into it further and one of
- 22 the things I recall saying is that this, semantics,
- 23 you call it the Advisory Commission, Bench Bar
- 24 Committee, that they were supposed to meet
- 25 periodically. But I did not -- I couldn't find

- anywhere on this judicial website any advisories of
- 2 any meetings and so that drew more suspicion from
- 3 **me**.
- 4 Q. Okay. So you said you looked into it further
- 5 and you said that you didn't see any notices
- 6 indicating that the Commission meetings were open to
- 7 the public; is that right?
- 8 A. Correct. Or -- yes, correct. But if memory
- 9 serves, I didn't even see any -- not just open to
- 10 the public, but any schedules of meetings.
- 11 Q. Okay. And why does the lack of scheduled
- 12 meetings indicate that meetings aren't open to the
- 13 public?
- 14 A. Well, experience in 30 years of journalism.
- 15 I have gone to a lot of government websites. I have
- 16 attended a lot of government meetings. And if
- 17 meetings are open to the public, there's generally
- 18 supposed to be public notice.
- 19 Q. So you say generally. Does that mean there
- 20 are instances when that's not the case?
- 21 A. The public meetings I think there needs to be
- 22 public notice.
- 23 Q. So when there wasn't public notice that you
- 24 could find about the Tennessee Commission on the
- 25 Rules of Practice and Procedure, did you do anything

Page 16

- 1 else to confirm that those meetings weren't open to 2 the public?
- 3 A. Did I do anything else? I kept looking, kept
- 4 digging, I think, kept investigating. In terms of
- 5 specifics. I don't recall exactly what I did.
- 6 Q. Well, that's difficult for me to understand7 that you think you did stuff but you can't tell me
- O what was did. Cally a not to know political agen-
- 8 what you did. So I've got to keep asking some
- 9 questions about that. So you said you kept digging.
- 10 What did that mean?
- 11 MR. DOUGHERTY: Object to the form of
- 12 the question.
- 13 THE WITNESS: I kept searching this
- 14 particular website, and I think I went to the State
- 15 of Tennessee's website, and I just kept looking,
- 16 what's going on. I had the question what's going on
- 17 here? Why are these meetings not -- why is there
- 18 not public notices for these meetings? That's,
- 19 essentially, it.
- 20 Q. Okay. So when you didn't see the public
- 21 notice for the meetings, you continued to look for
- 22 the public notice meetings on that website you
- 23 visited and other state websites; is that fair?
- 24 A. Yes. Actually, I looked at the federal
- 25 judiciary website and I noticed something very

Page 17

- similar to the Tennessee Bench Bar Committee and
- 2 noticed that they were open as well. Or that they
- 3 were open, excuse me, not as well.
- 4 Okay. So after not finding a public notice
- for the Tennessee Advisory Rule Commission meetings,
- did you do anything else to confirm whether those
- 7 meetings were open to the public?
- 8 Α. I think I have answered the question. I kept
- 9 looking. But yes, that was the end of it.
- Okay. Well, thinking you answer my question 10 and answering my questions sometimes is different 11
- 12
- for different people. So I'm trying to get some 13 good clarity on this.
- 14 You looked online for public notices of the
- 15 Tennessee Advisory Commission meetings in multiple
- places; you couldn't find the meeting notices; and 16
- 17 so you filed suit; is that right?
- Α. 18 Yes, eventually.
- 19 Q. Did you ever call anyone and ask them, either
- 20 in the state government or the Tennessee judiciary,
- about the public notice or lack thereof? 21
- 22 MR. DOUGHERTY: Object to the form of
- 23 the question.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat it?
- 25 11

Page 18

- BY MR. STAHL: 1
- 2 Did you ever call anyone in the Tennessee
- state government or the Tennessee judiciary to ask 3
- or confirm why you couldn't find a public notice for
- the Tennessee Commission meetings on Rules of 5
- 6 Practice and Procedure?
- 7 A. Not that I recall, no.
- Q. Did you email anyone asking that same 8
- 9 question?
- 10 Α. Within Tennessee state government, not that I
- 11 recall, no.
- 12 Q. Have you ever been a named plaintiff before?
- Α. 13
- 14 Q. Have you ever been a named defendant before?
- 15 Α.
- Your pleadings in this case indicate that you 16 Q.
- are the executive editor at The Center Square 17
- organization; is that right? 18
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 I think The Center Square website has you
- listed as vice president of news and content, 21
- 22 though. Can you explain that discrepancy?
- 23 I am vice president of news and content for
- the Franklin News Foundation, which is the 501(c)(3)
- non-profit that publishes The Center Square, and

- other things. 1
- 2 So are you still an executive editor at Q.
- 3 Center Square?
- 4 Α. Yes.
- 5 Q. And what does it mean to be an executive
- 6 editor at The Center Square?
- 7 I am the top editor. I make final decisions
- on editorial judgment issues. 8
- 9 Q. Do you have a particular area that you work
- within for The Center Square in doing your editorial 10
- comments, meaning sports, politics, anything like
- that, or is it just general editorial purview?
- 13 Α. We're focused on government news.
- 14 Q. Okay. How many employees are there at The
- 15 Center Square?
- 16 Α. I think we are at about 27 or 28 right now.
- 17 Q. Okay. And is that organization online only,
- 18 or do they have a print or video arm?
- 19 We -- we do do some video. We are print
- 20 only -- or, excuse me -- online only. We do not
- 21 have a print arm.
- 22 And you said that The Center Square was born
- out of the Illinois News Network in 2017; is that 23
- 24 right?
- 25 Α. I joined Illinois News Network in 2017. We

Page 20

- launched The Center Square in 2019.
- 2 And was The Center Square a completely
- 3 separate organization when you launched it or was it
- the same as the Illinois News Network under just a
- 5 new name?
- 6 Α. We folded Illinois News Network into The
- 7 Center Square.
- 8 Q. Who hired you to The Center Square?
- 9 Α. It's the same person who hired me to Illinois
- News Network. Chris Krug. 10
- 11 Q. And who is he? Is he the founder of The
- Center Square? 12
- He is the president of the Franklin News 13
- 14 Foundation which publishes The Center Square.
- Q. Is The Center Square registered as a business 15
- in Tennessee? 16

24

- I honestly don't know the answer to that 17
- question. Well, I think we have tax status. I 18
- 19 think that's the best I can say.
- 20 And what is the organizational structure
- 21 between The Center Square and Franklin News?
- 22 **A**. The organizational structure, Franklin News
- 23 is the 501(c)(3) nonprofit that publishes The Center
- Square. I do report up to the president of the Franklin News Foundation. We also have other 25

- 1 separate websites that some of which that I'm
- 2 directly involved in, that also go up to the
- 3 Franklin News Foundation.
- 4 Q. Is the president of the Franklin News
- 5 Foundation Chris Krug?
- 6 A. Correct, yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. To the best of your knowledge, is
- 8 Franklin News registered as a business in Tennessee?
- 9 A. I don't know.
- 10 Q. Do you have the ability to hire and fire
- 11 people as executive editor of The Center Square?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Do you decide what gets reported on?
- 14 A. Ultimately, if there is question about it,
- 15 yes. But I don't get involved in every single daily
- 16 news coverage decision.
- 17 Q. So maybe you can walk me through. How does a
- 18 news article get published on The Center Square? Is
- 19 it assigned by you to a reporter? Does someone come
- 20 to you with an idea? How does that the process
- 21 work?
- 22 A. It's a combination.
- 23 Q. A combination of what?
- 24 A. A combination of me or other editors
- 25 assigning stories to reporters or reporters going up

- 1 the lawsuit.
- 2 Q. Can you explain to me what you mean when you
- 3 say you made them aware of the lawsuit?
- 4 A. I let staff know that we -- not me
- 5 personally -- as the executive of The Center Square,
- 6 we're filing a lawsuit.
- 7 Q. Prior to filing the lawsuit, had you ever
- 8 edited or wrote an article about the Tennessee
- 9 Commission on Rules of Practice and Procedure?
- 10 A. Me personally, no.
- 11 Q. Had you ever prior to the lawsuit assigned
- 12 anyone to write an article or cover a story in some
- 13 way about the Tennessee Commission on Rules of
- 14 Practice and Procedure?
- 15 **A. No.**
- 16 Q. Have you written about this case online or
- 17 anywhere in print?
- 18 A. Me personally?
- 19 Q. Yes.
- 20 **A.** No.
- 21 Q. Have you commented about this case in any
- 22 video or audio platform?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Have you written any articles regarding
- 25 public access under the First Amendment?

- to editors saying I think we should cover this
- 2 today. We have a daily ongoing what we call a news
- 3 budget. Not a financial budget. A news budget.
- 4 Here's the stories that we can work on and we have
- 5 daily meetings to discuss the options.
- 6 Q. And do you give final approval on what gets
- 7 approved for a story, meaning, what gets funded to
- 8 investigate that story, or do you only give final
- 9 approval for what gets printed on the website? How
- 10 does that work?
- 11 A. We have 27, 28 staff on The Center Square.
- 12 We publish, I don't know, anywhere in the
- 13 neighborhood of 70 stories a day. I don't give
- 14 final approval on every single story. More
- 15 controversial stories, I might. If something comes
- 16 to my attention, I'll say we need to go get that,
- 17 but I do not give final approval on every single
- 18 story. No, I couldn't possibly.
- 19 Q. Do you assign stories to reporters?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Did you assign anyone or have you ever
- 22 assigned anyone to do a story or an article on the
- 23 Tennessee Commission on the Rules of Practice and
- 24 Procedure?
- 25 A. Not directly assign. I made staff aware of

- Page 24

 1 A. Public access in general? Not specific to
- 2 this case, I guess is what I'm asking?
- 3 Q. That's right.
- 4 A. Yes. I'm sure I have, plenty of times.
- 5 Q. Can you think of any of them?
- 6 A. Can I have a second or a moment to consider?
- 7 Can you repeat the question first so I know what I'm
- 8 considering?
- 9 Q. I am trying to figure out if you've ever
- 10 written about the First Amendment's right to public
- 11 access in any online forum or print forum or
- 12 anything like that in general?
- 13 A. I'm sure I have. I would have to get back to
- 14 you on that, though. In the moment nothing is
- 15 immediately coming to mind.
- 16 Q. Okay. If the Advisory Commission on the
- 17 Rules of Practice and Procedure in Tennessee were
- 18 open to the public, would you attend those?
- 19 A. Me personally, no.
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. No. Me personally, I would not attend them
- 22 in person. I'm in Chicago or the Chicago suburb.
- 23 I'm in Illinois. I would not come attend them in
- 24 person. I would certainly at least initially
- 25 observe them if they were available via Zoom or a

Zoom-like platform. 1

2 So would you be satisfied with an electronic

3 recording of the videos in terms of public access?

4 MR. DOUGHERTY: Object to the form of

5 the question.

THE WITNESS: No. 6

7 BY MR. STAHL:

8 So you wouldn't attend them but you would

9 expect them to be electronically recorded?

10 MR. DOUGHERTY: Object to the form of

11 the question.

THE WITNESS: Live webcast, plus open to 12

in-person observation. 13

14 BY MR. STAHL:

15 So you say recorded.

16 I'm a little confused by when you use the

term "recorded". 17

What about the term "recording" is confusing 18 Q.

19 you?

20 Well, you can live webcast a hearing and then

put up a recording of the live webcast of the 21

hearing at some point after the fact, or you can not

live webcast it and then you can just put up a 23

recording of it at some point after the fact. So I 24

just want to differentiate between the two. Live

1 time as editor of any organization, your 30 years of

journalism as you put it, have you ever assigned

anyone to attend the Tennessee Commission on Rules

Page 27

Page 28

of Practice and Procedure in person?

5 Α. Not that I recall.

6 Q. And, to be clear, you've never written

7 anything about the Tennessee Rules of Practice and

8 Procedure in your time as a journalist?

9 Me personally?

10 Q. Yes.

Α. 11

Q. 12 Do you host or contribute regularly to any

podcasts? 13

Α. Yes. 14

15 Q. What podcast is that?

Α. I'm on four podcasts. 16

Q. Okav. What are those? 17

18 **A.** America in Focus. Illinois in Focus.

Wisconsin in Focus. And Education in Focus. 19

20 Have you ever discussed the Tennessee

21 Advisory Commission on Rules of Practice and

22 Procedure in any of those podcasts?

23 **A.** No.

24 Q. What is heartlandernews.com?

25 A. I don't know.

webcast is a term I'm using for actually seeing it

2 as it's going on. 3 Okay. So you have no intention of ever

4 physically attending a Tennessee Commission meeting

5 on the Rules of Practice and Procedure?

6 Α. Me personally?

7 Q. Yes.

A. 8 No immediate intention, no. I might happen

to find myself needing to. 9

Would you expect to send someone to cover 10

11 those meetings if they were open to the public?

MR. DOUGHERTY: Object to the form of 12

13 the question.

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the

auestion? 15

14

16 BY MR. STAHL:

17 If the meetings were open to the public,

would you as executive editor of The Center Square 18

19 expect to send a reporter or someone on behalf of

20 The Center Square to observe those meetings in

person? 21

22 A. That's very possible, yes.

23 Q. Have you ever tried to do that before?

A. Specific to this case? No. 24

25 Q. Okay. Not specific to this case, but in your Q. When you decided to file suit in this case,

did you need anyone's permission to do that as

3 executive editor of The Center Square?

4 MR. DOUGHERTY: Object to the form of

5 the question.

6 THE WITNESS: No. I alerted my

7 publisher that we were going to do it, just for

communication sake.

9 BY MR. STAHL:

10 Q. When you say --

11 Α. Not permission.

12 Q. -- your publisher, do you mean Chris Krug?

13 Α.

14 Q. So you never spoke to him about the case

15 prior to deciding to file?

Α. I don't recall. 16

17 Q. Have you ever been to Tennessee, Mr. McCaleb?

Α. 18

19 Q. And what did you come to Tennessee for?

20 **A.** The last time I was in Tennessee was actually

for a First Amendment conference. 21

22 Q. When was that?

23 Α. 2017 or 2018.

Q. Have you ever attempted to attend any other 24

Tennessee State Commission meetings other than the

- 1 Tennessee Commission on Rules of Practice and
- 2 Procedure?
- 3 A. Me personally?
- 4 Q. Yes.
- 5 **A. No.**
- 6 Q. Have you ever sent any reporters on your
- 7 behalf as an editor, either at The Center Square or
- 8 as an editor at another journalistic platform, to
- 9 Tennessee State Commission meetings other than the
- 10 Tennessee meeting on Rules of Practice and
- 11 Procedure?
- 12 A. So I got the first part. Tennessee
- 13 Commission meetings or like any kind of Tennessee
- 14 meetings, like legislative meetings, or be specific.
- 15 Are you talking about the judiciary?
- 16 Q. I'm talking about Tennessee State Commission
- 17 meetings, so any commission representing the State
- 18 of Tennessee in any capacity.
- 19 A. I guess I'm a little confused by what you
- 20 mean by commission. Would that include
- 21 legislative-type of meetings or like committees of
- 22 legislature, et cetera? I'm just trying to get a
- 23 better understanding of what you're asking.
- 24 Q. Sure. No. I can appreciate that. Well, let
- 25 me ask you this.

- 1 BY MR. STAHL:
- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 A. -- on behalf of The Center Square.
- 4 Q. Well, now you just qualified it. Your first
- 5 lawsuit on behalf of The Center Square. Does that

Page 31

Page 32

- 6 mean --
- 7 A. No. My first lawsuit, yes.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. I'm saying it's not me personally. But yeah.
- 10 I have not filed any other lawsuits, here or in
- 11 previous experiences.
- 12 Q. There was a June 9th, 2023 meeting of the
- 13 Commission for the Tennessee Rules of Practice and
- 14 Procedure that was recorded and placed on YouTube.
- 15 Did you watch that?
- 16 A. I did.
- 17 Q. Have you ever served as member on any state
- 18 board or commission in Tennessee?
- 19 **A. No.**
- 20 Q. What about Chicago or, rather, Illinois?
- 21 A. Like -- repeat the question specifically to
- 22 Chicago or Illinois.
- 23 Q. Have you ever served as a member on any state
- 24 board or commission in Illinois?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Are you aware of how many commissions there 2 are in Tennessee under the Tennessee Supreme Court?
- 3 A. I know I have seen a number. I want to say
- 4 it was between 15 and 20.
- 5 Q. Okay. So limiting my question to those 15 to
- 6 20 committees, have you sent anyone on your behalf
- 7 or as an editor either at The Center Square or any
- 8 other organization that you've ever worked for as a
- 9 journalist to any of those other commission meetings
- 10 except the Tennessee Commission on Rules of Practice
- 11 and Procedure?
- 12 A. No. It wasn't until I realized that these
- 13 meetings were closed that it piqued my curiosity.
- 14 Q. What piqued your curiosity?
- 15 A. When government to tries to close meetings,
- 16 from my 30-plus years of experience, it piques my
- 17 curiosity. What are they trying to hide, I ask
- 18 myself.
- 19 Q. So any time that your learn of a government
- 20 meeting that's not open to the public you file suit?
- 21 MR. DOUGHERTY: Object to the form of
- 22 the question.
- 23 THE WITNESS: No. I think this was my
- 24 first lawsuit --
- 25 *11*

- Q. Do you believe that there is an access to
- 2 justice crisis in Tennessee?
- 3 A. Problem, yes. Crisis, maybe so. That's, you
- 4 know -- that's subjective, I guess.
- 5 Q. It is subjective. And I'm just asking for
- 6 your opinion. What leads you to believe that there
- 7 is an access to justice problem and/or crisis in
- 8 your opinion?
- 9 A. If you were -- I guess, in terms of the data,
- 10 there's plenty of people who don't have the means to
- 11 hire an attorney to represent them. That would be
- 12 true in Tennessee and many states across the
- 13 country. I can't unequivocally say every state but 14 certainly that is an issue.
- 15 Q. Do you have any data that's Tennessee
- 16 specific to support that statement?
- 17 A. No specific data, no.
- 18 Q. Have you talked with anyone who is a
- 19 Tennessee resident who has expressed to you that
- 20 they have trouble hiring a lawyer in Tennessee?
- 21 MR. DOUGHERTY: Object to the form of
- 22 the guestion.
- 23 THE WITNESS: Who they personally had --
- 24 repeat the question. I'm sorry.
- 25 11

- 1 BY MR. STAHL:
- 2 Q. Have you ever talked with anyone who is a
- 3 Tennessee resident who has expressed their
- 4 difficulty in hiring a lawyer in Tennessee?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Do you know what a pro se litigant is,
- 7 Mr. McCaleb?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Are you a pro se litigant?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. In your opinion, does this suit involve the
- 12 rights of pro se litigants?
- 13 MR. DOUGHERTY: Object to the form of
- 14 the question.
- 15 THE WITNESS: Go ahead and answer.
- 16 BY MR. STAHL:
- 17 Q. Yes, you can answer.
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. In what way?
- 20 A. Pro se litigants, more than maybe other
- 21 litigants who have representation, have a more
- 22 difficult time understanding, accessing, et cetera,
- 23 with the court system. And by opening these
- 24 meetings up to the public and a journalist to cover
- 25 these meetings, they have a better opportunity to
 - Page 34
 - understand the court system.
- 2 Q. Have you ever spoken with any Tennessee
- 3 resident who has acted as a pro se litigant in a
- 4 lawsuit?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Have you ever talked to a Tennessee resident
- 7 who has expressed to you their desire to attend the
- 8 Tennessee Commission on Rules of Practice and
- 9 Procedure?
- 10 **A. No.**
- 11 Q. As a journalist and as someone who you
- 12 mentioned attended a conference on the First
- 13 Amendment, have you read the first amendment to the
- 14 Constitution?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Why do you think that particular amendment
- 17 requires the State of Tennessee to open its Advisory
- 18 Commission meetings to the public?
- 19 A. Well, I'm not a lawyer. I'm not a
- 20 constitutional lawyer. But as a 30-year journalist,
- 21 you've learned some things here and there. And when
- 22 policy decisions are being made that can affect a
- 23 certain body or can affect the taxpayers and voters
- 24 and general public, that general public should have
- 25 access to those meetings in my experience.

- 1 Q. Where is that found in the First Amendment?
- 2 A. Again, I'm not a lawyer. I'm not a
- 3 constitutional lawyer.
- 4 Q. I understand. And I don't really want to go
- 5 back and forth on this, but I'm going to keep
- 6 asking. You've read the First Amendment?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. What part of the First Amendment supports
- 11 your claim that the State of Tennessee is required
- 12 to open its Advisory Commission meeting to the
- 13 public?
- 14 A. They have a right to petition the government
- 15 to access of the government. Again, that's the best
- 16 I can do on that one.
- 17 Q. Are you familiar with the Tennessee
- 18 Administrative Office of the Courts, otherwise
- 19 referred to in these pleadings as the AOC?
- 20 MR. DOUGHERTY: Object to the form of
- 21 the question.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. I know it as
- 23 the TAOC but same thing I guess, yes.
- 24 BY MR. STAHL:
- 25 Q. Yes. That's what I'm talking about. So I'm
 - Page 36

- 1 just going to ask you have you ever talked to any
- 2 member of the TAOC?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. We mentioned earlier that the TAOC website
- 5 lists and I think you numbered 15 to 20 different
- 6 commissions. Do you remember talking about that?
- 7 A. Yes. And that was an educated guess but yes.
- 8 Q. Sure. And it doesn't have to be perfect.
- o Q. Sure. And it doesn't have to be penet
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not
- 11 the Advisory Commission meetings are meaningfully
- 12 different from the work being done in any of those
- 13 other commissions?
- 14 MR. DOUGHERTY: I object to the form of
- 15 the question.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Well, I've watched one of
- 17 these Advisory Commission meetings. I have not
- 18 watched any of the other ones, so I can't speak for
- 19 the other ones.
- 20 BY MR. STAHL:
- 21 Q. If you learned that those other commission
- 22 meetings were not open to the public, would you
- 23 expect to file suit under the First Amendment to
- 24 have those meetings open as well?
- 25 A. I guess it would depend on what the

1 committees are supposed to do. If they are setting

- 2 policy or recommending policy for the courts to
- 3 follow or for potential legislation then yes.
- 4 Q. How would you know if a committee was
- 5 creating or recommending policy?
- 6 A. Well, I guess by digging into it, by asking
- 7 questions. If memory serves, these committees in
- 8 Tennessee mirror or very closely mirror the same 9 committees in the federal judiciary. And looking
- 10 into the -- I haven't done this so I can't speak to
- 11 it, but the mirrored committee meeting at the
- 12 federal level and its similar name at the state
- 13 level, I guess you could assume, we don't like to
- 14 assume, that it's doing something similar that the
- 15 Federal Judiciary Committee has done.
- 16 Q. Okay. So I think we walked through this a
- 17 little bit earlier. But I asked you what you had
- 18 done to investigate the Tennessee Rules of Practice
- 19 and Procedure Commission prior to filing suit to
- 20 have those meetings open to the public.
- And if I'm right, you hadn't attended any of
- 22 those; you hadn't watched any of those; so how did
- 23 you know that that committee was creating policy or
- 24 recommending policy that would require that
- 25 committee to be open to the public?

- 1 Tennessee Rules of Practice and Procedure, the
- 2 Federal Rules Advisory Commission, live stream their
- 3 meetings?
- 4 A. If you look under the federal version of the
- 5 Tennessee Commission.
- 6 Q. Yes.
- 7 A. I guess I honestly, I'm not positive, but I
- 8 think they do. But that's -- I have not watched
- 9 one, a live committee meeting of the Federal Policy
- 10 Committee.
- 11 Q. Have you ever attempted to watch one, done a
- 12 search for it on the YouTube or on the federal
- 13 websites?
- 14 A. I did do searches on the federal websites.
- 15 And I seem to recall -- I can't say specifically
- 16 that they were live webcasts but I do recall seeing
- 17 some either YouTube video or some video of the
- 18 conference meetings.
- 19 Q. If you were to learn that the Federal
- 20 Advisory Commission doesn't live stream their
- 21 meetings but records them and puts them on a
- 22 platform such as YouTube after the fact, would that
- 23 change your opinion as to whether the Tennessee
- 24 Commission on Rules of Practice and Procedure could
- 25 do the same?

Page 38

- 1 MR. DOUGHERTY: Object to the form of 2 the question.
- THE WITNESS: The Advisory Commission,
 the Bench Bar Commission, is what you're referring
- 5 **to?**
- 6 BY MR. STAHL:
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. I know what commission you're talking about.
- 9 Please ask me the question again.
- 10 Q. Well, I'm trying to understand your earlier
- 11 statement that you wouldn't necessarily file suit if
- 12 you learn that a commission was not open to the
- 13 public unless that commission was creating policy or
- 14 recommending policy. And I'm trying to understand
- 15 how you learned or what led you to believe that the
- 16 Tennessee Commission on Rules of Practice and
- 17 Procedure satisfied those terms, that it created
- 18 policy or advised on policy.
- 19 A. I think just by this committee's name itself.
- 20 Q. So if a committee has a name that you think
- 21 indicates it creates policy or recommends policy,
- 22 you automatically believe that that meeting should
- 23 be open to the public under the First Amendment?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Do you know if the federal analog to the

Page 40

- 1 MR. DOUGHERTY: Object to the form of 2 the question.
- 3 THE WITNESS: No.
- 4 BY MR. STAHL:
- 5 Q. So if the Federal Advisory Commission were
- 6 electronically recording their meetings and putting
- 7 them on a platform after the fact, not live
- 8 streaming them, would you file suit under the First
- 9 Amendment to have them open their meeting to the
- 10 public?
- MR. DOUGHERTY: Object to the form of
- 12 the question.
- 13 THE WITNESS: I'd think long and hard
- 14 about it, yes.
- 15 BY MR. STAHL:
- 16 Q. Did you review your expert's opinion in this
- 17 case, Mr. Barton?
- 18 A. I was on the live stream of his deposition.
- 19 I did get distracted at times, so I can't say I
- 20 heard every single word from start to finish, but I
- 21 heard large chunks of it.
- 22 Q. There was a portion, a statement made in that
- 23 opinion, that said the Tennessee Rules of Procedure
- 24 have a direct effect on every citizen in the state
- 25 of Tennessee. Do you agree with that statement?

- I'm sorry, I'm going to have to ask you to
- 2 repeat it one more time.
- 3 Q. Mr. Barton's opinion made a statement that
- the Tennessee Rules of Procedure have a direct 4
- effect on every citizen in the state of Tennessee
- and I was just wondering if you agree with that
- 7 statement?
- 8 Α. I can't say one way or the other. I think it
- 9 has a direct effect on many people in the state of
- 10 Tennessee. I would need to probably hear arguments
- for and against to say whether or not I agreed with
- 12 that 100 percent.
- Do you believe that the Tennessee Rules of Q. 13
- 14 Procedure affect you as a citizen of Illinois?
- 15 Only insomuch as governments close meetings,
- if no one challenge that, more governments will 16
- 17 close meetings. And so it could yes, indirectly,
- 18 yes.
- 19 Q. Well, I don't mean the meetings. I mean the
- actual Tennessee Rules of Procedure for filing a
- suit, if you're a citizen of Tennessee and you're 21
- involved in the court system, the Tennessee Rules of 22
- 23 Procedure would apply to you.
- But would they apply to you, Mr. McCaleb, as 24
- 25 a citizen of Illinois?

- Center.
- 3 BY MR. STAHL:
- 4 Q. So prior to his publishing anything about
- this case, you wouldn't have edited anything that he

through -- I think it was through Liberty Justice

- would have written?
- 7 Α. About this case?
- Q. 8 Yes.
- 9 Α. No. I stepped aside. I need -- because I am
- part of the case, I needed to recuse myself from the 10
- 11 editing process.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if Mr. Styf lives in 12
- Tennessee? 13
- Α. 14 He does not.
- 15 Q. Who is J.D. Davidson?
- Α. J.D. Davidson is John Styf's direct 16
- supervisor. 17
- 18 Q. Does he live in Tennessee?
- 19 Α.
- 20 Q. Are you aware of anything that Mr. Davidson
- 21 might have written about this case?
- Not aware. I don't think he has, but I can't 22
- 23 say that for sure.
- Who is Steve Wilson? Q. 24
- 25 Α. Steve Wilson was John Styf's previous

Page 42

- 1 Α. Not that I'm aware of.
- Who is John Styf? And I may not be saying 2 Q.
- 3 that last name right, S-t-y-f.
- 4 Α. Styf.
- Q. 5 Styf. Who is Mr. John Styf?
- 6 Α. He is a reporter for The Center Square.
- Q. 7 How do you know him?
- Α. 8 He is an employee of mine.
- 9 Q. Does he report to you?
- Α. Up through the chain. I'm not his direct 10
- 11 supervisor.
- Q. 12 Have you ever had conversations with him?
- 13 Yes. I'm in meetings every day with him and
- 14 the whole staff, yes.
- 15 Q. Have you ever discussed this case with him?
- I made him aware of the case, and I made him 16 Α.
- aware of the court's ruling back in March. 17
- So to your best recollection, has he written 18 Q.
- 19 anything about this case?
- 20 Α.
- Did you provide any editorial comment about 21 Q.
- 22 that?
- 23 MR. DOUGHERTY: Object to the form of
- 24 the question.
- 25 THE WITNESS: I provided a statement

- supervisor.
- 2 So am I to assume that Mr. Wilson doesn't
- 3 work at The Center Square anymore?
- 4 Α. No. He does. We went through a restructure.
- We went through a restructure. 5
- 6 Q. Okay. Have you ever talked to Steve Wilson
- 7 about this case?
- 8 Α. Only to confirm that he was, in fact, John
- 9 Styf's supervisor when the lawsuit was filed.
- 10 Q. Okay. Is he a Tennessee citizen?
- 11 Α. No.
- Q. Has Mr. Wilson written about the case that 12
- 13 you're aware of?
- 14 Α. Not that I'm aware of. I don't think so.
- 15 Does Mr. Styf at your direction -- has he
- 16 ever traveled to Tennessee to write or research a
- 17 story?
- 18 MR. DOUGHERTY: Object to the form of
- 19 the question.
- 20 THE WITNESS: I don't know the answer to
- 21 that question.
- 22 BY MR. STAHL:
- 23 Q. So is it possible that you would have
- 24 directed him --
- 25 I'm sorry. I didn't -- no. No, I have not.

Page 44

Page 45 Page 47 I did not direct him. further, Mike. 1 2 Q. Okay. Just one more question I think, 2 3 **EXAMINATION** 3 Mr. McCaleb. 4 Have you ever been convicted of a felony? 4 QUESTIONS BY MR. STAHL: 5 Α. 5 Just one more question, Mr. McCaleb. 6 6 MR. STAHL: Okay. Buck, why don't you Have you ever been hired to give an expert 7 7 go ahead and if I've got anything else I'll do it at opinion for any reason in any lawsuit? 8 the end, but I think I'm done for now. 8 Α. No. 9 9 MR. DOUGHERTY: Okay. Thank you, Mike. MR. STAHL: Well, that's all I have. MR. DOUGHERTY: All right. I guess we 10 10 11 EXAMINATION 11 can go off the record. We'll take a copy of the 12 QUESTIONS BY MR. DOUGHERTY: transcript whenever you can get it. And really 12 Mr. McCaleb, there was a line of questioning 13 Q. appreciate you-all's help for covering these. 13 14 that Mr. Stahl asked you about Advisory Commission 14 being recorded versus live stream. Do you recall 15 THE REPORTER: Mr. Stahl, you are that line of questioning? 16 16 ordering this transcript? 17 Α. Yes. 17 MR. STAHL: Yes, please. Q. 18 THE REPORTER: Reading and signing? 18 Would you assign any of your reporters to 19 cover Advisory Commission meetings if they were open 19 MR. DOUGHERTY: We'll waive the signing. 20 to the public? You have an opportunity to look through your 20 Α. Yes, particularly in this case. 21 21 transcript and then sign if everything is fine, but Q. 22 22 Is it important as part of your lawsuit that I think it's fine to waive. meetings be open contemporaneously to the public 23 THE WITNESS: I'm okay with waiving. when they are actually occurring? 24 24 **FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT** 25 Α. Yes. 25 (Proceedings concluded at 1:01 p.m.) Page 48 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 1 And then just to follow up, you talked about 1 2 2 at the end there on some of the questioning when STATE OF TENNESSEE 3 Mr. Styf wrote about this case. Do you recall that? 3 COUNTY OF DAVIDSON 4 Α. Yes, this case, this lawsuit. Yes. 4 Q. Mr. Stahl asked you some questions. And so 5 5 the comments that you did give to Mr. Styf about 6 I, Deborah H. Honeycutt, Licensed Court 7 Reporter, with offices in Hermitage, Tennessee, 7 this case, were they in your capacity as the 8 hereby certify that I reported the foregoing plaintiff? 8 9 videoconference deposition of DAN McCALEB by 9 Α. Yes. 1.0 machine shorthand to the best of my skills and 11 abilities, and thereafter the same was reduced to 10 Q. And when you say you felt you needed to 12 typewritten form by me. I am not related to any of recuse yourself, by that did you mean you didn't 13 the parties named herein, nor their counsel, and 14 have no interest, financial or otherwise, in the 12 then give a comment and then have editorial control 15 outcome of the proceedings. 13 over the story itself; is that correct? 16 I further certify that in order for this 14 Α. I did not. It was the reporters and the document to be considered a true and correct copy, 17 it must bear my original signature, and that any reporters' editors' decision whether to include the 15 unauthorized reproduction in whole or in part statement, the full statement, partial statement, 18 and/or transfer of this document is not authorized. not include it at all. 17 will not be considered authentic, and will be in violation of Tennessee Code Annotated 39-14-104 19 18 Q. Is that kind of part of the normal Theft of Services. 19 journalistic code of ethics --2.0 20 Α. 21 Deborah H. Honeycutt 2.2 21 Q. -- since you're pretty involved in the story Deborah H. Honeycutt, LCR 22 that your news agency is reporting on, you kind of 23 Licensed Court Reporter 23 stay out of the editorial process? Notary Public State of Tennessee 24 Α. Yes. 24 My Notary Public Commission Expires: 07/09/24

LCR # 472 - Expires: June 30, 2024

25

MR. DOUGHERTY: I don't have anything

25

1 a anymore 44:3 anyone's 28:2 **100** 41:12 9th 31:12 **AOC** 35:19 **11:57** 4:8 Α apply 11:1 41:23,24 **13** 4:7 approval 22:6,9,14,17 **15** 30:4,5 36:5 a.m. 4:8 approved 22:7 **18** 6:14 ability 8:7 21:10 approximately 4:8 **19** 6:14 access 23:25 24:1,11 25:3 32:1,7 34:25 35:15 area 19:9 1:01 47:25 accessing 33:22 arguments 41:10 2 **acted** 34:3 **arm** 19:18,21 acting 12:25 article 21:18 22:22 23:8. **20** 30:4.6 36:5 12 actual 41:20 **2017** 9:19.20.21 10:3 articles 23:24 19:23,25 28:23 address 9:1,2 assign 22:19,21,25 2018 28:23 addresses 9:6 administered 4:17 2019 20:1 assigned 21:19 22:22 2022 13:25 14:2,11 Administrative 4:12 23:11 27:2 35:18 2023 4:7 31:12 assigning 21:25 advised 38:18 27 19:16 22:11 assume 14:17 37:13.14 advisories 15:1 44:2 **28** 19:16 22:11 **Advisory** 10:22 12:8 **assumed** 14:15 14:19,23 17:5,15 24:16 attempted 28:24 39:11 27:21 34:17 35:12 36:11, 17 38:3 39:2,20 40:5 attend 24:18,21,23 25:8 **30** 9:14 15:14 27:1 45:14,19 27:3 28:24 34:7 **30-plus** 30:16 affect 34:22,23 41:14 attended 15:16 34:12 37:21 30-plus-year 11:17 agency 46:22 attending 26:4 30-year 34:20 agree 11:13 40:25 41:6 attention 22:16 3:22-cv-00439 4:15 agreed 41:11 attorney 4:25 7:22 8:12 ahead 33:15 45:7 32:11 alerted 28:6 audio 23:22 **472** 4:6 amended 8:18 automatically 38:22 amendment 23:25 aware 22:25 23:3 30:1 5 28:21 34:13,16 35:1,6,10 42:1,16,17 43:20,22 36:23 38:23 40:9 44:13,14 **501(c)(3)** 18:24 20:23 Amendment's 24:10 America 27:18 В 7 analog 38:25 back 24:13 35:5 42:17 70 22:13 and/or 32:7 Bar 10:22 14:23 17:1 **726** 9:2 answering 17:11 38:4

answers 7:14 8:4

begin 5:24 behalf 11:14 26:19 29:7 30:6 31:3,5 **Bench** 10:22 14:23 17:1 38.4 biographical 9:1 bit 7:10 37:17 board 31:18,24 **body** 34:23 **born** 19:22 break 7:17.18.20.24 **bring** 8:22 brought 8:23 Buck 5:3 6:8,9 8:19 45:6 budget 22:3 business 9:25 20:15 21:8 C **call** 12:21.24 13:1 14:23 17:19 18:2 22:2 called 5:19 capacity 4:12 29:18 46:7 caption 11:4 case 4:15 6:11,15,16 11:11 15:20 18:16 23:16, 21 24:2 26:24,25 28:1,14 40:17 42:15,16,19 43:5, 7,10,21 44:7,12 45:21 46:3,4,7 caused 14:1 Center 4:11 6:8 9:17,20,

24 10:2 11:6 18:17,20,25

19:3,6,10,15,22 20:1,2,7,

8,12,14,15,21,23 21:11,

18 22:11 23:5 26:18,20 28:3 29:7 30:7 31:3,5

42:6 43:2 44:3

cetera 29:22 33:22

Central 4:8

chain 42:10

Barton 40:17

Barton's 41:3

challenge 41:16 change 10:1 39:23 changed 9:24 Chicago 24:22 31:20,22 **Chris** 20:10 21:5 28:12 **chunks** 40:21 citizen 11:7 40:24 41:5. 14,21,25 44:10 **claim** 35:11 clarification 7:2 **clarity** 17:13 clear 14:11 27:6 close 30:15 41:15,17 **closed** 11:19,24 12:9,19, 23 13:13 14:3,14,16 30:13 closely 37:8 closing 12:3 **code** 46:19 combination 21:22.23. 24 comment 42:21 46:12 commented 23:21 comments 19:11 46:6 commission 10:22 12:8 14:2,14,16,19,23 15:6,24 17:5,15 18:5 22:23 23:9, 13 24:16 26:4 27:3,21 28:25 29:1,9,13,16,17,20 30:9,10 31:13,18,24 34:8,18 35:12 36:11,17, 21 37:19 38:3,4,8,12,13, 16 39:2,5,20,24 40:5 45:14,19 commissions 30:1 36:6,13 **committee** 14:24 17:1 37:4,11,15,23,25 38:20

39:9.10 committee's 38:19 committees 29:21 30:6 37:1,7,9 communication 28:8

completely 20:2 concerns 12:2 13:19 concluded 47:25 condition 8:2 conference 12:4,15,19, 22 13:12,20 28:21 34:12 39:18 confirm 16:1 17:6 18:4 confused 25:16 29:19 confusing 25:18 Constitution 34:14 constitutional 34:20 35:3 contemporaneously 45:23 content 18:21,23 continued 16:21 contribute 27:12 control 46:12 controversial 22:15 conversations 42:12 convicted 45:4 **copy** 47:11 correct 15:8 21:6 46:13 counsel 4:21 6:5,9 country 10:5 32:13 couple 7:5 **court** 4:13 6:11 7:7 30:2 33:23 34:1 41:22 court's 42:17 courts 4:13 35:18 37:2 cover 22:1 23:12 26:10 33:24 45:19 coverage 10:5 21:16 covering 10:3 47:13 created 38:17 **creates** 38:21

complete 8:4

creating 37:5,23 38:13

D daily 21:15 22:2,5 **Dan** 4:9,10 5:4,15,18 6:1 data 32:9.15.17 date 4:7 **Davidson** 43:15,16,20 day 22:13 42:13 Deborah 4:4 **decide** 21:13 decided 28:1 deciding 28:15 decision 21:16 46:15 decisions 19:7 34:22 declarations 8:18 deemed 4:19 defendant 5:2 10:20 18:14 **depend** 36:25 **DEPONENT** 47:24 deposition 4:9,16,19,20 5:6 6:11,13 8:11,16 10:19 40:18 depositions 7:13 desire 34:7 details 6:17 differentiate 25:25 difficult 16:6 33:22 difficulty 33:4 digging 16:4,9 37:6 digital 4:18 direct 40:24 41:4.9 42:10 43:16 45:1 directed 44:24 direction 44:15 directly 21:2 22:25

crisis 32:2,3,7

curiosity 30:13,14,17

Crystal 9:3

Director 4:12 discrepancy 18:22 discuss 22:5 discussed 27:20 42:15 distracted 40:19 **District** 4:13,14 Division 4:15 documents 13:17 **Dougherty** 5:3,12,13 6:8,9 12:10 13:14 14:5 16:11 17:22 25:4.10 26:12 28:4 30:21 32:21 33:13 35:20 36:14 38:1 40:1,11 42:23 44:18 45:9,12 46:25 47:10,19 drew 15:2 Drive 9:2 duly 5:19 Ε earlier 36:4 37:17 38:10 edited 23:8 43:5 editina 43:11 editor 4:10 11:5 18:17 19:2,6,7 21:11 26:18 27:1 28:3 29:7,8 30:7 **editorial** 19:8,10,12 42:21 46:12,23 editors 21:24 22:1 editors' 46:15 educated 36:7 Education 27:19 effect 40:24 41:5,9 electronic 25:2 electronically 25:9 40:6

email 18:8

employee 42:8

employees 19:14

end 17:9 45:8 46:2

entirety 11:1

essentially 10:23 16:19
ethics 46:19
eventually 17:18
EXAMINATION 5:22
45:11 47:3

45:11 47:3

excuse 17:3 19:20

executive 4:10 11:5 18:17 19:2,5 21:11 23:5 26:18 28:3

exhibits 4:18

expanded 10:4

expect 25:9 26:10,19 36:23

experience 15:14 30:16 34:25

experiences 31:11

expert 47:6

expert's 40:16

explain 18:22 23:2

expressed 32:19 33:3 34:7

F

fact 25:22,24 39:22 40:7 44:8

fair 16:23

familiar 35:17

federal 16:24 37:9,12,15 38:25 39:2,4,9,12,14,19 40:5

feel 6:25 7:20

felony 45:4

felt 46:10

figure 24:9

file 14:18 28:1,15 30:20 36:23 38:11 40:8

filed 4:13 11:14 13:22,24 17:17 31:10 44:9

filing 11:7 23:6,7 37:19 41:20

filings 8:17 13:7,8

final 19:7 22:6,8,14,17

financial 22:3

find 14:25 15:24 17:16 18:4 26:9

finding 17:4

fine 7:17,21 47:21,22

finish 7:4 40:20

fire 21:10

Focus 27:18,19

focused 19:13

folded 20:6

follow 37:3 46:1

form 12:10 13:14 14:5 16:11 17:22 25:4,10 26:12 28:4 30:21 32:21 33:13 35:20 36:14 38:1 40:1,11 42:23 44:18

forum 24:11

found 35:1

Foundation 18:24 20:14,25 21:3,5

founder 20:11

Franklin 18:24 20:13,21, 22,25 21:3,4,8

free 7:1

full 8:4 46:16

funded 22:7

G

gave 6:13

general 19:12 24:1,12 34:24

generally 15:17,19

give 6:23 7:4,12,13,16 8:4,7 22:6,8,13,17 46:6, 12 47:6

giving 10:19

good 4:3 7:25 17:13

government 11:18 15:15,16 17:20 18:3,10 19:13 30:15,19 35:14,15 governments 41:15,16

Great 8:2

guess 12:25 13:17 24:2 29:19 32:4,9 35:23 36:7, 25 37:6,13 39:7 47:10

Н

happen 26:8

hard 7:14 40:13

heads 7:13

hear 6:20 41:10

heard 40:20,21

hearing 25:20,22

heartlandernews.com 27:24

hide 30:17

hiding 11:19

hire 21:10 32:11

hired 20:8,9 47:6

hiring 32:20 33:4

honest 8:7

honestly 20:17 39:7

Honeycutt 4:4

host 27:12

huh-uh 7:14

I

idea 21:20

identify 4:22

Illinois 9:3,4,22 10:1,4,5, 8,11,12 19:23,25 20:4,6, 9 24:23 27:18 31:20,22, 24 41:14,25

immediately 24:15

impair 8:7

important 6:22 7:11 45:22

in-person 25:13

include 29:20 46:15,17

independent 9:15

indicating 15:6

indirectly 41:17

information 9:1 11:25

initially 12:14 24:24

initiate 11:16

insomuch 41:15

instances 15:20

intention 26:3,8

introduce 4:24

investigate 22:8 37:18

investigating 16:4

involve 33:11

involved 21:2,15 41:22 46:21

lowa 10:13

issue 32:14

issues 6:25 19:8

J

J.D. 43:15,16

James 5:14

John 42:2,5 43:16,25 44:8

joined 10:3 19:25

journalism 15:14 27:2

journalist 9:12,15 11:17 27:8 30:9 33:24 34:11,20

journalistic 29:8 46:19

judgment 19:8

judicial 12:4,15,19,22 13:3,11,12,20 14:12,14 15:1

judiciary 16:25 17:20 18:3 29:15 37:9,15

June 13:25 14:2,11 31:12

justice 6:8 32:2,7 43:1

Κ

kind 29:13 46:18,22

knowledge 21:7

Krug 20:10 21:5 28:12

L

lack 15:11 17:21

Lake 9:3

large 40:21

launched 20:1.3

lawsuit 8:18 10:19,21 11:1,4,16 13:22,24 14:18 23:1,3,6,7,11 30:24 31:5, 7 34:4 44:9 45:22 46:4 47:7

lawsuits 31:10

lawyer 32:20 33:4 34:19, 20 35:2,3

lead 6:9

leads 32:6

learn 11:24 30:19 38:12 39:19

learned 11:18,23 34:21 36:21 38:15

led 38:15

Legal 4:5

legislation 37:3

legislative 29:14

legislative-type 29:21

legislature 29:22

level 37:12,13

Lexitas 4:5

Liberty 6:8 43:1

license 4:5 9:25

limiting 10:25 30:5

listed 18:21

lists 36:5

litigant 33:6,9 34:3

litigants 33:12,20,21

live 25:12,20,21,23,25 39:2,9,16,20 40:7,18 43:18 45:15 lives 43:12

long 4:11 5:2 7:19 9:13, 18 10:20 12:7,16 13:12 14:9,13 40:13

Long's 12:1

looked 11:20 15:4 16:24 17:14

lot 13:16 15:15,16

М

made 14:18 22:25 23:3 34:22 40:22 41:3 42:16

make 19:7

March 42:17

marked 4:18

matter 4:10

Mccaleb 4:9,10 5:4,15, 18,24 6:1 28:17 33:7 41:24 45:3,13 47:5

Mcquaid 5:14

meaning 19:11 22:7

meaningfully 36:11

means 32:10

Media 10:10

medication 8:6

meet 14:24

meeting 17:16 26:4 29:10 30:20 31:12 35:12 37:11 38:22 39:9 40:9

meetings 11:22 14:19 15:2,6,10,12,16,17,21 16:1,17,18,21,22 17:5,7, 15 18:5 22:5 26:11,17,20 28:25 29:9,13,14,17,21 30:9,13,15 33:24,25 34:18,25 36:11,17,22,24 37:20 39:3,18,21 40:6 41:15,17,19 42:13 45:19, 23

member 11:8,9 31:17,23 36:2

members 12:20 13:13,

memory 15:8 37:7

mental 8:2

mentioned 8:19,23 34:12 36:4

messy 7:10

Michael 5:1

Michelle 4:11 5:2 10:20 12:7,16 13:11

Middle 4:14

Mike 45:9 47:1

mind 24:15

mine 42:8

mirror 37:8

mirrored 37:11

moment 24:6,14

morning 4:3

multiple 17:15

Ν

named 18:12,14

Nashville 4:14

necessarily 38:11

needed 43:10 46:10

needing 26:9

neighborhood 22:13

Network 9:22 10:2,6,9 19:23,25 20:4,6,10

news 9:22 10:1,5,8 18:21,23,24 19:13,23,25 20:4,6,10,13,21,22,25 21:3,4,8,16,18 22:2,3 46:22

non-profit 18:25

nonprofit 20:23

normal 46:18

notice 15:18,22,23 16:21,22 17:4,21 18:4

noticed 5:6 16:25 17:2

notices 15:5 16:18 17:14,16

noticing 4:25

number 4:5,15 6:16 30:3 **numbered** 36:5

0

oath 4:17 6:2

object 12:10 13:14 14:5 16:11 17:22 25:4,10 26:12 28:4 30:21 32:21 33:13 35:20 36:14 38:1 40:1,11 42:23 44:18

objection 5:5

objections 4:23

observation 25:13

observe 24:25 26:20

occupation 9:11

occurring 45:24

October 4:7

Office 4:13 35:18

official 4:11

ongoing 22:2

online 17:14 19:17,20 23:16 24:11

open 10:22 11:18,22 14:18 15:6,9,12,17 16:1 17:2,3,7 24:18 25:12 26:11,17 30:20 34:17 35:12 36:22,24 37:20,25 38:12,23 40:9 45:19,23

opening 33:23

opinion 32:6,8 33:11 36:10 39:23 40:16,23 41:3 47:7

opportunity 33:25 47:20

options 22:5

ordering 47:16

organization 18:18 19:17 20:3 27:1 30:8

organizational 20:20,22

original 4:19

outlined 4:24

Р

p.m. 47:25

part 29:12 35:10 43:10 45:22 46:18

partial 46:16

past 13:10,17

Pennsylvania 10:6

people 7:8,13 17:12 21:11 32:10 41:9

percent 41:12

perfect 36:8

perfectly 7:17,21

periodically 14:25

permission 28:2,11

person 20:9 24:22,24

26:21 27:4

personal 9:2

personally 23:5,10,18 24:19,21 26:6 27:9 29:3 31:9 32:23

petition 35:14

physically 26:4

piqued 30:13,14

piques 30:16

place 13:1

places 17:16

plaintiff 5:4,15 11:5 18:12 46:8

platform 23:22 25:1 29:8 39:22 40:7

pleadings 11:10 18:16 35:19

plenty 24:4 32:10

podcast 27:15

podcasts 27:13,16,22

point 25:22,24

policy 12:1,6,18,21,25 13:5,10 14:9,12 34:22 37:2,5,23,24 38:13,14, 18,21 39:9

politics 19:11

portion 40:22

position 12:1

positive 39:7

possibly 22:18

potential 37:3

Practice 12:8 14:3,15,20 15:25 18:6 22:23 23:9,14 24:17 26:5 27:4,7,21 29:1,10 30:10 31:13 34:8 37:18 38:16 39:1,24

precipitated 10:1

preparation 8:10,15

president 18:21,23 20:13,24 21:4

press 10:25 11:3,8,9

pretty 46:21

previous 31:11 43:25

print 19:18,19,21 23:17 24:11

printed 22:9

printouts 8:23

prior 14:1,11 23:7,11 28:15 37:19 43:4

private 11:7

pro 33:6,9,12,20 34:3

problem 32:3,7

Procedure 12:8 14:3,16, 20 15:25 18:6 22:24 23:9,14 24:17 26:5 27:4, 8,22 29:2,11 30:11 31:14 34:9 37:19 38:17 39:1,24 40:23 41:4,14,20,23

procedures 4:23 6:18

proceedings 47:25

process 21:20 43:11 46:23

provide 42:21

provided 6:11 42:25

public 10:23,24 11:2,3 12:9,20 13:13 14:4,14,16 15:7,10,13,17,18,21,22, 23 16:2,18,20,22 17:4,7, 14,21 18:4 23:25 24:1,

10,18 25:3 26:11,17 30:20 33:24 34:18,24 35:13 36:22 37:20,25 38:13,23 40:10 45:20,23

publish 22:12

published 21:18 publisher 28:7,12

publishes 18:25 20:14, 23

publishing 43:4

purpose 10:21

purposes 4:20 5:12

purview 19:12

put 12:2 13:1 25:21,23 27:2

puts 39:21

putting 40:6

Q

qualified 31:4

question 6:21 7:4,6,23, 24 11:19 12:11 13:15 14:6 16:12,16 17:8,10,23 18:9 20:18 21:14 24:7 25:5,11 26:13,15 28:5 30:5,22 31:21 32:22,24 33:14 35:21 36:15 38:2,9 40:2,12 42:24 44:19,21 45:2 47:5

questioning 45:13,16 46:2

questions 5:23 6:23 16:9 17:11 37:7 45:12 46:5 47:4

R

raise 5:8

read 13:18 34:13 35:6

Reading 47:18

realized 30:12

reason 8:3 47:7

reasons 7:5

recall 5:5 14:22 16:5

18:7,11 27:5 28:16 39:15,16 45:15 46:3

recollection 42:18

recommending 37:2,5, 24 38:14

recommends 38:21

record 5:5,10,25 8:20 47:11

recorded 5:9 25:9,15,17 31:14 45:15

recording 5:11 25:3,18, 21,24 40:6

records 39:21

recuse 43:10 46:11

referred 35:19

referring 38:4

reflect 7:15

registered 20:15 21:8

regularly 27:12

related 13:18

remember 6:15,16,17 13:24 36:6

remote 6:25

remotely 4:18

repeat 17:24 24:7 26:14 31:21 32:24 41:2

report 20:24 42:9

reported 21:13

reporter 4:3,4 5:11 7:7 21:19 26:19 42:6 47:15, 18

reporters 21:25 22:19 29:6 45:18 46:14

reporters' 46:15

reporting 46:22

represent 4:22 32:11

representation 33:21

represented 6:5

representing 5:1,4 29:17

require 37:24

requires 34:17 research 44:16 reserve 5:8 resident 9:4,9 32:19 33:3 34:3,6 responses 7:12,16 restructure 44:4,5 review 13:8 40:16 reviewed 8:15,17 11:10 13:7.16 rights 33:12 rule 12:22 13:1,2,6,11 14:9,12 17:5 Rules 5:7 12:8 14:3.15. 20 15:25 18:5 22:23 23:9,13 24:17 26:5 27:3, 7,21 29:1,10 30:10 31:13 34:8 37:18 38:16 39:1,2, 24 40:23 41:4,13,20,22 **ruling** 42:17 S **S-T-Y-F** 42:3 safety 12:3 13:19 **SAITH** 47:24 **sake** 28:8 satisfied 25:2 38:17 scheduled 15:11 schedules 15:10 scrutiny 10:23,24

required 5:7 35:11

search 39:12 searches 39:14 searching 16:13 security 12:3 13:19 semantics 14:22 send 26:10,19 separate 20:3 21:1 served 31:17,23 serves 15:9 37:7

setting 37:1 **shake** 7:13 **Shaw** 10:10 sign 47:21 signing 47:18,19 similar 17:1 37:12,14 single 21:15 22:14,17 40:20 sir 6:3 situation 11:20 **sound** 7:25 **speak** 36:18 37:10 specific 24:1 26:24,25 29:14 32:16,17 specifically 13:18 31:21 39:15 specifics 16:5 **spoke** 28:14 spoken 34:2 **sports** 19:11 10:2 11:6 18:17,20,25 28:3 29:7 30:7 31:3,5 42:6 44:3 staff 22:11,25 23:4 42:14 **Stahl** 5:1,23 12:12 13:21

Square 4:11 9:17,20,24 19:3,6,10,15,22 20:1,2,7, 8,12,14,15,21,24 21:11, 18 22:11 23:5 26:18,20

14:10 18:1 25:7,14 26:16 28:9 31:1 33:1,16 35:24 36:20 38:6 40:4,15 43:3 44:22 45:6,14 46:5 47:4, 9,15,17

start 4:25 7:4,8 40:20

started 12:4 14:7,21

state 4:22,24 5:25 9:9 13:17 16:14,23 17:20 18:3,10 28:25 29:9,16,17 31:17,23 32:13 34:17 35:11 37:12 40:24 41:5,9

statement 12:1 32:16 38:11 40:22,25 41:3,7 42:25 46:16

states 9:7 32:12 **status** 20:18 stay 46:23 stenographic 4:4 stepped 43:9 Steve 43:24,25 44:6 stories 21:25 22:4,13,15, story 22:7,8,14,18,22 23:12 44:17 46:13,21 stream 39:2.20 40:18 45:15

streaming 40:8 **structure** 20:20,22 **stuff** 16:7

Styf 42:2,4,5 43:12 44:15 46:3,6

Styf's 43:16,25 44:9 subjective 32:4,5 substances 8:6 **suburb** 24:22

suit 11:7 17:17 28:1 30:20 33:11 36:23 37:19 38:11 40:8 41:21

supervisor 42:11 43:17 44:1,9

supports 35:10 supposed 14:24 15:18

Supreme 30:2 suspicion 15:2

support 32:16

sworn 5:20

system 33:23 34:1 41:22

Т

talked 8:10 32:18 33:2 34:6 36:1 44:6 46:1

talking 7:8 29:15,16 35:25 36:6 38:8

TAOC 35:23 36:2,4

tax 20:18

taxpayers 34:23

technology 6:24

Tennessee 4:5,12,14 10:6,11,23 12:4,7,15 13:3,11,12,20 14:2,12, 13,15,19 15:24 17:1,5, 15,20 18:2,3,5,10 20:16 21:8 22:23 23:8,13 24:17 26:4 27:3,7,20 28:17,19, 20,25 29:1,9,10,12,13, 16,18 30:2,10 31:13,18 32:2,12,15,19,20 33:3,4 34:2,6,8,17 35:11,17 37:8,18 38:16 39:1,5,23 40:23,25 41:4,5,10,13, 20,21,22 43:13,18 44:10,

Tennessee's 16:15

term 25:17,18 26:1

terms 16:4 25:3 32:9 38:17

testified 5:20

testimony 6:11 8:8

thereof 17:21

thing 7:22 35:23

things 14:22 19:1 34:21

thinking 17:10 thought 11:21

time 4:8.21 7:20 13:5 27:1.8 28:20 30:19 33:22 41:2

times 24:4 40:19

tncourts.gov 13:4

today 6:3 8:3,20,22 10:15,18 22:2

today's 4:7 8:11,16

top 19:7

transcript 7:9,15 8:21 47:12,16,21

traveled 44:16

trouble 32:20

true 32:12

week 13:9,10,17,22 U Wilson 43:24,25 44:2,6, 12 **uh-huh** 7:14 Windsor 9:2 Ultimately 21:14 Wisconsin 27:19 **understand** 6:2,21,22 wondering 41:6 7:2 16:6 34:1 35:4 38:10, word 40:20 understanding 10:17, work 9:16,17 10:6,9 19:9 25 11:6 29:23 33:22 21:21 22:4,10 36:12 44:3 unequivocally 32:13 worked 9:18 10:8,10 30:8 ٧ write 23:12 44:16 written 23:16,24 24:10 vein 7:11 27:6 42:18 43:6,21 44:12 verbal 7:12,16 wrote 23:8 46:3 version 39:4 versus 45:15 Υ vice 18:21,23 **years** 6:13,14 9:14 15:14 **video** 19:18,19 23:22 27:1 30:16 39:17 you-all's 47:13 video-recorded 5:6 Youtube 31:14 39:12,17, videoconference 4:17 22 videos 25:3 Ζ visited 16:23 voters 34:23 **Zoom** 24:25 Zoom-like 25:1 W waive 47:19,22 waiving 47:23 **walk** 21:17 **walked** 37:16 watch 31:15 39:11 watched 36:16,18 37:22 39:8 webcast 25:12,20,21,23 26:1 webcasts 39:16

website 13:3,11 14:13 15:1 16:14,15,22,25 18:20 22:9 36:4 websites 15:15 16:23 21:1 39:13,14

Exhibit

2

McCALEB

VS.

LONG

MICHELLE LONG October 25, 2023



			Pag
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT FOR	1	I N D E X	
THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION	2	Page	
NASHVILLE DIVISION		0Examination	
	3	By Mr. Dougherty 5	
DAN MCCALEB, Executive Editor of	4	Examination	
THE CENTER SQUARE,		By Mr. Stahl 154	
Plaintiff,	_	by Mr. Staff	
vs. Case No. 3:22-cv-00439	5		
MICHELLE LONG, in her official		Further Examination	
capacity as DIRECTOR of the	6	By Mr. Dougherty 157	
TENNESSEE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS,	7		
OF THE COURTS,	8		
Defendant.	9		
	9		
		EXHIBITS	
	10		
		(None marked.)	
	11		
Deposition of:	12		
MICHELLE LONG	13		
MICHELLE LONG	14		
Taken on behalf of the Plaintiff	15		
October 25, 2023	16		
Commencing at 9:04 a.m. CST	17		
<u> </u>			
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
Tanibas Torril	22		
Lexitas Legal	23		
Jenny Checuga, LCR, RPR 555 Marriott Drive			
Nashville, Tennessee 37214	24		
(615)595-0073	25		
Page APPEARANCES	2 1	STIPULATIONS	Pag
	I		
	1		
For the Plaintiff:	2		
For the Plaintiff: MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III	2 3		
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III	3	The deposition of MICHELLE LONG was taken	
	3	The deposition of MICHELLE LONG was taken	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law	3	The deposition of MICHELLE LONG was taken by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center	3		
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654	3 4 5 6	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548	3 4 5	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654	3 4 5 6	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org	3 4 5 6 7 8	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548	3 4 5 6 7 8	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice,	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL	3 4 5 6 7 8	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived.	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General & Reporter	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived. All objections, except as to the form of the	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General & Reporter PO Box 20207	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived.	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General & Reporter PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived. All objections, except as to the form of the	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General & Reporter PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived. All objections, except as to the form of the questions, are reserved to the hearing, and that said deposition may be read and used in	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General & Reporter PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived. All objections, except as to the form of the questions, are reserved to the hearing, and	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General & Reporter PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived. All objections, except as to the form of the questions, are reserved to the hearing, and that said deposition may be read and used in	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General & Reporter PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived. All objections, except as to the form of the questions, are reserved to the hearing, and that said deposition may be read and used in evidence in said cause of action in any trial thereon or any proceeding herein.	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General & Reporter PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived. All objections, except as to the form of the questions, are reserved to the hearing, and that said deposition may be read and used in evidence in said cause of action in any trial thereon or any proceeding herein. It is agreed that JENNIFER CHECUGA, LCR,	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General & Reporter PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov For the Deponent, Rachel Harmon:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived. All objections, except as to the form of the questions, are reserved to the hearing, and that said deposition may be read and used in evidence in said cause of action in any trial thereon or any proceeding herein.	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General & Reporter PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov For the Deponent, Rachel Harmon: MR. JOHN COKE	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived. All objections, except as to the form of the questions, are reserved to the hearing, and that said deposition may be read and used in evidence in said cause of action in any trial thereon or any proceeding herein. It is agreed that JENNIFER CHECUGA, LCR, RPR, and Court Reporter for the State of	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General & Reporter PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov For the Deponent, Rachel Harmon: MR. JOHN COKE Attorney at Law	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived. All objections, except as to the form of the questions, are reserved to the hearing, and that said deposition may be read and used in evidence in said cause of action in any trial thereon or any proceeding herein. It is agreed that JENNIFER CHECUGA, LCR, RPR, and Court Reporter for the State of Tennessee, may swear the witness, and that the	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General & Reporter PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov For the Deponent, Rachel Harmon: MR. JOHN COKE Attorney at Law Administrative Office of the Courts	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived. All objections, except as to the form of the questions, are reserved to the hearing, and that said deposition may be read and used in evidence in said cause of action in any trial thereon or any proceeding herein. It is agreed that JENNIFER CHECUGA, LCR, RPR, and Court Reporter for the State of	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General & Reporter PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov For the Deponent, Rachel Harmon: MR. JOHN COKE Attorney at Law Administrative Office of the Courts 511 Union Street, Suite 100	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived. All objections, except as to the form of the questions, are reserved to the hearing, and that said deposition may be read and used in evidence in said cause of action in any trial thereon or any proceeding herein. It is agreed that JENNIFER CHECUGA, LCR, RPR, and Court Reporter for the State of Tennessee, may swear the witness, and that the reading and signing of the completed deposition	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General & Reporter PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov For the Deponent, Rachel Harmon: MR. JOHN COKE Attorney at Law Administrative Office of the Courts	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived. All objections, except as to the form of the questions, are reserved to the hearing, and that said deposition may be read and used in evidence in said cause of action in any trial thereon or any proceeding herein. It is agreed that JENNIFER CHECUGA, LCR, RPR, and Court Reporter for the State of Tennessee, may swear the witness, and that the	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General & Reporter PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov For the Deponent, Rachel Harmon: MR. JOHN COKE Attorney at Law Administrative Office of the Courts 511 Union Street, Suite 100	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived. All objections, except as to the form of the questions, are reserved to the hearing, and that said deposition may be read and used in evidence in said cause of action in any trial thereon or any proceeding herein. It is agreed that JENNIFER CHECUGA, LCR, RPR, and Court Reporter for the State of Tennessee, may swear the witness, and that the reading and signing of the completed deposition	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General & Reporter PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov For the Deponent, Rachel Harmon: MR. JOHN COKE Attorney at Law Administrative Office of the Courts 511 Union Street, Suite 100	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived. All objections, except as to the form of the questions, are reserved to the hearing, and that said deposition may be read and used in evidence in said cause of action in any trial thereon or any proceeding herein. It is agreed that JENNIFER CHECUGA, LCR, RPR, and Court Reporter for the State of Tennessee, may swear the witness, and that the reading and signing of the completed deposition	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General & Reporter PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov For the Deponent, Rachel Harmon: MR. JOHN COKE Attorney at Law Administrative Office of the Courts 511 Union Street, Suite 100	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived. All objections, except as to the form of the questions, are reserved to the hearing, and that said deposition may be read and used in evidence in said cause of action in any trial thereon or any proceeding herein. It is agreed that JENNIFER CHECUGA, LCR, RPR, and Court Reporter for the State of Tennessee, may swear the witness, and that the reading and signing of the completed deposition	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General & Reporter PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov For the Deponent, Rachel Harmon: MR. JOHN COKE Attorney at Law Administrative Office of the Courts 511 Union Street, Suite 100	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived. All objections, except as to the form of the questions, are reserved to the hearing, and that said deposition may be read and used in evidence in said cause of action in any trial thereon or any proceeding herein. It is agreed that JENNIFER CHECUGA, LCR, RPR, and Court Reporter for the State of Tennessee, may swear the witness, and that the reading and signing of the completed deposition	
MR. M.E. BUCK DOUGHERTY III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 North Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (423)326-7548 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General & Reporter PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov For the Deponent, Rachel Harmon: MR. JOHN COKE Attorney at Law Administrative Office of the Courts 511 Union Street, Suite 100	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	by counsel for the Plaintiff, at the offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on October 25, 2023, by Notice for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All formalities as to caption, notice, statement of appearance, et cetera, are waived. All objections, except as to the form of the questions, are reserved to the hearing, and that said deposition may be read and used in evidence in said cause of action in any trial thereon or any proceeding herein. It is agreed that JENNIFER CHECUGA, LCR, RPR, and Court Reporter for the State of Tennessee, may swear the witness, and that the reading and signing of the completed deposition	

Page 5

1 2

MICHELLE LONG,

was called as a witness, and having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:

4 5 6

7

18

19

3

EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MR. DOUGHERTY:

8 Q. Good morning.

9 Good morning.

10 My name is Buck Dougherty, I'm an 11 attorney with Liberty Justice Center and I 12 represent the Plaintiff in this lawsuit, Dan 13 McCaleb. He's the executive editor of the 14 Center Square. And we'll go ahead and get 15 started with some introduction and kind of ground rules and we'll talk a little bit about 16 17 that.

Have you ever had your deposition taken before today?

- 20 A. Only once.
- 21 Q. And when was that?
- 22 Α. Over ten years ago.
- 23 Was that -- do you recall, was it a
- 24 particular lawsuit that you were involved in
- 25 or --

- 1 point. If you want to go for an hour and take
- 2 a break or two hours, that's up to you, the
- 3 only stipulation is if I've got a guestion that
- 4 I've asked and it's on the table, I would ask
- 5 that you answer that question then before we
- 6 take a break.
- 7 Α. Yes, of course.
- 8 Okay. So do you understand that you're
- 9 under oath today?
- 10 A. I do.
- 11 And are you prepared to answer the
- 12 questions that I ask of you truthfully?
- 13 Yes. Α.
- 14 Q. Are you represented by counsel?
- 15 Α.
- 16 Q. And what is his name?
- 17 Michael Stahl. Α.
- Michael Stahl. 18 Q.
- 19 MR. DOUGHERTY: And there's another 20 person here, want go ahead and introduce 21 yourself?
- 22 MR. COKE: John Coke, general counsel
- 23 at the Tennessee Administrative Office. 24 MR. DOUGHERTY: I don't know if I
- 25 asked you this, Mr. Coke, are you going to be

Page 6

- A. It was not -- I believe I was deposed as 1
- a fact witness in a lawsuit involving hospitals 2 3 when I was working at the Tennessee Hospital
- 4 Association.
- 5 Q. And was that lawsuit filed in a Tennessee
- 6 state court?
- 7 A. I think it was federal.
- 8 Q. Tennessee federal court?
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 Q. Would that have been Middle District of
- Tennessee? 11
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. So you perhaps may recall, you
- 14 know, I'll ask a question, it's important -- I
- 15 know when people communicate we nod our heads
- 16 and give cues, it's important that you give
- 17 audible verbal statements so our court reporter
- 18 can pick that up on the transcript, and I'll
- 19 try to be as clear as possible with my
- 20 questions.
- 21 If you don't understand any question at 22 any time, feel free to ask me to restate it,
- 23 okay?
- A. Yes. 24
- 25 And also, we can take a break at any

1 entering a notice of appearance in this

2 lawsuit?

3 MR. COKE: No, I will not.

MR. DOUGHERTY: Okay. 4

5 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:

- 6 Did you take any kind of medication or
- 7 are you on any kind of treatment that would
- 8 hinder your ability to give truthful and honest
- 9 answers today?
- 10 Α. No.
- 11 Q. Okay. So you kind of understand kind of
- 12 our ground rules today?
- 13 Yes, sir. Α.
- 14 Q. Okay. Please state your full name for
- 15 the record.
- 16 Michelle Evette Jones long.
- And where have you lived during the last 17
- five years? 18
- 19 Α. Nashville, Tennessee.
- 20 Q. Where do you work?
- 21 The Tennessee Administrative Office of
- 22 the Courts.
- 23 Q. And what is your position?
- 24 Α. I am the director.
- 25 Q. Do you go by director or is it

Page 9

- 1 administrative director or executive director;
- 2 which title is it?
- 3 A. In the statute it is director or I'm also
- 4 referred to as the chief administrative officer
- 5 in the statute.
- 6 Q. But you refer to yourself as director?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Okay. When did you start that position?
- 9 A. As director, February of 2022.
- 10 Q. Do you remember the specific day; would
- 11 that have been February 1, 2022?
- 12 A. I believe that is correct.
- 13 Q. And explain that director position; did
- 14 someone appoint you to that position?
- 15 A. I was appointed by the Tennessee Supreme
- 16 Court, correct.
- 17 Q. And you worked there since February 1st
- 18 of 2022?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. What did you do prior to your appointment
- 21 as director?
- 22 A. I was deputy director.
- 23 Q. And what was the time period in which you
- 24 were deputy director?
- 25 A. I began in October of 2019 as deputy

- 1 A. D-R-E-Y-Z-E-H-N-E-R. I'll have to look
- 2 at it.
- 3 Q. Is he still working in state government?
- 4 A. No, he's not.
- 5 Q. Okay. So that's a good window. Anything
- 6 before -- I'm sure you had work before then,
- 7 but what did you do prior to the Tennessee
- 8 Department of Health?
- 9 A. Prior to the Tennessee Department of
- 10 Health, I worked as senior vice president and
- 11 legal counsel for the Tennessee Hospital
- 12 Association.
- 13 Q. Is that a private or a state position?
- 14 A. Private.
- 15 Q. Is that a nonprofit?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Is that nonprofit still in existence?
- 18 **A. Yes.**
- 19 Q. And where are they located?
- 20 A. They are now located in Maryland Farms in
- 21 Brentwood, Tennessee.
- 22 Q. Where were they located when you worked
- 23 there?
- 24 A. Over -- near the fairgrounds. I can't
- 5 recall the name of the street.

Page 10

- 1 director.
- 2 Q. Prior to that, where did you work?
- 3 A. Prior to that I worked for the Tennessee
- 4 Department of Health.
- 5 Q. Do you recall the time period in which
- 6 you were with the Tennessee Department of
- 7 Health?
- 8 A. Seven to eight years. I think it's
- 9 closer to seven years.
- 10 Q. Okay. And what was your position with
- 11 the Tennessee Department of Health?
- 12 A. I was assistant commissioner for
- 13 licensure and regulation.
- 14 Q. Is that for hospital licensure?
- 15 A. All hospitals, all healthcare facilities,
- 16 healthcare practitioners, yes.
- 17 Q. Was the assistant commissioner, is that
- 18 an elected or an appointed position?
- 19 A. Appointed.
- 20 Q. Who appointed you to that position?
- 21 A. The commissioner of the Department of
- 22 Health.
- 23 Q. Do you recall that person's name?
- 24 A. John Dreyzehner.
- 25 Q. How do you spell the last name?

- 1 Q. Is that here in Nashville?
- 2 A. Here in Nashville.
- 3 Q. Okay. All right, let's -- so you're a
- 4 practicing attorney; is that correct?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And where was your undergraduate degree,
- 7 the school and the year?
- 8 A. Northwestern University in Evanston,
- 9 Illinois, and I graduated in 1990.
- 10 Q. Chicago, our office is based in Chicago.
 - And your law school?
- 12 A. University of Tennessee, Knoxville.
- 13 Q. And what year was your JD?
- 14 A. 1994.

11

- 15 Q. Do you have any other postsecondary
- 16 graduate degrees or anything?
- 17 A. No, sir.
- 18 Q. Okay. What was the date of your first
- 19 bar admission? The year, excuse me.
- 20 **A. 1994.**
- 21 Q. And was that Tennessee?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Are you admitted or barred in any other
- 24 states?
- 25 **A. Yes.**

- 1 Q. Where are those states?
- 2 A. Alabama and DC.
- 3 Q. And then do you have, I assume, federal
- 4 court admissions?
- 5 A. I did. I don't maintain them, but yes.
- 6 Q. At one point?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Would that have been the United States
- 9 Supreme Court; do you recall?
- 10 A. I believe it was when I was working in
- 11 Alabama, so it would have been not the United
- 12 States Supreme Court, but the 9th Circuit.
- 13 Seems there was a case --
- 14 Q. The 9th Circuit Court of Appeals?
- 15 **A. Yes. Yes.**
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. Alabama's 9th Circuit.
- 18 Q. So you're not talking about the 9th
- 19 Circuit Court of Appeals in federal court.
- 20 A. I am. I think I am.
- 21 Q. Okay. The 9th Circuit that sits in San
- 22 Francisco, the federal court?
- 23 A. Then no, I'm not, I've got the circuit
- 24 wrong, I apologize.
- 25 Q. Would it be the 11th Circuit Court of

1 Q. Have you ever been formally disciplined

Page 15

- 2 by any state bar, licensing authority?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Have you ever been convicted of a crime?
- 5 A. No
- 6 Q. Other than this lawsuit, McCaleb versus
- 7 Long, have you ever been a party to a lawsuit
- 8 before?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. So the deposition you gave, the Tennessee
- 11 Hospital -- the one you mentioned about ten
- 12 years ago, you weren't actually a party to that
- 13 lawsuit?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. Do you recall who the parties were?
- 16 **A.** I do not.
- 17 Q. Okay. Do you recall how that lawsuit
- 18 concluded?
- 19 **A. I do not.**
- 20 Q. All right. As director -- for purposes
- 21 of this deposition, I'm going to refer to your
- 22 office either as the AOC or the TAOC; is that
- 23 okay? Do you understand what -- we can do that
- 24 today?

1

25 A. Yes, sir.

Page 14

- 1 Appeals?
- 2 A. The 11th.
- 3 Q. Would that be in Atlanta?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. That's all right, we're not in federal
- 6 law.
- 7 So it looks like you had a very lengthy
- 8 experience with your legal career, you've held
- 9 a lot of state positions. Is it fair to say
- 10 you were not in litigation?
- 11 A. I started off in litigation, but I did
- 12 not stay in litigation. So most of my career
- 13 is not litigation.
- 14 Q. At least the last ten years or so it's
- 15 been primarily in state --
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. -- organizations?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. I appreciate your responsiveness. Just
- 20 to help her out, just let me finish the
- 21 question and I'll try to do the same before you
- 22 answer. I know -- even though you're
- 23 anticipating your answer, we'll make sure we
- 24 help our court reporter out.
- 25 **A.** Okay.

Page 16
And I know that you all refer to it as

- 2 the AOC?
- 3 A. We do.
- 4 Q. And you're aware that there is a federal
- 5 AOC as well, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. So that's -- just for simplicity
- 8 purposes, I'll refer to it today as either the
- 9 AOC or the Tennessee AOC?
- 10 A. Okav.
- 11 Q. If I'm going to refer to the Federal AOC,
- 12 I'll make a specific reference to it.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. Okay. Who is your supervisor as director
- 15 of the AOC?
- 16 A. Chief Justice Holly Kirby.
- 17 Q. And how long has Chief Justice Kirby been
- 18 your supervisor?
- 19 A. Since September 1st.
- 20 Q. Of this year?
- 21 A. Of this year.
- 22 Q. And who was your supervisor prior to
- 23 Chief Justice Kirby?
- 24 A. Chief Justice Roger Page.
- 25 Q. And is it your understanding that the

Page 17

- 1 chief justice of the Tennessee Supreme Court is
- 2 always the director's supervisor?
- 3 A. That is my understanding.
- 4 Q. And do you have periodic evaluations on
- 5 your performance with the chief justice?
- 6 A. I would say I have weekly evaluations
- 7 with the chief justice, but nothing formal.
- 8 Q. What -- explain those; tell me about9 those weekly evaluations.
- 10 A. So we have a standing meeting -- I have a
- 11 standing meeting with the chief justice every
- 12 Friday.
- 13 Q. And what do those standing meetings every
- 14 Friday, what at the do they consist of?
- 15 A. Updates on activities at the AOC and then
- 16 mostly awareness, I call it situational
- 17 awareness.
- 18 Q. What kind of updates? Are you talking
- 19 about court updates?
- 20 A. No. administrative.
- 21 Q. What are some of those administrative
- 22 updates that come up in your discussions?
- 23 A. So -- okay, so particularly right now
- 24 we're in the process of budget discussions and
- 25 so we would talk about the budget priorities

- 1 Q. And TLAP.
- 2 A. -- that have state employees.
- 3 Q. What does TLAP stand for?
- 4 A. Tennessee Lawyers Assistance Program.
- 5 Q. Okay. And the CLE, that's the group or
- 6 the division that monitors attorneys' CLEs
- 7 every year?
- 8 A. Continuing legal education, correct.
- 9 Board of Law Examiners, that's the one I'm
- 10 **forgetting**.
- 11 Q. So do you make recommendations in terms
- 12 of salary increases for AOC employees or how
- 13 does that process work?
- 14 A. For AOC employees, yes.
- 15 Q. But the CLE, does that come under the AOC
- 16 Department?
- 17 A. No, it has its own director. Each of
- 18 those boards have their own director.
- 19 Q. Well, help me, I'm just trying to
- 20 understand.
- 21 Why would you be involved in salary
- 22 discussions in budget; does that come under
- 23 your budget, the CLE?
- 24 A. It actually does not, but in order to
- 25 implement salary increases, we have to

- 1 for the -- for the Court, for the AOC, those
- 2 become our priorities.
- 3 Q. And does that -- do you also in these
- 4 weekly meetings and updates discuss any boards
- 5 or commissions, any administrative issues that
- 6 are coming up in any of those?
- 7 A. I cannot recall anything recently
- 8 relative to a board -- I take that back.
- So we recently did salary increases at
 the AOC across the Judicial Department, and so
- 11 yes, we talked about boards and commissions
- 10 yes, we tanked about boards and comm
- 12 salary increases.
- 13 Q. Are boards and commissions, do they
- 14 receive a state salary?
- 15 A. Some do.
- 16 Q. Which ones do you recall that receive a
- 17 state salary?
- 18 A. So the CLE, the Continuing Legal
- 19 Education Commission. The Board of
- 20 Professional Responsibility has state
- 21 employees. TLAP, Tennessee Lawyers Assistance
- 22 Program has state employees. And I am -- did I
- 23 say the Board of Professional Responsibility,
- 24 Commission on Legal Education, I think those
- 25 are the three --

- 1 implement them at the AOC.
- 2 Q. Explain that.
- 3 A. So our fiscal director manages their
- 4 budget as well.
- 5 Q. I see. Well, depending on what CLE or
- 6 the Board of Professional Responsibility gets
- 7 in terms of funding, does that affect your
- 8 office, the AOC?
- 9 A. I'm sorry, I don't understand that
- 10 question.
- 11 Q. Sure. I'm just trying to understand the
- 12 interconnectedness.
- 13 How does the salary increases or
- 14 decreases, adjustments, let's say, in another
- 15 -- either CLE -- the boards that you listed,
- 16 CLE, the Board of Professional Responsibility,
- 17 TLAP or the Law Examiners, how does budgetary
- 18 issues with respect to those four entities
- 19 affect the Administrative Office of the Courts
- 20 and AOC employees?
- 21 A. So I would say that it doesn't impact AOC
- 22 employees, except that our fiscal director and
- 23 our HR director are the ones responsible for
- 24 literally keying the salary adjustments.
- 25 Q. So your participation in those

- 1 discussions is more just kind of ancillary; is
- 2 it fair to say?
- 3 A. I think that's fair.
- 4 Q. Does the -- is it the fiscal director?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. What is that person's name?
- 7 A. Dalton Hensley.
- 8 Q. And does Dalton Hensley come under your
- 9 supervision?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Does the AOC office, do you get involved
- 12 in the salary adjustments with the judges,
- 13 state court judges, appellate judges?
- 14 A. So their salary adjustments are pursuant
- 15 to statute, so they get a COLA every year. In
- order for that to show up in their paychecks,
- 17 we literally key the information into a system
- 18 that pays them.
- 19 Q. So your office -- the AOC is just like
- 20 the name says, your office provides
- 21 administrative support?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. What is COLA; what does that stand for?
- 24 A. Cost of living adjustment.
- 25 Q. That's COLA?

- page 23 1 justice for litigants, you know, where English
- 2 is not the first language. So we do court
- 3 interpreter programs. We certify court
- 4 interpreters so that courts have that -- a
- 5 certification for legal interpretation
- 6 available in the courts.
 - Q. And we'll talk about access to justice in a moment.
- 9 Do you also -- as part of your duties,
- 10 are you required to submit a budget each year?
- 11 A. Yes.

7

8

- 12 Q. And I think you just said something about
- 13 the process. Is that -- when do you usually
- 14 typically do that, submit a budget?
- 15 A. We have submitted our budget request. I
- 16 don't recall the due date, but it has been
- 17 submitted. We'll have our first hearing in
- 18 November.
- 19 Q. Is that a public hearing or is that
- 20 before the General Assembly? When you say
- 21 hearing, what do you mean?
- 22 A. It's with the Department of Finance and
- 23 Administration. So the statute requires us to
- 24 present our budget to F&A first.
- 25 Q. What statute are you referring to?

Page 22

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Is that a state of Tennessee term or is
- 3 that a federal term or just a widely used term?
- 4 A. I think it's a widely used term.
- 5 Q. Okay. What is your understanding of the
- 6 purpose, function and role of your position as
- 7 director of AOC?
- 8 A. To provide support to the Tennessee
- 9 Supreme Court for the administration of
- 10 effective, efficient court processes for the
- 11 administration of justice in Tennessee.
- 12 Q. So is it just support to the Tennessee
- 13 Supreme Court?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. You don't provide support to any other of
- 16 the courts?
- 17 A. I would say we -- the AOC operates at the
- 18 direction of the Tennessee Supreme Court and
- 19 the Tennessee Supreme Court has authority for
- 20 the entire court system. So all of those other
- 21 courts are included.
- 22 Q. Any responsibility for efficient
- 23 administration of courts regarding litigants
- 24 who come into courts?
- 25 A. We do have programs that ensure access to

1 A. Couldn't tell you the you citation off

- 2 the top of my head.
- 3 Q. Is it part of the statute that outlines
- 4 the director's duties?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. When do you typically start getting
- 7 involved with that budgetary process that
- 8 you're required by statute to submit?
- 9 A. It almost begins at the conclusion of a
- 10 legislative session, but I would say formally
- 11 some time in the fall. But we're gathering
- 12 information the entire time.
- 13 Q. Were you responsible for submitting the
- 14 budget to the governor in 2022?
- 15 A. No, my predecessor submitted the budget
- 16 in 2022.
- 17 Q. And do you know when that would have been
- 18 submitted -- and I'm referring to the AOC
- 19 portion -- to the governor? And then as I
- 20 understand it, the governor then submits it to
- 21 the General Assembly; is that how it works?
- 22 A. So departments and agencies submit their
- budget to the Department of Finance and
 Administration and then the Department of
- 25 Finance and Administration makes

- 1 recommendations to the governor for his budget.
- 2 Q. And who was your predecessor?
- 3 A. Deborah Tate.
- 4 Q. And do you know why she submitted the
- 5 budget in 2022?
- 6 A. It would have been in the normal course
- 7 of business. It would have been submitted in
- 8 the fall of 2021 and then processed through the
- 9 next steps in the legislature in 2022.
- 10 Q. And that was before you took your role as
- 11 director in February of 2022; is that correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. So you were Ms. Tate's deputy director;
- 14 is that right?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Is the AOC office responsible for
- 17 reimbursement payments to any individuals
- 18 serving on boards and commissions?
- 19 **A. Yes.**
- 20 Q. And tell me about that.
- 21 A. So expense claims are submitted to our
- 22 Division for Fiscal Services. They then review
- 23 them for appropriateness and then they get
- 24 submitted to F&A for processing or payment.
- 25 Q. And what is F&A?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. But that's not the AOC's F&A, correct?
- 3 A. Well, it's not our Division of Fiscal
- 4 Services, correct.
- 5 Q. I just want to understand, the AOC's
- 6 fiscal director is Dalton Hensley?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. When you say "F&A," you're referring to a
- 9 centralized different Department of Finance and
- 10 Administration?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Within the whole state?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. Are you responsible or your
- 15 office, the AOC, for overseeing reimbursement
- 16 requests from members of the Advisory
- 17 Commission on the rules of practice and
- 18 procedure?
- 19 A. Could you repeat that? I am sorry.
- 20 Q. Yeah. Is the AOC responsible for
- 21 overseeing reimbursement requests from the
- 22 members of the Advisory Commission on the rules
- 23 of practice and procedure?
- 24 A. To the extent that they are eligible for
- 25 reimbursement for expenses, then yes.

Page 26

- 1 A. Finance and Administration, I apologize.
- 2 Q. What do you mean by -- I think I know
- 3 what you mean, but I want you to explain it --
- 4 by appropriateness when reimbursement expenses
- 5 are submitted?
- 6 A. So we just check to make sure that it is
- 7 an eligible expense.
- 8 Q. Is there some type of formal guideline
- 9 that you have that you follow?
- 10 A. So we do have guidelines for travel
- 11 reimbursement. For example, the day of travel
- 12 for per diems would not be full day for the per
- 13 diem, you get a percentage of the day. So we
- 14 look for things like that to audit the
- 15 **expenses**.
- 16 Q. Are those guidelines internal AOC
- 17 policies or is that by statute?
- 18 A. We do have an internal policy, but it
- 19 mimics the state policy.
- 20 Q. It's a state policy or a state statute?
- 21 A. I believe it is a policy.
- 22 Q. Okay. Who implements state policies?
- 23 A. For that purpose, it would be the
- 24 Department of Finance and Administration.
- 25 Q. F&A?

1 Q. It comes to your office, right?

- 2 A. That is correct.
- 3 Q. Do you keep records of those
- 4 reimbursement requests?
- 5 A. I do not.
- 6 Q. Does your office keep records?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And how far back does that -- do those
- 9 records go?
- 10 A. I do not know.
- 11 Q. But someone in your office would know?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. As director of the AOC, do you survey and
- 14 study the operation of the state court system?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Explain how you do that. What does that
- 17 look like?
- 18 A. So I can give you a specific example
- 19 relative to -- since I've been director.
- 20 Technology and the processes relative to
- 21 E-filing for state courts. So we have been in
- 22 the process of surveying what each and every
- 23 court offers in term of E-filing.
- 24 Q. How do you survey?
- 25 A. Well, we went out and met with court

Page 28

- 1 clerks and judges across the state in all three
- 2 grand divisions, we kind of created teams that
- 3 went east and west and we all kind of looked at
- 4 middle to see what the state of E-filing was in
- 5 as many courts as we could cover.
- 6 Q. Does the AOC office keep records of these
- 7 surveys?
- 8 A. I have my notes.
- 9 Q. Okay. What does that mean, your notes?
- 10 A. So in the process of meeting with court
- 11 clerks to understand what their systems looked
- 12 like, I took notes to make sure we could
- 13 compare from county to county what was
- 14 happening.
- 15 Q. Who do you share those notes within the
- 16 AOC or the court system?
- 17 A. I have not shared my notes.
- 18 Q. You have not shared your notes?
- 19 **A. No**.
- 20 Q. You still have your notes?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Are those at your office?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. Do they get inputted into the
- 25 electronic system or computer system or

1 clerk, Jim Hivner. I discussed the takeaways

- 2 with Chief Justice Roger Page. Maybe that's --
- 3 maybe that's all.
- 4 Q. And what was -- if you can summarize,
- 5 what was the takeaway?
- 6 A. The takeaway was that there was no
- 7 uniformity across our courts. There were some
- 8 impediments to E-filing that we needed to
- 9 overcome. Those were the major takeaways.
- 10 Q. And has there been a process of next
- 11 steps to -- strike that question.
 - From the takeaways, did you make a
- 13 recommendation to anyone based on your survey?
- 14 A. Yes

12

- 15 Q. Who did you make recommendations to?
- 16 A. Chief Justice Roger Page.
- 17 Q. Were those discussed verbally with him or
- 18 did you make your recommendations in writing?
- 19 A. Verbally.
- 20 Q. Do you recall when that was?
- 21 A. Probably the end of the calendar year in
- 22 **2022.**
- 23 Q. The end of 2022?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. December of 2022, approximately?

Page 30

- 1 anything like that?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Do you share your notes with the justices
- 4 on the Supreme Court?
- 5 A. Are you asking me if I shared the
- 6 physical paper that my --
- 7 Q. Either. When I say "share your notes,"
- 8 either discussed your notes with someone in the
- 9 AOC or the court system or physically shared
- 10 your notes with someone?
- 11 A. I have discussed.
- 12 Q. Okay. Let's do that then. Who have you
- 13 discussed -- within the AOC, let's start with
- 14 the AOC first. What individuals have you
- 15 discussed based on your survey and based on
- 16 your notes?
- 17 A. I have discussed what I learned with our
- 18 director for information technology services.
- 19 **I have --**
- 20 Q. What's the person's name?
- 21 A. Brandon Bowers.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 A. Members of his team, Amanda Hughes. I
- 24 have discussed the takeaways from that physical
- 25 survey of courts with our appellate court

1 A. Yes.

- 2 Q. So what were your verbal recommendations?
- 3 A. Recommendations were that our case -- the
- 4 state's case management system was inhibiting
- 5 courts from being able to as rapidly deploy
- 6 E-filing as we may have desired and that we
- 7 needed to work with our vendor to make sure
- 8 they were actively improving their system such
- 9 that it was not limiting courts from being able
- 10 to E-file.
- 11 Q. When you say "vendor," are you talking
- 12 about some outside technology vendor?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. What's the name of the vendor?
- 15 A. Local Government.
- 16 Q. That's the name of the vendor?
- 17 A. That is the name of the vendor, Local
- 18 Government Corporation located in Columbia,
- 19 Tennessee.
- 20 Q. Okay. Is that a vendor that the AOC
- 21 office has contracted with previously?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Did Chief Justice Paige implement your
- 24 verbal recommendations?
- 25 MR. COKE: Object --

Page 32

1 MR. STAHL: Object to the form.

2 THE WITNESS: Did he implement --

3 I'm sorry, say it again.

4 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:

5 Q. Yeah, I want to make sure I understand.

You said you gave verbal recommendation to see Chief Justice Paige in the end of 2022

8 in December; is that right?

9 A. That's right.

10 Q. And it was regarding the vendor, the

11 Local Government Corporation, regarding the

12 technology in your survey; is that accurate?

13 A. That's right, that is accurate.

14 Q. So what were your verbal recommendations

15 to Chief Justice Page?

16 A. So first and foremost was to address our

17 case management system, which is that vendor.

18 Q. Right.

19 A. And so yes, that was accepted.

20 Q. What do you mean by "accepted"?

21 A. We are in the process currently of

22 expanding -- okay, so from that recommendation,

23 what we learned -- the court has engaged in a

24 technology oversight review for the court. Out

25 of that, we expect to do competitive bids for

1 Q. Okay.

2 A. I think that's right.

3 Q. So were there any other verbal

4 recommendations, other than the vendor, that

5 you made to Chief Justice Page?

6 A. No, because most everything hinges on the

Page 35

7 case management system.

8 Q. Now, is the case management system that

9 you're talking about, is that different from

10 like the YouTube channels and livestreaming?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Okay. Let's talk a little bit about the

13 YouTube channels and livestreaming, okay?

14 A. (Nodding head.)

15 Q. Did that issue come up in your survey

16 that you've talked about where you went to each

17 grand division?

18 **A. No.**

19 Q. What is your understanding of the two

20 YouTube channels and the livestreaming that the

21 AOC does?

22 A. What is my understanding?

23 Q. Explain what your office -- or let me

24 start real quick.

Does your office involve providing

Page 34

1 an overall system to provide uniform case

2 management, E-filing across the state. So it's

3 broadened our view of what is needed to move

4 the state forward.

5 Q. Previously I used the word "implement,"

6 you said "accepted," so does that mean that

7 Chief Justice Page with respect to this first

verbal recommendation, the vendor, that he

9 accepted your recommendations?

MR. STAHL: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: So yes, he accepted the

12 recommendations and takeaway from our survey,

13 **yes.**

8

10

11

14 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:

15 Q. How does he display that he's accepting

16 one of your recommendations?

17 A. By first creating a Technology Oversight

18 Committee and designating one of the chief

19 justices to head up that work.

20 Q. And when was that --

21 A. One of the justices.

22 Q. When was that technology committee

23 created, if you can recall?

24 A. I would say the spring of this year, of

25 **2023.**

Page 36
1 livestream services to court proceedings?

2 A. Yes.

25

3 Q. Does your office provide livestreaming to

4 various meetings of boards and commissions to

5 the public?

6 A. I don't know about boards and

7 commissions.

8 Q. Well, do you -- is it your

9 responsibility -- or whose responsibility is it

10 on the AOC website to kind of oversee that

11 website?

12 A. Our communications director, Barbara

13 **Peck.**

14 Q. Are you aware that of a preliminary

15 injunction that was entered in this case?

16 A. Am I aware of it?

17 Q. Yes.

18 **A. Yes.**

19 Q. And what is your understanding of that

20 preliminary injunction with respect to what the

21 AOC office was required to do?

22 A. We were required to offer in person or

23 virtual access to the rules -- Advisory

24 Commission on rules.

25 Q. And so when was the first time you saw

Document 74-7615) 595-0073 Page 11 of 58 PageID #: 2686

- 1 that preliminary injunction?
- 2 A. Sometime in March of this year.
- 3 Q. And who provided that preliminary
- 4 injunction to you?
- 5 A. Probably our legal counsel, John Coke.
- 6 Q. Who did you speak with within the AOC or
- 7 the court system about the preliminary
- 8 injunction?
- 9 A. I would have only had conversation with
- 10 John Coke to make me aware of the order and I
- 11 don't recall having any other conversations
- 12 about it.
- 13 Q. Did -- as part of the preliminary
- 14 injunction -- so you just said the virtual.
- 15 When you say "virtual," does that mean
- 16 livestreaming?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Is that something that you made sure took
- 19 place after the preliminary injunction in terms
- 20 of livestreaming or virtual so the public could
- 21 view the meeting virtually?
- 22 A. So I have to say March was a difficult
- 23 month for me, I had a significant loss of a
- 24 family member that month. So I do know that
- 25 the intention was certainly to comply with the

- 1 team to assist with compliance with the
- 2 preliminary injunction; is that right?
- 3 A. That's right.
- 4 Q. Who was part of your team then?
- 5 A. So Deputy Director Rachel Harmon was
- 6 serving in my absence, and then basically every

Page 39

Page 40

- 7 division director at the AOC was making sure
- 8 that things continued seamlessly during my
- 9 absence.
- 10 Q. Just how many different divisions are
- 11 there within the AOC?
- 12 A. Six.
- 13 Q. And can you name those six divisions?
- 14 A. Yes. So there's our Fiscal Services
- 15 Division; Communications and Judicial Resources
- 16 Division; Access, Innovation and Collaboration;
- 17 Information Technology Services Division; Legal
- 18 Services and Judicial Development. And I'm
- 19 forgetting one. Executive. I'm forgetting
- 20 somebody.
- 21 Q. And it's not a quiz, I'm just -- is
- 22 that -- let me ask you this: Are those
- 23 divisions required by statute?
- 24 **A. No**
- 25 Q. So who makes the determination on

- 1 order, but I took no steps myself. I relied on
- 2 my team to make sure we were in compliance with
- 3 the court order.
- 4 Q. Sorry to hear about that, but -- I just
- 5 want to make sure, we're talking about March of
- 6 2023, this year, right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. Were you out of the office on
- 9 leave a period of that time?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. How long were you out?
- 12 A. So I know -- probably two weeks. I think
- 13 two weeks.
- 14 Q. Do you recall when that might have been
- 15 in March?
- 16 A. So March 9th -- a week following
- 17 March 9th, I returned to work the next week,
- and then I think maybe a week after March 26th.
- 19 Q. And if we need to take a break, we've
- 20 been going --
- 21 A. No, I'm fine.
- 22 Q. Okay, that's fine if we need to, we've
- 23 been going about 45 minutes.
- So you were out for a couple weeks there
- 25 in March and so you said you relied on your

- 1 creating or disbanding divisions; is that the
- 2 AOC director?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Have you ever created or implemented one
- 5 of these divisions or were they already in
- 6 place when you got there?
- 7 A. They were in place when I got there.
- 8 Q. Okay. Do you appoint the directors of
- 9 the various divisions?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. So you did -- when you took over in
- 12 February of 2022 you appointed new division
- 13 directors?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. How did you do that? Do you -- do you
- 16 hire from within the AOC or do you put out bids
- 17 or how does that process work?
- 18 A. Well, so the only director I have hired
- 19 was director for legal services and we did
- 20 publish notice and did about three rounds of
- 21 interviews for that. We had an internal
- 22 candidate who was John Coke for that position,
- 23 and he was the successful candidate.
- 24 Q. So you hired Mr. Coke?
- 25 A. Yes, I did.

1 Q. Okav.

- 2 He was already employed at the AOC, but Α.
- 3 ves. elevated him.
- 4 Q. I see. And you mentioned Deputy Director
- 5 Harmon, we'll talk a little bit about her.
- 6 You're aware that she gave a deposition in this 7 case?
- Yes. 8 Α.
- 9 Q. Kind of skipped over -- when we were
- 10 talking about technology, I kind of want to
- 11 circle back do that, the livestreaming and the
- 12 virtual what you were talking about.
- 13 When I say what is your understanding of 14 the livestreaming and the virtual YouTube
- 15 channels, number one, is that something that
- 16 your office oversees?
- 17 Α. Yes.

25

- 18 Q. And so when the preliminary injunction,
- 19 and I appreciate you sharing that information,
- 20 you were out, your team is helping you, who
- 21 made the decision -- because as you said, I
- 22 think the preliminary injunction said you
- 23 either have to have in-person public observing
- 24 or observing by livestreaming.
 - Who made the decision to go livestreaming

- Q. When did you first become aware of this 1
- 2 lawsuit?
- 3 A. Soon after I became director.
- 4 Q. Would that have been around the time it
- 5 was filed in June of 2022?
- 6 A. Well, I thought June of 2022 was -- let 7 me...
- 8 I thought June of 2022 was the amended
- 9 complaint in this matter.
- They were filed in the same month, I 10
- 11 believe.
- 12 Α. Okay.
- 13 And that's -- I'm not so much worried
- 14 about dates, I assume you became aware of it
- 15 when it was filed?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. Or shortly thereafter.
- 18 When you got it, did you issue any type
- 19 of litigation hold notice to your AOC
- 20 Department and your team?
- 21 That was done by our legal counsel at the
- 22 time.
- 23 Q. So there was a litigation hold sent out,
- 24 as far as you're aware?
- 25 Yes.

Page 42

- to comply with the injunction? 1
- 2 A. I don't know.
- 3 Q. Well, was that you or did you delegate
- 4 that to someone?
- 5 A. I would have only directed compliance
- 6 with the order, the how would have been someone
- 7 else on the team. I did not.
- 8 How did you delegate or direct
- 9 compliance? Did you do that through an e-mail
- 10 or verbal communication?
- 11 A. It would have been verbal and understood
- 12 that we had a court order. And so when that
- was communicated to me, of course we're going 13
- 14 to comply with that court order.
- 15 Do you know if you sent an e-mail?
- 16 I did not send an e-mail.
- 17 Do you know if anyone sent an e-mail
- 18 internally?
- 19 A. I do not know.
- 20 Did you communicate with the justices
- 21 about this preliminary injunction?

Case 3:22-cv-00439

- 22 A. I think it came up in communication,
- 23 again, situational awareness that we had
- 24 received the order, but that would have been
- the extent of it, just to update them.

- Q. Did you see that litigation hold? 1
- 2 I don't recall.
- 3 Q. Who would have been the counsel that
- 4 would have --
- 5 Α. Rachel Harmon.
- 6 Was she serving in a dual role?
- 7 Yes.
- 8 She was your director and then she was
- 9 transitioning out of her role as general
- 10 counsel; is that right?
- 11 Yes, we were in the process of hiring at
- 12 that time.
- 13 Q. Was that litigation hold letter shared
- 14 with the justices?
- 15 Α. I don't know.
- 16 Did you discuss with the justices holding
- 17 any kind of information that they may have that
- 18 might be relevant to this lawsuit?
- 19 Α. I did not.
- 20 Do you know if Deputy Director Harmon Q.
- 21 did?
- 22 Α. I do not know.
- 23 As part of your role as director at the
- 24 AOC, do you provide legal advice to any of the
- 25 justices on the Supreme Court?

Page 44

- 1 A. I do not.
- 2 Q. Have you ever delegated to any of your
- 3 employees that they provide legal advice to the
- 4 justices?
- 5 A. No, I would not ne in that position of
- 6 delegating that. I'm not hired for legal
- 7 advice.
- 8 Q. Right. Explain that. What do you mean
- 9 by that?
- 10 A. Well, my role is not one of legal advice
- 11 and counsel to the courts.
- 12 Q. Is Deputy Director Harmon's role legal
- 13 advice to the courts?
- 14 A. I think she does provide legal advice and
- 15 support to the courts, yes.
- 16 Q. And in what --
- 17 A. Or did as general counsel.
- 18 Q. I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt.
- 19 Does she provide legal advice to the
- 20 courts in her role as deputy director?
- 21 MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
 - THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe so.
- 23 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 24 Q. Did she provide legal advice to any of
- 25 the justices in her role as deputy director?

- 1 those other duties are as assigned by the
- 2 Court.
- 3 Q. And you were serving as deputy, so you
- 4 had some experience and you kind of knew what
- 5 you were getting into, I guess, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. I was just wondering if there's any --
- 8 for lack of a better word, is there any kind of
- 9 handbook or any kind of training that you went
- 10 to or continuously go through as director to be
- 11 able to fulfill your obligation?
- 12 A. The training is on the job and it is
- 13 every day. So no, there's no handbook.
- 14 Q. There's no handbook, okay. I was just
- 15 wondering. So there's no way to take what's in
- 16 that statute and put it into practice when
- 17 you're starting your position?
- 18 A. So I will say as deputy director, I was
- 19 tasked to review all of the statutes pertaining
- 20 to the Court and the AOC for the duties and
- 21 responsibilities. So yes, we did engage in an
- 22 effort to inventory everything that the statute
- 23 required us to do to make sure that it was
- 24 being handled somewhere in the AOC.
- 25 Q. And is that in your electronic system

Page 46

1 MR. STAHL: Object to the form.

2 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that?

- 3 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 4 Q. Yeah. Does Deputy Director Harmon
- 5 provide legal advice to any of the Tennessee
- 6 Supreme Court justices in her role as deputy
- 7 director?

22

- 8 A. I don't believe so.
- 9 Q. Okay. As -- you kind of referenced the
- 10 statute a moment ago that you would agree that
- 11 there -- a lot of your duties and
- 12 responsibilities are created by statute; you
- 13 would agree with that?
- 14 A. lagree.
- 15 Q. And it's a very long list?
- 16 A. It is.
- 17 Q. How do you go about when you took over
- 18 the position, fulfilling your obligation as
- 19 director to make sure all those things that are
- 20 listed in the statute that you take care of;
- 21 how do you do that?
- 22 A. Well, through the organizational
- 23 structure that we have, and the division
- 24 directors are responsible for various parts of
- 25 what's listed there in the statute, and then

- 1 somewhere or your hand -- I mean is it
- 2 somewhere that would be available?
- 3 A. I do have that, yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. And what do you call that?
- 5 A. Just called it AOC duties and
- 6 responsibilities.
- 7 Q. Okay. Do you still -- are you active
- 8 with your legal status in Tennessee?
- 9 A. I maintain active status, yes.
- 10 Q. So you have to take 15 hours of CLE
- 11 credits every year; is that right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And do you take any additional type of
- 14 training or education for your role as director
- 15 of the AOC?
- 16 A. It doesn't qualify for continuing legal
- 17 education, but I participate with my
- 18 counterparts in other states in what is the
- 19 State Court Administrator Conference.
- 20 Q. Yeah, that's kind of what I'm talking
- 21 about. So what do you call that other
- 22 organization?
- 23 A. I think it's COSCA, and I think it stands
- 24 for Center -- Council on State Court
- 25 Administrators, I think. COSCA, yeah, COSCA.

Page 48

45..48

1 Q. COSCA, okay.

Where is that organization; does it have

3 a headquarters?

2

- 4 A. No, the head -- it's an arm of the
- 5 National Center of State Courts.
- 6 Q. How frequently do you go to conferences
- 7 or training or however you refer to it; is that
- 8 an annual or is it monthly?
- 9 A. As I can. So I try to attend the annual
- 10 meeting and the mid-year meeting. So far, I've
- only been in the job a year and a half, almost,
- 12 I have attended two conferences.
- 13 Q. And where were they held; do you recall?
- 14 A. One was in Chicago and one was in
- 15 Alabama.
- 16 Q. Where in Alabama?
- 17 A. Point Clear.
- 18 Q. Good place.
- 19 A. Beautiful place.
- 20 Q. That hotel right there on the water?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. All right. What types of topics do you
- 23 all discuss at the COSCA meetings that you've
- 24 been to so far?
- 25 A. Whatever the challenges are facing state

- 1 and all the technology that's out there?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Have you -- in your role as director of
- 4 AOC, have you ever studied any of the federal

Page 51

Page 52

- 5 AOC practices with respect to having open
- 6 meetings?
- 7 A. I have not.
- 8 Q. Were you aware that there is a Federal
- 9 Advisory Committee that's very similar to the
- 10 Tennessee Advisory Commission on rules and
- 11 practice?
- 12 A. Only from reviewing the pleadings in this
- 13 matter.
- 14 Q. Were you aware of that federal analog
- 15 before this lawsuit?
- 16 **A. No.**
- 17 Q. Since this lawsuit was failed, have you
- 18 ever viewed any of the federal analog meetings
- 19 on YouTube or wherever they have them that's
- 20 open to the public?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Okay. Have you ever talked with anyone
- 23 in the Federal AOC office about how they do
- 24 that in terms of having their meetings open to
- 25 the public?

- 1 courts. And so we've had topics on judicial
- 2 security, definitely topics on E-filing and
- 3 modernizing court systems. I guess I entered
- 4 kind of post pandemic, so there was lots of
- 5 education and learning around things that had
- 6 been developed during the pandemic to ensure
- 7 access to courts, and so there was a lot of
- 8 discussion in some of those first meetings
- 9 around what we learned could be done to ensure
- 10 open courts.
- 11 Q. And did -- to ensure open courts, is that
- 12 also -- did other topics come into play about
- 13 open meetings that the AOC offices oversee?
- 14 A. So, no, it was more about the quick
- 15 deployment of resources, like Zoom and the soft
- 16 video conferencing ability for judges to
- 17 conduct business remotely from the court house.
- 18 Q. So would you say in your estimation that
- 19 since the pandemic, there's -- most AOC offices
- 20 around the country are doing a lot more with
- 21 technology and Zoom and livestreaming?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And do you feel that the Tennessee AOC
- 24 office is keeping up with the technological
- 25 advances with respect to livestreaming and Zoom

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Have you ever spoken to any of the
- 3 justices on the Supreme Court about the federal
- 4 meetings that are open to the public?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Have you ever spoken to anyone in the AOC
- 7 office about the federal meetings like the
- 8 Advisory Commission that are open to the
- 9 public?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Do you know of any other -- in your COSCA
- 12 group meetings, has that discussion ever come
- 13 up where they've got like an equivalent
- 14 Advisory Commission like Tennessee, any
- 15 discussion about having their meetings open to
- 16 the public?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Are there certain states -- well, strike
- 19 that.
- 20 This COSCA group, do you have -- are you
- 21 like on an e-mail list or how do you get
- 22 informed? Is it an annual registration? What
- 23 does that look like?
- 24 A. So there is an annual registration to
- 25 participate. There's a Listserv and an e-mail

- 1 group among us.
- 2 Q. Have you ever had any -- are there any of
- 3 the other states that you reach out to that are
- 4 part of that COSCA group that you've
- 5 established a relationship with?
- 6 A. Do you mind repeating?
- 7 Q. Yeah. So as I understand it, this COSCA
- 8 group, they have other similar Michelle Longs
- 9 in like Arkansas or Florida, Michigan,
- 10 wherever. Do you have a special relationship
- 11 with any of your counterparts in any of these
- 12 other states?
- 13 A. I have formed relationships with other
- 14 AOC directors, yes.
- 15 Q. Do you recall who those are? And I don't
- 16 mean everybody, I just mean people that -- if
- 17 you had to pick up the phone and call someone
- 18 who is -- let's see what they're doing, is
- 19 there anybody that sticks out to you that you
- 20 would reach out to?
- 21 A. So David Slayton is one person I have
- 22 reached out to. He's no longer there. But
- 23 E-filing and vendors that other states have
- 24 used for E-filing, I have had conversation with
- 25 other state court administrators on that topic,

- 1 collection that we ultimately want, it was
- 2 purely an E-failing system.
- 3 Q. Let's talk about that. What is it that
- 4 you as Tennessee AOC director want? You don't
- 5 just want a place where attorneys can file
- 6 lawsuits online, what do you mean by collecting
- 7 and reporting; what do you mean?
- 8 A. So it's a continuum in my view. It
- 9 starts with E-filing, that's where cases enter
- 10 the door.
- 11 Q. Right.
- 12 A. We want to capture that information in a
- 13 robust uniform way in our case management
- 14 system and then have all of that information
- 15 report to a data repository or warehouse where
- 16 we can then produce reliable reports.
- 17 Q. And so is it -- what do you call that?
- 18 It's not just E-filing, what do you call that
- 19 what you're explaining?
- 20 A. We have been calling it an enterprise
- 21 court information system.
- 22 Q. And if you had this court information
- 23 system as you explained it, would that better
- 24 assist you in fulfilling your statutory duties
- 25 as AOC director?

Page 54

- 1 yes.
- 2 Q. Mr. Slate [sic], what state was he in or
- 3 with the AOC?
- 4 A. Well, he was at the National Center for
- 5 State Courts, but I think he's now in
- 6 California.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. And then I cannot remember her name, it's
- 9 escaping me right now, but I did have the
- 10 opportunity to speak with another state that
- 11 was engaging in an RFP for court system case
- 12 management and E-filing, and her name escapes
- 13 me right now.
- 14 Q. So the National Center for State Courts
- 15 and COSCA, have they ever reached out to the
- 16 Feds about the PACER system? Why can Tennessee
- 17 courts not use the PACER system?
- 18 A. Why can we not use the PACER system?
- 19 Q. Maybe I'm assuming something.
- 20 Can the Tennessee courts use the PACER 21 system?
- 22 A. Well, first of all, the PACER system is
- 23 being revamped right now, so they're in no
- 24 better shape than we are, but PACER was not
- 25 designed for the reporting and the data

1 A. Yes.

- 2 Q. Who have you shared this information with
- 3 on this enterprise system within the AOC or the
- 4 justices?
- 5 A. So definitely with the Technology
- 6 Oversight Committee, which is headed by Justice
- 7 Sarah Campbell; our appellate court clerk, Jim
- 8 Hivner; my IT director, Brandon Bowers.
- 9 Q. Now, Mr. Hivner is on the Advisory
- 10 Commission for the rules of practice and
- 11 procedure; is that right?
- 12 **A. Yes.**
- 13 Q. Has he ever expressed any or shared
- 14 information with you about how technology could
- 15 help the Advisory Commission?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Have you ever discussed with him how
- 18 technology could better assist the Advisory
- 19 Commission?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Do you know if anyone in your office
- 22 spoke with Mr. Hivner on technology and after
- 23 the preliminary injunction was entered?
- 24 A. I don't know.
- 25 Q. Did your team, when the preliminary

vith

Page 56

- 1 injunction was entered, also communicate with
- 2 anyone on the Advisory Commission about the
- 3 injunction?
- 4 A. I don't know.
- 5 Q. Does the Advisory Commission on the rules
- 6 of practice and procedure provide meeting dates
- 7 to the AOC office?
- 8 A. I don't -- I don't know.
- 9 Q. Do any boards and commissions, other than
- 10 the Advisory Commission, provide meeting dates
- 11 to the AOC office?
- 12 A. So I -- I know the Access to Justice
- 13 Commission does. I don't know other than that.
- 14 Q. I'm about to get into the Access to
- 15 Justice. It's like ten after, I've got a
- 16 fairly long line of questioning on it. It's
- 17 whatever you all want to do.
- 18 MR. STAHL: Do you want to stretch
- 19 your legs before we go for another hour?
- 20 **THE WITNESS: Sure.**
- 21 MR. STAHL: Why don't we come back at
- 22 10:20.

1

- 23 (Short break.)
- 24 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 25 Q. So we're back on the record, Ms. Long.

- 1 A. I do not.
- 2 Q. And who was the signed, of the order that

Page 59

Page 60

- 3 you're referencing, who was that individual
- 4 that was in the order -- named in the order?
- 5 A. Justice Sharon Lee for the Advisory
- 6 Commission.
- 7 Q. Did you work with Justice Lee at some
- 8 point?
- 9 A. Yes, she was a member of the Supreme
- 10 Court.
- 11 Q. Right. Okay, I just -- she was chief
- 12 justice at one point, too, was she not?
- 13 **A. She was.**
- 14 Q. Was she chief justice when you were
- 15 deputy director?
- 16 **A. No.**
- 17 Q. Okay. Who was the chief justice when you
- 18 were deputy director?
- 19 A. Justice Bivins, Jeff Bivins.
- 20 Q. And then when you were director first,
- 21 the chief justice was Roger Page; is that
- 22 right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And in September Chief Justice Kirby took
- 25 that position?

- We talked a little bit about the Advisory
- 2 Commission on the rules of practice and
- 3 procedure this morning, haven't we?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. And that body was created by
- 6 TCA 16-3-601. So I want to talk some more
- 7 about it and I'll just refer to it as the
- 8 Advisory Commission if that's okay?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. I know in the pleadings in the briefing
- 11 there are -- lots of different names were used,
- 12 but we'll refer to it as the Advisory
- 13 Commission.
- 14 When did you first become aware of the
- 15 Advisory Commission either in your role as
- 16 deputy director or director or were you aware
- 17 of it before then?
- 18 A. I first became aware of it with a Supreme
- 19 Court order assigning court liaisons to the
- 20 various boards and commissions.
- 21 Q. Was that when you were deputy director or
- 22 as director?
- 23 A. I think it's deputy director.
- 24 Q. And do you recall that particular order,
- 25 when that might have been?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. Justice Lee retired I think end of
- 3 August; is that right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. But she was also -- Justice Lee was on
- 6 the Advisory Commission, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Was she on the Advisory Commission when
- 9 you were deputy director?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Was she on the Advisory Commission when
- 12 you were director?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Tell me about your conversations with
- 15 Justice Lee regarding the Advisory Commission.
- 16 A. I never had any.
- 17 Q. Okay. So you just saw that order and it
- 18 referenced her that she was the liaison for the
- 19 Advisory Commission?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. All right. What is your understanding of
- 22 the function of the Advisory Commission?
- 23 A. To recommend rule changes for practice
- 24 and procedure for the various courts, criminal,
- 25 civil, juvenile, appellate court, and rules of

- 1 evidence.
- 2 Q. And the AOC provides administrative
- 3 support to the Advisory Commission; is that
- 4 right?
- 5 **A. Yes.**
- 6 Q. Does the AOC have one of its employees
- 7 that serves as a liaison to the Advisory
- 8 Commission?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And who is that?
- 11 A. Michelle Consiglio-Young.
- 12 Q. Was Michelle Consiglio-Young the liaison
- 13 to the Advisory Commission when you were deputy
- 14 director?
- 15 **A. Yes.**
- 16 Q. Is Michelle Consiglio-Young still the
- 17 liaison since you've been the director of the
- 18 AOC?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do you communicate with Michelle
- 21 Consiglio-Young with respect to her role as
- 22 liaison to the Advisory Commission?
- 23 A. No, I've not had any -- no.
- 24 Q. When would you have a need to communicate
- 25 with her about her role on the Advisory

1 kept.

- 2 Q. Well, how do you know that double-booking
- 3 presents itself; how do you become aware of
- 4 that?
- 5 A. So it would be the liaison saying we need
- 6 to -- or the commission, the board, whatever
- 7 wants -- needs to meet at a particular time and
- 8 the conference room is booked. So we have an
- 9 electronic system that schedules the conference
- 10 rooms, and so if there was a need to move
- 11 someone or rearrange such that we could utilize
- 12 another area, that might come to me.
- 13 Q. What's the electronic system that
- 14 schedules the conference room; what is that
- 15 called? Does it have a name?
- 16 A. I think it's in our GroupWise calendering
- 17 system.
- 18 Q. When you say "GroupWise," are you talking
- 19 about the group, the AOC itself in general?
- 20 A. No, that would be the name of our e-mail 21 system.
- 22 Q. Okay. What's the Group Wide calendar
- 23 system?
- 24 A. GroupWise. GroupWise.
- 25 Q. GroupWise, I'm sorry.

Page 62

- 1 Commission?
- 2 A. If there was a conflict for scheduling a
- 3 meeting in a particular location. Like
- 4 sometimes we'll get double-booked at the AOC,
- 5 so she might come to me to resolve a conflict
- 6 for meeting location. Beyond that, I can't
- 7 really think of a need.
- 8 Q. What do you mean by getting double-booked
- 9 at the AOC for meeting locations?
- 10 A. So we only have a few conference rooms.
- 11 So if there was a need to use the conference
- 12 room for a meeting and there was something else
- 13 scheduled at the same time --
- 14 Q. So you mean --
- 15 A. -- she might engage me to resolve a
- 16 conflict.
- 17 Q. So you mean if the Advisory Commission
- 18 had a meeting scheduled at the AOC and it
- 19 conflicted with another meeting, you would
- 20 communicate with Ms. Michelle Consiglio-Young?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. Where is records -- where are
- 23 records kept of these meetings where this
- 24 double booking might come to your attention?
- 25 A. Oh, I don't know that there are records

1 A. It's a product.

- Q. How many individuals within the AOC have
- 3 the GroupWise calendar system?
- 4 A. We all do.
- 5 Q. How many employees do you have, just
- 6 under your supervision?
- 7 A. 87 at the AOC.
- 8 Q. 87?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. So the only way you would know about
- 11 potential double-booking of conference rooms
- 12 would be if one of the liaisons came to you and
- 13 said we've got a problem?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And so does that mean that the liaison is
- 16 kind of keeping track of the dates when
- 17 meetings are going to be held for whatever
- 18 commission they serve?
- 19 **A. Yes.**
- 20 Q. Do you have periodic meetings with the
- 21 liaisons that are assigned to specific boards
- 22 and commissions?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. So you don't have any kind of
- 25 communication with your liaisons?

Page 64

- 1 So I do meet with -- the liaisons are the
- 2 same people, so it's the same as our directors
- 3 in the division or other -- so I meet with the
- 4 directors regularly, I meet with them every
- 5 Monday.
- 6 Right, I understand. When I say
- 7 "liaison," I am not saying -- I think -- and
- 8 you correct me if I'm wrong, my understanding
- 9 is a liaison is not someone -- that's not like
- 10 an official position, they're going to have
- 11 another role and then they are -- they are
- 12 going to also serve as a liaison; is that how
- 13 that works?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Okay. So when I say "do you meet with
- 16 your liaisons," let me rephrase it.
- 17 When you're meeting with your team, do
- 18 you ever discuss with them their role as
- 19 liaisons on their boards and commissions that
- 20 they serve?
- I've never had occasion to discuss their 21 Α.
- 22 role.
- 23 Q. What about situational things that come
- 24 up?

1

25 A. So it would necessarily come up if there

- 1 Q. Is that one of the six?
- 2 Yes. Α.
- 3 Q. Did she have a different title at some
- 4 point prior to becoming the director of
- 5 intergovernmental affairs, another role at the
- 6 AOC, I should say?
- 7 A. I don't know.
- 8 Okay. Was she there at the AOC as an
- 9 employee when you started working as the deputy
- 10 director?
- 11 Yes.
- Was she the director of intergovernmental 12 Q.
- 13 affairs when you started as deputy director?
- 14 Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. Do you recall any specific
- 16 communication with Michelle Consiglio-Young
- 17 about double-booking of Advisory Commission
- 18 meetings?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Let me ask you another question about
- 21 this GroupWise calendar system.
- 22 You're aware that there is a calendar
- 23 facing the public on the AOC website?
- 24 Yes.
- 25 Q. Is that a different -- is that calendar

Page 66

- was a meeting coming up, yes, that would be
- 2 discussed at one of our Monday meetings.
- 3 So a meeting would be brought to your
- 4 attention about one of the boards and
- 5 commissions; is that right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. What other types of topics would come up?
- 8 I'm talking about liaisons on commissions.
- 9 Oh. Just situational awareness, if a
- 10 meeting is scheduled. I cannot think of an
- 11 example of anything else that's come up.
- 12 Did you ever -- Justice Lee, was she ever
- involved in these Monday meetings regarding her 13
- 14 role as the liaison to the Advisory Commission?
- 15 Α.
- 16 Q. Is there a reason for that?
- 17 Those Monday meetings are just for me and
- my division directors. 18
- Q. Is Michelle Consiglio-Young a division 19
- 20 director?
- A. Yes. 21
- 22 Q. What does she direct, what division?
- 23 A. I think that's the division I neglected
- to list, it's intergovernmental affairs, she's 24
- the director for that division.

- that the public can view, is that different
- 2 than the GroupWise calendar system?
- 3 A. I don't know what feeds the public facing
- 4 calendar, so I don't know if I know the answer
- 5 to your question.
- 6 You know what I'm talking about, though,
- 7 riaht?

1

- 8 A. I do know what you're talking about.
- 9 Who would know about the public facing
- 10 calendar system within the AOC?
- 11 A. I think it's our communications director,
- 12 Barbara Peck.
- 13 Q. Who would know about the GroupWise
- 14 calendar system? Would Ms. Peck also have that
- 15 information or would that be someone else?
- 16 I think Barbara Peck is a good place to
- 17 gather information with regard to what's on the
- 18 group calendar, because I do think she's
- 19 responsible for posting information to that
- 20 group calendar in GroupWise.
- 21 Who comes up with the dates, the meeting
- 22 dates for the Advisory Commission?
- 23 A. I do not know.
- 24 Q. Did you know that they meet quarterly
- 25 typically?

Page 68

65..68

- 1 A. I didn't -- I'm not aware of the cadence
- 2 of their meetings. I know the statute just
- 3 says from time to time, but I don't know what
- that cadence has been. 4
- 5 Q. At all, even after the pleadings have
- 6 been filed in this case?
- 7 A. I know what the pleadings say and it says 8 quarterly.
- 9 Do you know if Deputy Director Harmon
- 10 testified under oath in a declaration that they
- 11 meet quarterly?
- 12 Α. I don't recall.
- 13 Q. Did you review her declarations before
- 14 they were filed?
- 15 Before they were filed, yes.
- You reviewed both of those declarations 16
- 17 that she filed in this case?
- A. Yes. Yes. 18
- 19 How did you review those? Did you review
- 20 those with her in the same room with General
- 21 Kleinfelter?
- 22 Α. No.
- 23 Q. Did Deputy Director Harmon send you a
- 24 draft? I'm just curious as to how you reviewed
- 25 those before they were filed?

- 1 Commission on the rules of practice and
- 2 procedure?
- 3 Α. No.
- 4 Q. Is there a budgetary item for
- administrative support for any board or
- 6 commission?
- 7 No, it's just part of our job and so it's
- part of the AOC budget. 8
- 9 Okay. So there's no designation in any
- 10 of the budget from -- I'm speaking of the AOC,
- 11 the court portion, with respect to boards and
- 12 commissions?
- 13 Not the advisory board for rules or any
- 14 other advisory boards. There are those four
- 15 that are revenue generating and have their own
- 16 budget, so BLE, CLE, TLAP and BPR.
- 17 So those four are considered boards or
- 18 commissions?
- 19 Α. They are.
- 20 Is there a heading on your website at the
- AOC where it has boards and commissions? 21
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Q. Would it be fair to say that there's
- 24 probably approximately 15 that are listed there
- 25 on your AOC website?

Page 70

Page 69

- I believe I saw drafts. 1 Α.
- 2 So assuming that the cadence is quarterly
- 3 for the Advisory Commission, were you aware
- 4 that they've typically been meeting on the
- 5 second Friday of March, June, September and
- 6 December?
- 7 A. I was not aware.
- 8 Okay. Now, you talked about
- 9 double-booking, and that's double-booking with
- 10 respect to in-person meetings that use a
- 11 conference room at the AOC; is that right?
- 12 Α. That's right.
- 13 Is there ever any double-booking when any
- 14 various commissions are livestreamed to the
- 15 public?
- 16 So we have limited resources for the
- 17 livestreaming functions of the Court.
- 18 That's -- if there have been conflicts, they
- 19 would be resolved by Barbara Peck, she's
- 20 responsible for the livestreaming.
- 21 Is there a budgetary item within your
- 22 budget for livestreaming?
- 23 Not as a line item, no.
- 24 Q. Is there a budgetary item for
- 25 administrative support to the Advisory

- 1 A. I know there's several.
- 2 Q. When's the last time that you've reviewed
- 3 the AOC website from a public facing
- 4 standpoint?
- 5 A. Yesterday.
- 6 And what did you review when you went on
- 7 the website?
- 8 A. I was looking at our calendar and then
- 9 the current -- well, we're in the process of
- 10 changing our website, and so I was comparing
- what's there now to what we plan to have on our 11
- new website. So I was in the process of 12
- communicating with Barbara Peck about some 14 changes.

13

- 15 Was that -- you reviewed the website
- 16 yesterday in your role as director or was any
- 17 of that review also because you were about to
- 18 give a deposition today?
- 19 A. It was in my role as director, but I
- 20 certainly was cognizant of what I saw there
- 21 related to the Advisory Commission rules.
- 22 How else did you prepare for this
- 23 deposition?
- 24 I reviewed the pleadings and the most
- 25 recent package of rules from June.

- Q. Now, when -- we'll talk about that in a 1 2 second.
- 3 The package of rules, is that the
- 4 complete package that's submitted to the
- 5 General Assembly?
- 6 So what I saw was what was posted by the 7 appellate court clerk for comment.
- 8 Q. Would that be like on Lexis or Westlaw?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. That's also on the AOC website, is it
- 11 not?
- 12 A. I haven't seen it on the website.
- 13 If the Supreme Court says -- issues an
- 14 order that something should be posted publicly,
- 15 would the -- would that be posted on the AOC
- 16 website?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Is that one of the functions that your
- 19 office does is to post orders on the AOC
- 20 website?
- Α. 21 Yes.
- 22 Is the Tennessee Supreme Court website Q.
- 23 part of the AOC website?
- 24 The Tennessee Supreme Court website?
- 25 Q. Let me rephrase it. If I wanted to go

it's just not easy to navigate. 1

- Q. Is it user friendly to the public?
- 3 If the public knows no different, then
- 4 yes, it's user friendly, they can access the
- information. I just believe we can improve and
- 6 make it easier to access and navigate our
- 7 website.
- 8 Q. Easier for whom, the public or for AOC
- 9 employees?
- 10 Α. Both.
- 11 So then improvement to the AOC website
- 12 would also assist the public?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 Q. Did you review on that public facing part
- 15 of the website any public meeting notices?
- 16 I saw some listed, I did not review them.
- 17 Did you see any public meeting notices
- 18 for the Advisory Commission?
- 19 I did not.
- 20 Have you ever seen any public meeting
- 21 notices on the AOC website for the Advisory
- 22 Commission?
- 23 I saw the June notice on the calendar. Α.
- 24 Q. Was that the June 2023?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- 1 Do you know when that June 2023 public 2 meeting notice was placed on the AOC website
- 3 calendar?
- 4 A. I do not.
- 5 Does the AOC have an -- either a formal
- 6 or an informal way as to when if puts up public
- 7 meeting notices so many days in advance of an
- 8 actual meeting?
- 9 A. I don't know.
- 10 So let's say at the beginning of -- what
- 11 is the fiscal year for the AOC?
- 12 July 1st to June 30th.
- 13 So let's say July 1st, is there ever a
- 14 situation where the AOC has the indication that
- 15 for the next meetings over the next 12 months
- 16 will take place on a certain month by any of
- 17 the boards or commissions, does that process
- 18 ever happen?
- 19 A. I haven't seen that. I have not seen
- 20 that.
- 21 You have not seen that, but you don't
- 22 know if that happens or not?
- 23 Right, I don't know if it happens or not.
- 24 Q. Well, let's say a board or a commission
- 25 like the Advisory Commission was going to have

- look at the Tennessee Supreme Court, would that 1
- 2 be on the AOC website?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Does the Tennessee Supreme Court have a
- 5 separate website apart from the AOC website?
- 6 Not that I'm aware of.
- 7 Okay. So when you looked at the calendar
- 8 yesterday -- was there a reason that you -- the
- 9 AOC is changing its website?
- 10 It's just time to update.
- 11 And why is that?
- 12 Α. It's not as user friendly as we would
- 13 like.
- 14 Q. Is it user friendly with respect to
- public meeting notices? 15
- There's a calendar and you can see what's 16
- 17 posted on the calendar, so yes.
- Q. What part of the website is not user 18
- friendly? 19
- 20 So it's not user friendly from our
- 21 standpoint in terms of how it captures content.
- 22 When you search for things -- for example, when

month, you can't skip to a different year, so

- 23 you look at the public calendar, you cannot
- 24 search -- you have to go month by month by

- 1 a public meeting, how many days of advance
- 2 notice would the AOC put out to the public to
- 3 let them know?
- 4 A. I think I answered that, I don't know if
- 5 we have a policy.
- 6 Q. What do you think is a fair amount of
- 7 notice to the public?
- 8 A. I would say 30 days is pretty standard
- 9 notice.
- 10 Q. Are you aware that the -- do you know who
- 11 the ADR Commission is?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. What's your involvement with the ADR
- 14 Commission?
- 15 A. I'm not involved.
- 16 Q. Do you know if they have their meetings
- 17 that are livestreamed to the public; do you
- 18 know if they have any meetings like that?
- 19 A. I don't know.
- 20 Q. Have you ever seen any public meeting
- 21 notices on the AOC website involving the ADR
- 22 Commission, public meetings?
- 23 A. I believe I did when I was looking
- 24 yesterday.
- 25 Q. How many of those notices did you see?

- 1 our website, it's probably our communications
- 2 director.
- 3 Q. Who would be the point person to give
- 4 that information to the communications
- 5 director, would that be the liaison like
- 6 Michelle Consiglio-Young?
- 7 A. Quite possibly.
- 8 Q. Could there be anybody else but the
- 9 liaison that would have that information?
- 0 A. I suppose the chairman could provide that
- 11 information or the court liaison could provide
- 12 that information.

15

- 13 Q. Yeah, and could the justices themselves
- 14 provide that information?
 - MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Well, there would just
- 17 be one justice who's the court liaison.
- 18 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 19 Q. But is it your understanding that the
- 20 Advisory Commission serves the Tennessee
- 21 Supreme Court?
- 22 A. Serves the...
- 23 So it serves a function to support the
- 24 Tennessee Supreme Court for recommendations for
- 25 rules, rule changes relative to procedure and

Page 78

- 1 A. I recall one.
- 2 Q. And when was the meeting supposed to take
- 3 place, or had it already taken place?
- 4 A. I believe it had already taken place.
- 5 Q. Do you know if the AOC has ever hosted
- 6 meetings for the Advisory Commission in its
- 7 Nashville office?
- 8 A. I do not know.
- 9 Q. Have you ever seen any public meeting
- 10 notices on the AOC website showing that there
- 11 had been a public meeting?
- 12 A. So I saw the June notice, but that's the
- 13 only one I've seen.
- 14 Q. Okay, let's talk about the June notice.
- 15 What do you recall -- what do you
- 16 remember about the June notice that you saw?
- 17 Was it in person or was it livestreamed?
- 18 A. Oh, I didn't -- I didn't review it for
- 19 the details.
- 20 Q. Who put that notice out?
- 21 A. I don't know.
- 22 Q. Who do you think would be the AOC
- 23 employee that would be responsible? Would that
- 24 be the liaison, Michelle Consiglio-Young?
- 25 A. In terms of putting it on the calendar on

1 practice.

- 2 Q. I would agree with that, court rules --
- 3 they make rule recommendations to the Tennessee
- 4 Supreme Court; you agree with that?
- 5 A. Yes
- 6 Q. They don't make rule recommendations to
- 7 the Tennessee General Sessions Court, right?
- 8 A. Right
- 9 Q. They don't make rule recommendations to
- 10 the Tennessee Chancery Court; is that correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. They don't make rule recommendations to
- 13 the Tennessee Circuit Courts, right?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And they don't make rule recommendations
- 16 to the Tennessee Court of Criminal Appeals,
- 17 right?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. They don't make rule recommendations to
- 20 the Tennessee Court of Appeals, correct?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. They make rule recommendations to the
- 23 Tennessee Supreme Court, right?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. So would the justices on the Tennessee

Page 80

Supreme Court who are receiving these 1 2

recommendations, would they have any

3 information about when meetings happen? 4 MR. STAHL: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

6 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:

7 Do you know if they've ever issued orders

when meetings have taken place, I'm talking 8

9 about the Tennessee Supreme Court?

10 Not that I've seen. Α.

11 Have you ever seen an order where the

12 Tennessee Supreme Court set a meeting that the

13 Advisory Commission took place, similar to the

14 one you referenced about Justice Lee being the

15 liaison, have you ever seen any kind of order

16 from the Tennessee Supreme Court about past

17 meetings, when they took place?

18 Α. I have not.

19 Q. Are you aware that those are on the AOC

20 website?

5

21 A. I was not.

22 Q. Who -- or what individuals would be in

23 the best position to know when past meetings

24 took place?

25 Α. Michelle Consiglio-Young. 1 A. Yes.

2 Q. How often do you do that?

3 A. Three times a year.

4 Q. And when do those evaluations take place?

Page 83

5 So there are two interims, interim

6 reviews, I want to say every three months, and

7 then a final evaluation.

8 Do you evaluate Michelle Consiglio-Young

9 on her role as liaison to the Advisory

10 Commission?

11 A. No.

12 Q. And why is that?

13 Because those things -- we set our goals

14 and objectives based on stretch goals, it's not

15 those things that are part of your duties and

16 responsibilities in the job. So those are

17 expected to occur. The evaluation's based on

18 the additional things that you do that move the

needle for the AOC and the courts. 19

20 What does that mean, move the needle for

21 the AOC and the courts: what moves the needle?

22 So any new innovation, new ideas that are

23 consistent with the goals that we have listed

24 in our strategic plan, those are the things

25 that move the needle. For example, E-filing,

1

Q. And who would have given the information

2 to the Tennessee Supreme Court justices,

3 assuming they did put out an order as to when

4 past meetings took place? Who would be that 5 person?

MR. STAHL: Object to the form.

7 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:

8 Q. Would it be the Tennessee Supreme Court

9 iustice liaison?

10 A. I don't know. I don't know the answer to

11 that.

1

6

12 Is it your understanding that Michelle

13 Consiglio-Young attends as a liaison Advisory

14 Commission meetings?

15 Α. She should.

16 Q. And -- okay, and why should she?

17 Just as I serve on, you know, boards and

18 commissions as a staff liaison, it's to support

19 the needs of that board or commission.

20 Because that's your duty and

21 responsibility, right?

22 Α. That's right.

23 Do you ever get -- when you're evaluating

24 Michelle Consiglio-Young -- do you evaluate

25 Michelle Consiglio-Young for job performance?

Page 84 that would move the needle for the courts.

2 So fulfilling one's duties and

3 obligations doesn't move the needle?

4 Α. That's the expectation of the job.

5 Q. How do you evaluate for that?

6 If you were not performing the duties and

7 responsibilities of the job, you would not

8 likely continue in the job.

9 And that's what I'm asking, how do you

10 make those determinations if someone, Michelle

11 Consiglio-Young, for example, I'm not

12 suggesting she's not, how would you evaluate

13 Michelle Consiglio-Young if she's not

14 fulfilling her duties and obligations?

15 So on the duties and responsibilities of

16 any position in the AOC, if you fail to do

17 those things, then we're going to get

18 complaints, we're going to learn about it

19 through complaints.

20 Who would give those complaints about

21 Michelle Consiglio-Young, for example, on her

22 role on the Advisory Commission?

23 It could be --

24

MR. STAHL: Object to the form.

25 THE WITNESS: -- any member of the

Page 23 of 58 PageID #: 2698

- Commission. 1
- 2 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 3 Q. It could be any member of the Commission?
- 4 Α. Uh-huh.
- 5 Q. Do you ever consult with the chair of the
- 6 Advisory Commission when you're preparing a
- 7 budget?
- 8 Α. No.
- 9 Q. Do you ever consult with the chair of the
- 10 Commission with any administrative support they
- 11 might need?
- 12 Α. No.
- 13 Q. Do you leave that responsibility up to
- 14 Michelle Consiglio-Young?
- 15 The Advisory Commission does not have a
- 16 budget.
- 17 Right, let me -- just in a broad sense. Q.
- 18 I understand that, and you've made that clear,
- 19 I apologize.
- 20 Does Michelle Consiglio-Young, is she the
- 21 one that's responsible with communicating with
- 22 the Advisory Commission chair on administrative
- 23 support, just general administrative support?
- 24 A. I suppose so.
- 25 Q. Would she be the only person that would

Do you know if the justices have talked 1

Page 87

Page 88

- 2 to Chairman Bulso either pre or post
- 3 preliminary injunction about this case?
- 4 I don't know.
- 5 So for June 2023, you observed or you saw
- 6 a public meeting notice; is that correct?
- 7
- 8 Q. Do you know why that was there? Was that
- 9 there because of the preliminary injunction?
- 10 I don't know.
- You weren't aware that that June meeting 11
- 12 happened after the preliminary injunction?
- 13 Α. I am aware.
- 14 Let me rephrase it a different way.
- 15 Is it your understanding that the reason
- 16 that the June 2023 meeting was open to the
- 17 public was because of the March 2023
- 18 preliminary injunction? 19
 - MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
 - THE WITNESS: Yes. So that would be
- 21 consistent with our intention to comply with
- 22 the order.

20

- 23 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 24 And that would have been something,
- 25 livestreaming the Advisory Commission meeting,

- be communicating with the Advisory Commission 1
- 2 on administrative support from the AOC?
- 3 Α. Yes.
- 4 Q. You wouldn't have direct communications
- 5 with the chair?
- 6 Α. No.
- 7 Do you know the chair of the Advisory
- 8 Commission, who that is?
- 9 Α. I do.
- 10 Q. And who is that?
- 11 Α. Gino Bulso.
- 12 And have you talked to Chairman Bulso in
- 13 preparation for this deposition?
- 14 Α. No.
- 15 Q. Are you aware that he gave a deposition
- 16 in this case?
- Yes. 17 Α.
- 18 Did you talk to Chairman Bulso either
- 19 prior to the preliminary injunction or after
- 20 the preliminary injunction?
- 21 No. Α.
- 22 Do you know if Deputy Director Harmon
- 23 spoke with Chairman Bulso either previous to
- 24 the injunction or after the injunction?
- 25 A. I don't know.

- 1 the AOC office would typically do; is that
- 2 right?
- 3 A. I'm struggling with the question, I'm
- 4 sorry.
- 5 Q. Sure. Assuming -- let's assume before
- 6 the preliminary injunction got entered --
- 7 because you would agree when the preliminary
- 8 injunction was entered, Advisory Commission
- 9 meetings had to be open; would you agree with
- 10 that?
- 11 Α. After the preliminary injunction, yes.
- 12 Okay. Let's say meetings at some point
- 13 before the preliminary injunction, let's say
- 14 there was a meeting that was open to the public
- and it was going to be livestreamed to the 15
- 16 public, is that something that the AOC office
- 17 would assist the Advisory Commission in making
- 18 that happen?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Okay. And would the AOC office put out a
- 21 public meeting notice that it was going to be
- 22 livestreamed, assuming the meeting was going to
- 23 be open prior to the preliminary injunction?
- 24 MR. STAHL: Object to the form. 25
 - THE WITNESS: If you're -- so if

- 1 you're going to livestream the meeting, it's
- 2 for the public's ability to observe.
- 3 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 4 Q. Right, the AOC --
- 5 A. So I would assume that yes, we would post
- 6 that.
- 7 Q. That's going to be the AOC?
- 8 A. That's going to be the AOC.
- 9 Q. That's what you do? That's what your
- 10 office does, I should say?
- 11 A. We post what's on the public notice
- 12 calendar, yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. Have you ever observed an Advisory
- 14 Commission meeting either in person or by
- 15 livestreaming?
- 16 A. I have not.
- 17 Q. Did you observe -- or were you aware that
- 18 the June 2023 meeting is on the Tennessee
- 19 YouTube channel?
- 20 A. I was not aware.
- 21 Q. So you didn't observe that June 2023
- 22 meeting that was livestreamed when it was
- 23 taking place; is that right?
- 24 A. I did not.
- 25 Q. And you haven't watched it on the

- 1 official and then they get published.
- 2 Q. Now, the public comment period, is that
- 3 something that happens at some point after the

Page 91

Page 92

- 4 meetings and the recommendations get
- 5 formulated?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. Have you ever attended one of
- 8 those General Assembly hearings where the rules
- 9 package is being discussed?
- 10 A. I have not.
- 11 Q. Do you know if Michelle Consiglio-Young
- 12 has ever attended one of those General Assembly
- 13 hearings on the rules package at any point?
- 14 A. I am sure she has. I cannot think of a
- 15 specific example, but I know that's part of her
- 16 role. She and her team, that's part of their
- 17 role.
- 18 Q. Okay. Do you communicate with the
- 19 justices about the rules package?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Do you ever communicate with the justices
- 22 about the administrative support that the
- 23 Advisory Commission might need?
- 24 **A. No.**
- 25 Q. Did you communicate with Barbara Peck,

- 1 Tennessee YouTube channel?
- 2 A. I have not.
- 3 Q. Okay. Do you know if anyone, like Deputy
- 4 Harmon, if anyone from your office, if they
- 5 ever commented that they saw the Advisory
- 6 Commission meeting on the YouTube channel?
- 7 A. No one has commented to me.
- 8 Q. Have you spoken to the justices about the
- 9 Advisory Commission in June that was -- that's
- 10 on the YouTube channel?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Okay. So what is your understanding
- 13 about the Advisory Commission and the rule
- 14 recommendations and how those rule
- 15 recommendations get transmitted to the Supreme
- 16 Court, which then get transmitted to the
- 17 General Assembly and then at some point
- 18 they're -- they become law or they become
- 19 rules; what is your understanding of that
- 20 process?
- 21 A. So my understanding is basically what you
- 22 just said, that the rules package gets put out
- 23 for public comment. At some point it then is
- 24 transmitted to the General Assembly and has to
- 25 be passed by both houses before becoming

- 1 your communications director, after the
- 2 preliminary injunction was entered?
- 3 A. I did not.
- 4 Q. Do you know if someone else on your team
- 5 communicated with Barbara Peck after the
- 6 preliminary injunction was entered?
- 7 A. I don't know.
- 8 Q. Did you know that the June meeting was
- 9 livestreamed to the public?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. When did you first become aware of that?
- 12 A. Likely in the pleadings, something I
- 13 reviewed for today.
- 14 Q. You don't remember any conversation you
- 15 had with Ms. Peck or anyone in your AOC team
- 16 about the livestreaming of the Advisory
- 17 Commission?
- 18 A. I do not recall, no.
- 19 Q. Do you know how meetings are
- 20 livestreamed?
- 21 A. So I know she has explained it to me, but
- 22 I could not say that I know how they're
- 23 livestreamed, no.
- 24 Q. Well, for example, is Ms. Peck or
- 25 somebody that works with her on her team, do

- 1 they physically go in to a room with a camera
- 2 or is it something on a computer where
- 3 they're --
- 4 A. I don't know.
- 5 Q. You don't know how that works?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. She would be the one that knows how that
- 8 works?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Is the AOC and the Tennessee courts, are
- 11 they livestreaming court sessions more
- 12 frequently post pandemic?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Is the AOC and Tennessee courts
- 15 livestreaming meetings publicly post pandemic
- 16 more so than they were pre pandemic?
- 17 A. I am unaware.
- 18 Q. You don't have those discussions with
- 19 budgetary issues that might come up?
- 20 A. Only for the court sessions.
- 21 Q. Does it cost more money for the courts to
- 22 be livestreamed?
- 23 A. We've had some investment in equipment to
- 24 enable the courtrooms to livestream, so yes.
- 25 Q. How does that process work on

- 1 Q. And who might that be, which one?
- 2 A. The Trial Vacancy Commission.
- 3 Q. The trial what?
- 4 A. Trial vacancy.
- 5 Q. And what is that commission?
- 6 A. So it is the body that vets candidates
- 7 for judicial vacancies at the trial court level
- 8 for the governor, they send names, three names

Page 95

Page 96

- 9 to the governor of recommendation to fill
- 10 vacancies.
- 11 Q. And do you recall when you observed that
- 12 and what that might have been?
- 13 A. We've had several here recently, so -- I
- 14 can't recall.
- 15 Q. Did you ever serve as chief of staff for
- 16 the Tennessee governor before?
- 17 A. Chief of staff? No.
- 18 Q. Did you ever serve in any capacity for a
- 19 former governor of Tennessee?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Who was that and what was your role?
- 22 A. I served as Governor Don Sundquist's
- 23 deputy legal counsel and legal counsel.
- 24 Q. And what was your -- what were your
- 25 functions with governor Sundquist in those

- 1 livestreaming something in the courts?
- 2 A. I don't know.
- 3 Q. Is there an additional investment of
- 4 employees with this increased capacity to
- 5 livestream court sessions?
- 6 A. We have not added any employees to be
- 7 able to do that.
- 8 Q. So would you say it's more of a financial
- 9 burden on the AOC to livestream court sessions?
- 10 A. I would not call it a burden, I would say
- 11 yes, we have invested in equipment to make sure
- 12 that we can, but I would not call it a burden.
- 13 Q. Okay. Other than the public meeting
- 14 notice that you saw the other day on the
- 15 June 2023 Advisory Commission meeting, have you
- 16 ever seen any other public meeting notices of
- 17 the Advisory Commission on your website, either
- 18 in your role as deputy or director?
- 19 A. I have not, but I cannot say I've ever
- 20 looked for them.
- 21 Q. Have you ever seen any public meeting
- 22 notices in your role as deputy or director of
- 23 any public meeting notice for any board or
- 24 commission?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 roles?
- 2 A. So at the time we were combining --
- 3 creating the Department of Labor and Workforce
- 4 Development, so I drafted the legislation for
- 5 that. We were also engaged in bringing school
- 6 reform to the state of Tennessee, so I drafted
- 7 the charter school legislation for the
- 8 governor. I also was engaged in extraditions,
- 9 probation parole, clemency actions, the very
- 10 first execution in decades, and then generally
- 11 kind of supported our legislative liaisons.
- 12 Q. So what years were you in that role with
- 13 Governor Sundquist? Doesn't have to be the
- 14 exact date, I'm just curious of the years. I
- 15 don't recall, I can look it up when he was in
- 16 office. I mean, was it his entire term or
- 17 terms?
- 18 A. No, it was the second term.
- 19 Q. We're about the same age, so I don't
- 20 remember. Was that mid '90s?
- 21 A. It would be late '90s and then early 22 2000s.
- 23 Q. I think the charter school statute was
- 24 2002, is that -- you said you drafted that or
- 25 assisted with it?

1 A. I drafted the first one. It was

- 2 unsuccessful, I think it took us two years to
- 3 get something.
- 4 Q. That would have been in early 2000, okay.
- 5 About 20, 25 years ago you would say roughly
- 6 you were with Governor Sundquist?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. I know we're in 2023.
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. So in 2022, do you know if the Advisory
- 11 Commission held any meetings?
- 12 A. In 2023?
- 13 Q. 2022 first.
- 14 A. 2022, I don't know.
- 15 Q. You started in February 2022, right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Do you know if there were any meetings in
- 18 2022 of the Advisory Commission?
- 19 A. I don't know.
- 20 Q. You never communicated with Michelle
- 21 Consiglio-Young about that?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. If there were reimbursements from 2022,
- 24 would those be somewhere in your AOC files?
- 25 A. They would be, yes, with our fiscal

- 1 A. It did not get properly noticed or we
- 2 became aware that it was not properly noticed

Page 99

Page 100

- 3 and so it was rescheduled.
- 4 Q. And when did you become aware, your
- 5 office, that it was not properly noticed?
- 6 A. I am 99 percent sure that that would have
- 7 come from legal counsel at the AOC --
- 8 Q. Is that --
- 9 A. -- making me aware that there was a
- 10 problem.
- 11 Q. -- Mr. Coke?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Was the meeting supposed to be on
- 14 September 8, 2023?
- 15 A. I don't remember the date, I just know it
- 16 was September.
- 17 Q. And what is your understanding -- you
- 18 said it got -- I don't want to put words in
- 19 your mouth.
- 20 Did it get cancelled, the September, or
- 21 did it get postponed?
- 22 A. Postponed is probably the better word.
- 23 Q. And what is your understanding, when did
- 24 it get postponed to, what date?
- 25 A. To December. So it is scheduled for

Page 98

- 1 director.
- 2 Q. Tell me -- you may have given me that
- 3 person's name.
- 4 A. Dalton Hensley.
- 5 Q. Yeah, okay, I got it.
 - Dalton is a male?
- 7 A. Yes.

6

- 8 Q. What about 2023, do you know if the
- 9 Advisory Commission met in 2023?
- 10 A. I only know of the June meeting.
- 11 Q. Now, are you aware that the Advisory
- 12 Commission meeting -- and the June meeting you
- 13 would agree was the post preliminary
- 14 injunction?
- 15 **A. Yes.**
- 16 Q. Were you aware that there was supposed to
- 17 be an Advisory Commission meeting in September
- 18 of 2023?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And what is your understanding -- first
- 21 of all, did that meeting take place in
- 22 September of 2023?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. And tell me your understanding as to why
- 25 it did not.

- 1 December.
- 2 Q. Wasn't there already though a December
- 3 quarterly meeting they were supposed to have?
- 4 A. I don't know.
- 5 Q. How many meetings -- how many meetings is
- 6 the Advisory Commission having in 2023 calendar
- 7 year?
- 8 A. I don't know.
- 9 Q. So you don't know if this December is --
- 10 if it was already scheduled anyway or if it's
- 11 the postponed meeting, you're not sure about
- 12 that?
- 13 A. I don't know.
- 14 Q. Who would know about that if it's -- if
- 15 it was already on the schedule or if it's the
- 16 one that got postponed from September?
- 17 A. Michelle Consiglio-Young.
- 18 Q. Anyone else besides Michelle
- 19 Consiglio-Young?
- 20 A. John Coke.
- 21 Q. Anvone else?
- 22 A. Rachel Harmon.
- 23 Q. Okay, anyone else? Would the Supreme
- 24 Court liaison?
- 25 A. Probably.

Page 101

Q. Would Chairman Bulso, would he know?
 MR. STAHL: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: If the meeting got -if the September meeting was cancelled or
postponed, sure, yes, he should know.

6 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:

3

4

5

12

13

14

15

16

25

Q. Okay. And so what's your understanding
of the reason that it was postponed? Is it
because there was going to be a violation of
the injunction and therefore they didn't have
it; is that a fair assessment?

MR. STAHL: Object to the form, misstates testimony.

THE WITNESS: What I believe -- I believe what I was made aware of is that it had not been properly noticed.

17 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:

18 Q. What do you mean by not properly noticed?

19 That's what I'm trying to understand.

20 A. There was nothing on our public facing

21 calendar to let the public know that that

22 meeting was scheduled.

23 Q. Did the injunction require the AOC to

24 properly notice the public?

MR. STAHL: Object to the form.

1 Q. So have you spoken to her about her

2 functions as liaison to the Advisory

3 Commission?

4 A. No.

5 Q. What -- have you spoken to her about AOC

6 business?

7 A. Yes, strategic planning and the final

8 assessments of her team members on their goals.

9 So we do pay for performance, so she would be

10 responsible for evaluating her team members.

So we've talked about that. We've talked about revisions we've made to the strategic plan for

13 this next calendar performance cycle, and other

14 than that we've just talked about the baby.

15 Q. So -- and I'm just referring to AOC

16 business, I'm not asking you anything about

17 your personal conversations with her.

18 What is pay -- you said pay for

19 performance?

20 A. Correct.

21 Q. What is that?

22 A. So we do an incentive program tied to

23 those goals that I talked about earlier. Each

24 person has an individual performance plan and

25 we assess performance based on the goals and

Page 102

1 THE WITNESS: So the injunction would

2 require us to either have it in person or

3 livestream it and so I'm going to assume4 neither was capable of happening for that

5 September meeting.

6 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:

7 Q. Does the injunction also require the AOC

8 to give proper notice to the public as to when

9 it's going to be?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. What do you consider proper notice to the

12 public in advance of a meeting? I think you

13 said 30 days; is that your testimony?

14 A. I will stick to that answer, I think

15 that's standard.

16 Q. Do you know if the injunction requires a

17 certain amount of notice or not?

18 A. I don't recall.

19 Q. Okay. Have you spoken to Michelle

20 Consiglio-Young while she has been out on

21 maternity leave?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Have you spoken to her specifically about

24 the Advisory Commission?

25 **A. No.**

1 objectives in those individual plans. And then

2 we rank the outcomes and reward employees for

3 their performance.

4 Q. Whose responsibility was it to properly

5 notice the Advisory Commission meeting in

6 September?

7

8

MR. STAHL: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

9 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:

10 Q. Was it Michelle Consiglio-Young?

11 A. I don't know.

12 Q. Who is on her team?

13 A. So she oversees Charley Baldwin who is

14 legislative liaison. She also oversees our

15 court improvement program. I think that's

16 everyone on her team.

17 Q. So Charley Baldwin, I only heard one

18 person's name.

19 A. Well, Stacy Lynch is the director, if you

20 will, I may get the titles wrong, for the court

21 improvement program. And I believe she has a

22 staff of -- oh, I forgot one other person,

23 Stephanie Ethridge who is over our safe baby

24 courts. And then there are probably three or

25 four people that report through them.

3

4

5

Q. Okay. Do you think whether or not 1

- 2 Advisory Commission meetings are open or closed
- 3 to the public is important to improve the
- 4 administrative -- administration of justice in
- 5 the Tennessee courts?
- 6 A. You're asking my opinion?
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 Okay. So the process, as I know it, has Α.
- 9 the opportunity for the public to comment. So
- if the goal of whether they're open or closed 10
- 11 is to ensure that the public has the
- 12 opportunity to comment, I think that is already
- 13 part of the process.
- 14 Yeah, and that's not my question. I
- 15 didn't ask about commenting, I didn't suggest
- 16 that the purpose was about public commenting.
 - I said, do you think Advisory Commission
- 18 meetings that are closed to the public, closed
- 19 meetings, does that improve the administration
- 20 of iustice?

17

1

6

- 21 A. I think there are times when in order to
- 22 have candid discussion of a matter, there is a
- 23 need to have that discussion be closed. In
- 24 terms of the public's ability to know and
 - understand what comes out of that discussion, I

- Q. Who would know that? Would the justices 1 2 know that?
 - MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
 - THE WITNESS: Any -- whoever was

Page 107

involved at the time.

- 6 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 7 So I guess is it fair to say if they were
- 8 open, Advisory Commission meetings at some
- 9 point in the past -- I think you said they were
- 10 at some point, right?
- 11 (Nodding head.)
- 12 If they were open, do you think they were
- 13 open to try to improve the administration of
- 14 justice? 15
 - MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I don't know if there was the intentionality around that or not, I 17
- 18 don't know.
- 19 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 20 Why do you think they would have been
- 21 open previously?
- 22 I don't -- I don't know.
- 23 Is there someone that you're aware of who
- 24 decided not to keep the meetings open any
- 25 longer?

Page 106

believe that is already part of this process.

2 So I don't have an opinion one way or the 3 other whether they should be open or closed, I

- 4 just look at the outcomes. And so I believe
- 5 that there is already process in place for the
 - outcomes for the public that promote the
- 7 administration of justice.
- 8 And so are meetings -- is it your
- 9 understanding that Advisory Commission meetings
- 10 are open or closed?
- 11 For this particular commission, I
- 12 understand the history has been that at one
- 13 point they were open and at one point they were
- 14 closed.
- 15 And at what point is it your
- 16 understanding on the history were they open?
- 17 A. It predates me. I want to say maybe
- 2017, 2018, but I am not certain. 18
- 19 Q. What is your understanding of history
- 20 wise when they became closed?
- 21 A. I don't know why they became closed.
- 22 Q. I didn't say "why," I said what is your
- 23 understanding of the process of getting closed
- 24 and why they became closed?
- 25 A. I don't know.

I'm sorry, it predates me, I don't know. Α.

- 1 2 Well, you talked about the history, I'm
- 3 just trying to understand, how did you have the
- 4 knowledge to be able to know that historically
- 5 at some point meetings of the Advisory
- 6 Commission were open?
- 7 In the context of preparing for this
- 8 deposition, I learned that at one point they
- 9 were open.
- 10 Q. And who did you learn that from?
- 11 Likely legal counsel, John Coke.
- 12 And did you review any information that
- 13 would have -- evidence that they were open at
- 14 some point?
- 15 Α. No.
- Do you know -- have you ever reviewed any 16
- 17 information as to a reason they might have been
- 18 open in the past at some point?
- 19 Α. No.
- 20 So is it possible that open Advisory
- 21 Commission meetings could improve the
- 22 administration of justice?
 - MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I've never attended an
 - Advisory Commission meeting for rules, but I

23

Page 112

Page 109

2

3

5

8

9

- 1 would say, again, that there may be a need to
- 2 have candid discussion among the lawyers and
- 3 judges that are part of that body. And so that
- 4 may be problematic in an open forum. And so as
- 5 long as the results of that discussion are made
- 6 available to the public, in my opinion, that is
- sufficient. 7
- 8 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 9 Q. As long as -- you're saying the public
- comment period comes sometime after the meeting 10
- 11 happens, that that's sufficient is what you're
- 12 saying?
- 13 MR. STAHL: Object to the form,
- 14 misstates testimony.
- 15 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 16 Q. Well, then you tell me what your
- 17 testimony is when you talk about public
- 18 comment. I'm just trying to understand it.
- 19 Because I understood you to say before that the
- 20 public comment period happens after the
- 21 meetings; is that right?
- 22 A. I think that is the way it is set up
- 23 today, yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. And you think that's sufficient to
- 25 improve the administration of justice?

- 1 improve the administration of justice?
 - MR. STAHL: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: I don't believe it's

4 not transparent.

- BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 6 And so you think closed meetings are 7 transparent?
 - MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
 - THE WITNESS: So I am saying the need
- 10 for candid conversation improves the
- 11 administration of justice. The outcomes of
- 12 that candid conversation are transparent to the
- 13 public, that also improves the administration
- 14 of justice. And the ability to take in comment
- 15 and information from the public improves the
- 16 administration of justice. 17 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 18 Would open meetings improve the
- 19 rulemaking process?
- 20 It is open when it gets to the
- 21 legislative process.
- 22 No, it's not, it's a meeting?
- 23 MR. STAHL: Object to the form,
- 24 argumentative.
- 25 ///

Page 110

1 MR. STAHL: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: I don't think it hinders the administration of justice.

- 4 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 5 Q. Well, you would agree that part of your
- 6 responsibility and duties are to improve the
- 7 administration of justice as director of AOC,
- 8 right?

2

3

16

- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Statute requires you to survey and try to
- 11 come up with ideas of how to do that, right?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 Q. So is it possible that open meetings to
- 14 the public on court rulemaking is to improve
- 15 the administration of justice?
 - MR. COKE: Object to the form.
- 17 MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Is it possible. I
- 19 would still lean toward the need to have candid 20 open dialogue about rule changes and that may
- 21 not happen in a public forum to the level of
- 22 candor needed to improve the administration of
- 23 justice.
- BY MR. DOUGHERTY: 24
- 25 Does transparency on rulemaking meetings

BY MR. DOUGHERTY: 1

2 It's a meeting. Are meetings today open

3 prior to the preliminary injunction?

4 MR. STAHL: I'm going to allow this

5 one last question, then I'm going to ask to 6 take a break.

THE WITNESS: Are meetings open

8 prior --

7

- 9 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 10 Q. We're talking about Advisory Commission
- 11 meetings. Were they open --
- 12 My understanding is they have not been 13 open.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 MR. STAHL: We're going to take a
- break. That was a question. Thank you. Take 16 17 a five-minute break.
- 18 MR. DOUGHERTY: Just make it 11:35.
- 19 MR. STAHL: Okay.
- 20 (Short break.)
- 21 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 22
- Okay, we'll go back on the record. 23 Ms. Long, what is your understanding of
- 24 the preliminary injunction in March; why was it

25 issued by the court?

- 1 A. To ensure that the Advisory Commission on
- 2 rules was open to the public.
- 3 Q. When you say the advisory -- you talking
- 4 about meetings?
- 5 A. Meetings.
- 6 Q. So was it your understanding that prior
- 7 to the injunction they were closed --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- meetings, right?
- 10 A. Yes.

17

- 11 Q. Okay. Do you know if they talk about --
- 12 let's go back.
- 13 What -- is the Advisory Commission made
- 14 up of -- what do they make rule recommendations
- 15 on? Are there certain courts, certain
- 16 procedures, are you aware of that?
 - MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
- 18 THE WITNESS: So I did -- I think I
- 19 answered earlier that they make recommendations
- 20 on the rules of practice and procedure for
- 21 various courts and for the rules of evidence.
- 22 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 23 Q. Yeah, so it's the rules of evidence is
- 24 one; is that your understanding?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Page 114
- 1 Q. And is also the rules of civil procedure
- 2 one of the rule recommendations they make?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And the rules of criminal procedure?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Rules of juvenile procedure?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And is the last one, the fifth one, the
- 9 rules of appellate procedure?
- 10 **A. Yes.**
- 11 Q. When we talk about court rules of
- 12 practice, that's what they're actually doing?
- 13 **A. Yes.**
- 14 Q. Were you aware that the federal analog
- 15 has very similar rules in certain courts?
- 16 **A. No.**
- 17 Q. Have you read the pleadings about the
- 18 federal analog and what they do?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. What does Michelle Consiglio-Young,
- 21 Intergovernmental -- what is her title --
- 22 A. Affairs.
- 23 Q. What does that mean?
- 24 A. So she is our liaison to the other
- 25 branches of government and so she does -- she

- 1 works very closely with the legislature and
- 2 then other departments. And so for court
- 3 improvement programs, she's working with
- 4 Children's Services. For safe baby courts,
- 5 she's working with Human Services and
- 6 Children's Services as well. So it's that
- 7 place that connects with other departments and
- 8 agencies across the state.
- 9 Q. So is it fair to say then when you say
- 10 "intergovernmental" or what she does, some of
- 11 her work touches on the executive branch and
- 12 the legislative branch and the judicial branch?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Is that a relatively new position or has
- 15 that always kind of been there with the AOC?
- 16 A. I think it's always -- well, since I've
- 17 been at the AOC it's been there.
- 18 Q. Okay. Do you know how long she served on
- 19 the Advisory Commission as the AOC liaison?
- 20 A. I do not know.
- 21 Q. Is the Advisory Commission listed
- 22 somewhere on the AOC website?
- 23 A. It is.
- 24 Q. And are there names of the people who are
- 25 on that commission on that particular website?

Page 116

- 1 A. Yes.
 - 2 Q. I think all of the -- we talked earlier
 - 3 about the boards and commissions section of the
- 4 AOC website; do you recall that?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And I haven't looked last week, but I
- 7 think it is fair to say that most members who
- 8 serve on these various commissions and boards
- 9 are listed there on the AOC website?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Who puts that information together?
- 12 A. So who serves is decided in most places
- 13 by the Court and they will often times put down
- 14 a court order for membership and then that gets
- 15 accumulated at the AOC. I don't know who
- 16 physically puts it on the website.
- 17 Q. Well, is the responsibility of liaison
- 18 for that particular board or commission to make
- 19 sure those names are on the website or is that
- 20 your communications group?
- 21 A. I don't know.
- 22 Q. Okay. Do you all have like a flow chart
- 23 at the AOC because you have a lot of different
- 24 divisions? I am just trying to understand how,
- 25 you know, delegation of duties and obligations

- 1 are carried out when you've got kind of these
- 2 various six divisions, what -- and you don't
- 3 have to tell me everything, I am just trying to
- 4 understand the hierarchy and how everybody
- 5 communicates with one another.
- 6 A. So you won't find flow charts. What you
- 7 will find is trust in liaisons that work with
- 8 these various boards and commissions to carry
- 9 out the functions that they always carried out.
- 10 We are in the process of trying to document
- 11 some of those processes and procedures, I call
- 12 it eliminating single points of failure,
- 13 because if something happens to Michelle
- 14 Consiglio-Young, someone else needs to be able
- 15 to pick up where she left off. So it's not
- 16 written down now, but we're working toward
- 17 writing some of those practices and procedures
- 18 **down.**

1

- 19 Q. Are you aware of a commission that was
- 20 established several years ago that put together
- 21 several reports on aspirations for the
- 22 Tennessee judicial system in the year 2030?
- 23 A. I'm not.
- 24 Q. Are you aware that that commission's
- 25 final report is on the AOC website?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. I don't recall who the person -- the
- 3 person who served in your role, the director of
- 4 the AOC was, but do you ever get together or
- 5 have communications with previous AOC directors
- 6 that predated you? Even going back mid '90s or
- 7 the '80s?
- 8 A. So two of them are friends. So I do have
- 9 conversation with two previous directors.
- 10 Q. Who are those?
- 11 A. Debbie Tate and Bill Young.
- 12 Q. And Ms. Tate was your -- you were deputy
- 13 to her, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Does she still serve in some capacity
- 16 with the AOC?
- 17 A. We have her on a temporary assignment
- 18 right now, so yes.
- 19 Q. Is that like a limited contract for
- 20 certain period of time?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. 120-day contract?
- 23 A. I think so, yes.
- 24 Q. Bill Young, who is Bill Young?
- 25 A. Bill Young was I believe Ms. Tate's

Page 118

Page 117

- A. So I believe I saw a report -- I don't
- 2 think that's what -- I thought it was more
- 3 around diversity. I don't know if we're
- 4 talking about the same report or not, though.
- 5 Q. So as I understand it, I believe it might
- 6 even be in the pleadings at some point or
- 7 motions, I'm not sure, but I just wanted to
- 8 know if you were aware that -- I want to say it
- 9 was the mid '90s, there was a commission in
- 10 Tennessee by various members, private
- 11 attorneys, judges, you weren't aware of that?
- 12 I know that was several years ago.
- 13 A. If you're talking about -- I'm calling it
- 14 a diversity report. I have seen that one, but
- 15 I don't know if we're talking about the same
- 16 thing.
- 17 Q. What I am referring to, and I don't know
- 18 the exact name, but I think it was Vision,
- 19 Tennessee Courts 2030. I believe the year was
- 20 2030. You don't recall that?
- 21 A. I don't.
- 22 Q. And you haven't seen that 2030 -- I am
- 23 just -- it may not be exactly, but you don't
- 24 recall seeing that 2030 report on the AOC
- 25 website?

1 predecessor in the role.

- 2 Q. How long did Ms. Tate serve as AOC
- 3 director, if you can recall?
- 4 A. I think it was seven years.
- 5 Q. And then how about Mr. Young, how long
- 6 was he -- do you know? If you don't, that's
- 7 fine.
- 8 A. I don't know.
- 9 Q. Is he still living?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Does he work for the AOC?
- 12 **A. No.**
- 13 Q. Is he retired?
- 14 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 15 Q. Okay. Is he an attorney?
- 16 A. Yes, he is. I think he's working for the
- 17 Ethics Commission.
- 18 Q. So is that a Tennessee government paid
- 19 position?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Bill Young?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. He is an attorney?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Is Ms. Tate an attorney?

Page 123

Page 124

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And is there a requirement that the
- 3 executive director of the AOC has to be an
- 4 attorney?
- 5 A. Not in statute.
- 6 Q. Have most of them, besides Ms. Tate and
- 7 Mr. Young and yourself, have most previous AOC
- 8 directors been attorneys?
- 9 A. To my knowledge, yes.
- 10 Q. Are you aware of how the Federal AOC is
- 11 set up with respect to the relationship with
- 12 the chief justices of the US Supreme Court?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. So if I told you that the AOC in the
- 15 federal court does not serve at the pleasure of
- 16 the chief justice, Chief Justice Roberts, and
- 17 is a separate entity, you wouldn't have any
- 18 information on that or knowledge?
- 19 **A. No.**
- 20 Q. Are you aware of anyone or any report
- 21 that has ever suggested or recommended that the
- 22 AOC director and office should be separate from
- 23 the Tennessee Supreme Court?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. You've never seen a report or heard about

- 1 how the AOC operates. The statute clearly says
- 2 that the AOC director serves at the pleasure of
- 3 the Tennessee Supreme Court. The realty is the
- 4 Tennessee Supreme Court is responsible for the
- 5 entire court system. So the Tennessee Supreme
- 6 Court, vis-a-vis the AOC director, is
- 7 responsive to all levels of court. But we
- 8 don't control perception.
- 9 Q. What is your opinion on that, do you
- 10 think they -- that maybe the statute requiring
- 11 your position to serve, as you say, the
- 12 pleasure of the chief justice and the justices,
- 13 is that a good thing or a bad thing?
- 14 A. It's a thing.
- 15 Q. Right.
- 16 A. I think that in terms of --
- 17 Q. I'm not trying to --
- 18 A. -- to get something done, having five
- 19 bosses is very different from having some
- 20 larger group of bosses, if you will.
- 21 Q. I'm not trying to get you in trouble with
- 22 your bosses, I'm just -- I'm just talking
- 23 about -- I mean, because you would agree that
- 24 you are responsible for the administration of
- 25 justice and some of these kind of broad

- 1 any recommendations on that?
- 2 A. I think there's some opinion out there
- 3 that it should be led by more than just the
- 4 Supreme Court, in other words a group of judges
- 5 representing all levels of the court system,
- 6 but I've never seen a report, I've never seen
- 7 anything in writing in that regard.
- 8 Q. What opinion are you referring to?
- 9 A. I think there's been some discussion over
- 10 time about the AOC being responsible, if you
- 11 will, to more than just the Supreme Court.
- 12 Q. So is it your understanding that the AOC
- 13 is just responsible for the Tennessee Supreme
- 14 Court?
- 15 A. No, it is not my understanding.
- 16 Q. Well, then why does that -- why is that
- 17 opinion or idea out there?
- 18 A. I don't know.
- 19 Q. Who -- when you say opinion, is it like
- 20 an Tennessee attorney general opinion; what do
- 21 you mean?
- 22 A. No, no. I just mean over the course of
- 23 time, there have been comments that I'm aware
- 24 of that trial judges don't get -- don't
- 25 perceive that they are heard when it comes to

- 1 concepts; would you agree with that?
- 2 A. Absolutely.
- 3 Q. So having five bosses, is that more
- 4 difficult to carry out your duties? Or what do
- 5 you mean by having five bosses? Explain that.
- 6 I am just trying to understand. I don't want
- 7 to put words in your mouth, I don't want you to
- 8 talk bad about -- I'm just trying to understand
- 9 philosophically what your opinion is.
- 10 A. Well, the AOC is the administrative arm
- 11 of the Tennessee Supreme Court. The Tennessee
- 12 Supreme Court has five justices.
- 13 Q. Right. Do you think if would be a better
- 14 situation if your office, the AOC, was
- 15 completely separate from the Tennessee Supreme
- 16 Court and that you made all those decisions?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Okay. And if the Feds do it that way,
- 19 and I don't know if they do it exactly that
- 20 way, but would that be something you wouldn't
- 21 agree or think would be a great thing or you
- 22 don't know right now?
- 23 A. I don't know. I don't think it would be
- 24 because I think our Tennessee Supreme Court is
- 25 very intentional about hearing and making sure

Page 125 Page 127

- 1 they are responsive to all courts.
 - I mean, we have programs across the AOC that address juvenile courts, general sessions
- 4 courts, trial courts. So there -- the
- 5 processes are in place to make sure all courts
- 6 are represented in what the Tennessee Supreme7 Court then directs.
- 8 Q. Do you think the people on the inside,
- 9 attorneys, all of us at this table, justices
- 10 and judges think that the Tennessee judicial
- 11 system is a good thing or --
 - MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
- 13 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 14 Q. -- or doing the best it can?
- 15 A. The Tennessee judicial system?
- 16 Q. Yeah. Well, the courts, what you have to
- 17 do, what you have to do. Do you think the
- 18 Tennessee courts are perceived by the members
- 19 of the bar and the judiciary as being a pretty
- 20 good system?
- 21 A. Yes.

2

3

12

- 22 Q. Do you think the public perceives the
- 23 Tennessee judicial system as a pretty good
- 24 system?

1

MR. STAHL: Object to the form.

1 of, record wise?

- 2 A. I don't keep track of that, no.
- 3 Q. You're not required to do that under the
- 4 statute?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Are you aware of the compensation system
- 7 for attorneys in Tennessee for indigent
- 8 representation?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Is it good or is it bad compared to other
- 11 states?

12

- MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
- 13 THE WITNESS: So compared to other
- 14 states, we compensate lawyers for their
- 15 representation at the lowest level of any other 16 state.
- 17 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 18 Q. So Tennessee's the worst state, right?
- 19 MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
- 20 THE WITNESS: We compensate at a rate
- 21 lower than any other state.
- 22 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 23 Q. The worst state in terms of compensation,
- 24 I should have clarified that.
- 25 A. The lowest.

Page 126

- THE WITNESS: The public is kind of
- broad. I think it depends on your interactionwith the courts. So you could have a negative
- 4 interaction and I suppose your perception would
- 5 **not be positive.** I think generally, when I
- 6 talk about what I do, I don't hear negative
- 7 things about the Tennessee judicial system.
- 8 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 9 Q. Do you think there's an access to justice
- 10 crisis in the state of Tennessee?
- 11 A. Crisis. no.
- 12 Q. Do you think there's an access to justice
- 13 problem in the state of Tennessee?
- 14 A. I think we are intentional with programs
- 15 to make sure that the reach of the Tennessee
- 16 courts is as broad as it can be from where we
- 17 work at the AOC, and then we work very closely
- 18 with all of those legal aid societies out there
- 19 that do provide the reach and access. So I
- 20 believe that's a very positive thing for the
- 21 state of Tennessee.
- 22 Q. Are there a lot of pro se litigants in
- 23 the state of Tennessee?
- 24 A. I don't know.
- 25 Q. That's not something that you keep track

- 1 Q. Well, is it better to get less money or 2 more money?
- 3 MR. STAHL: Object to the form, asked 4 and answered.

- 5 THE WITNESS: Better to get -- so I
- don't view it from the standpoint of the
 attorney compensation whether or not that is
- 8 good or bad, I view it from the standpoint of
- 9 are we providing representation. And so
- 10 representation continues, despite paying the
- 10 representation continues, despite paying th
- 11 lowest rate in the country.
- 12 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 13 Q. Did Chief Justice Kirby think it was bad
- 14 enough to issue some comments recently about
- 15 how she wanted to improve the compensation
- 16 system?
- 17 A. So we are currently in the process of
- 18 advocating to improve the compensation for
- 19 attorneys, yes.
- 20 Q. Did Chief Justice Kirby release some
- 21 public comments recently?
- 22 A. Yes, she did.
- 23 Q. Were those on the AOC website?
- 24 A. Yes, they are.
- 25 Q. How is -- is the AOC, part of that

- 1 advocacy, as you called it, about trying to
- 2 increase compensation?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. How -- what does that advocacy look like?
- 5 What does your office have to do?
- 6 A. So the entire fund for indigent
- 7 representation is appropriated money by the
- 8 General Assembly. So if there is to be an
- 9 increase in attorney compensation rates, it
- 10 will have to come from additional appropriation
- 11 of moneys.
- 12 Q. So does that mean, when you say
- 13 "advocacy," trying to get more money
- 14 appropriated? You don't have to pass a law,
- 15 right?
- 16 **A. No.**
- 17 Q. Who does the advocacy besides the AOC
- 18 office?

1

4

25

- 19 A. I'm sure on this topic there will be many
- 20 groups advocating. I think the TBA will
- 21 definitely be at the table on behalf of
- 22 attorneys. You might see the PDs office, some
- 23 of the legal aid societies. I'm sure there's a
- 24 wide swath of people that would agree.
- 25 Q. Is low compensation that we're talking

- 1 our budget request, the Court would have to
- 2 agree with that. And so they have. I don't
- 3 know about individual advocacy on their parts.

Page 131

Page 132

- 4 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 5 Q. How do you know they agreed with the
- 6 increase of compensation?
- 7 A. We present our budget proposals to the
- 8 Court in advance.
- 9 Q. When you say "we," you're talking about
- 10 the AOC?
- 11 A. I'm talking about me.
- 12 Q. You?
- 13 **A. Uh-huh.**
- 14 Q. So when you're doing a budget -- I know
- 15 you said that's kind of a year-long process,
- 16 kind of, right?
- 17 A. Uh-huh.
- 18 Q. You're putting numbers together, you go
- 19 to the justices first or at some point before
- 20 that gets submitted to the governor?
- 21 A. That's right, I need approval.
- 22 Q. Okay. So we're just talking about the
- 23 compensation for attorneys right now, what the
- 24 article was recently that Justice Kirby spoke
- 25 about.

1

9

- about, does that make the access to justice
- 2 issue better or worse?
- 3 MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
 - THE WITNESS: Better or worse. I think we could be -- I don't think it has to
- think we could be -- I don't think it has todate, but I think if we don't address it, we
- 7 could be in a position where access to justice
- 8 is in jeopardy.
- 9 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 10 Q. So appreciate you sharing the advocacy,
- 11 is there any kind of written materials that
- 12 your office has on this -- what you have to do
- 13 to increase the funding to get -- to
- 14 compensate, is there anything out there
- 15 physically written?
- 16 A. So it is one of our budget requests. And
- 17 so we have provided information to the
- 18 Department of Finance and Administration in
- 19 writing that we will be making an ask to
- 20 increase the rates.
- 21 Q. Are the justices themselves advocating on
- 22 this issue? I mentioned Justice Kirby, but are
- 23 they doing any advocacy along with the AOC?
- MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
 - THE WITNESS: So before it appears in

- What's the increase -- or proposed
- 2 increase for attorney compensation on a
- 3 percentage basis?
- 4 A. Well, it's \$30 increase in the
- 5 compensation rate. So from \$50 an hour to 80.
- 6 Q. And so currently it's \$50 an hour, is
- 7 that for criminal or explain that -- or is that
- 8 just court appointed? What does that mean?
 - MR. COKE: Object to the form.
- 10 THE WITNESS: That's court appointed
- 11 counsel.12 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 13 Q. In state courts in Tennessee?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. So is that by statute or is that just how
- 16 it's been, the \$50?
- 17 A. It's by rule, supreme court rule, Rule
- 18 **13.**
- 19 Q. That's Rule 13?
- 20 A. Yes
- 21 Q. When was that rule promulgated?
- 22 A. I don't know.
- 23 Q. And so this compensation of \$50 an hour,
- 24 is that civil or criminal?
- 25 **A. Both.**

Page 135

Page 136

1 Q. Oh, it is, okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

How does that on a criminal side? Isn't the public defender's office -- don't they serve that role or -- I don't understand that part of it.

MR. COKE: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: So the public defender's office does take -- undertake the representation. However, the indigent -- my understanding, the indigent representation fund for adult defense applied when the public

- for adult defense applied when the publicdefender's office had a conflict on a matter,
- 13 and so private counsel could be engaged.
- 14 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 15 Q. I see. So the increase in it, assuming
- 16 it goes through, does that require a rule
- 17 change of Supreme Court Rule 13?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Who makes that change? Do the Supreme
- 20 Court justices make that change?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Supreme Court rules are not part of the
- 23 Advisory Commission, are they?
- 24 A. I don't believe so, no.
- 25 Q. Is there any commission or board outside

1 representation like Tennessee?

- 2 A. I don't know.
- 3 Q. Approximately?
- 4 A. I don't know.
- 5 Q. Do you ever discuss indigent
- 6 representation in your -- is it the COSCA
- 7 group, that organization, does that ever come
- 8 up?
- 9 A. We have not.
- 10 Q. What about access to justice issues in
- 11 general, does that ever come up in your state
- 12 meeting association?
- 13 A. So, yes. Interpreters has come up in the
- 14 COSCA group. I'm trying to remember. I think
- 15 just interpreters and language access has been
- 16 an issue.
- 17 Q. What about litigants having to represent
- 18 themselves or being pro se, does that pro se
- 19 litigation ever come up?
- 20 A. Not that I recall.
- 21 Q. Is part of the goal of increasing
- 22 compensation for indigent representation so
- 23 that we will have fewer pro se litigants?
- 24 MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
 - THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't know

Page 134

25

8

9

10

11

20

21

- 1 of the justices themselves that make changes to
- 2 supreme court rules?
- 3 A. So they will -- no, there's no entity,
- 4 no.
- 5 Q. Do they do that at like certain period,
- 6 cadence of the year, or is that ongoing; how
- 7 does that work?
- 8 A. I think it's ongoing and they will be put
- 9 out for public comment.
- 10 Q. So they also put out public comment, but
- 11 as far as you know the Supreme Court rules are
- 12 not part of the Advisory Commission meeting
- 13 rule recommendations; is that right?
- 14 A. That's right.
- 15 Q. Okay. So assuming that the rate
- 16 increases from \$50 an hour to compensate an
- 17 attorney representing indigent people to 80,
- 18 where does that -- where would that put
- 19 Tennessee?

20

21

- MR. COKE: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: It puts us kind of
- 22 square in the middle of other states that do
- 23 indigent representation in this way.
- 24 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 25 Q. How many states do indigent

1 that that is a goal.

- 2 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 3 Q. Would it be fair to say that if attorneys
- 4 are going to be paid more to represent people
- 5 who can't afford payment, then you're going to
- 6 have less indigent -- excuse me, you're going
- 7 to have less pro se litigants in courts?
 - MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
 - MR. COKE: Object to the form.
 - THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know the
 - reasons why people choose to go pro se, so I
- 12 can't necessarily say that I know the answer to
- 13 **that.**
- 14 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 15 Q. Is one of the reasons that people choose
- 16 to go pro se because they don't have enough
- 17 money to pay for a lawyer?
- 18 A. I suppose it could be one reason.
- 19 Q. Isn't that the main reason?
 - MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
 - THE WITNESS: I don't know that to be
- 22 the main reason.
- 23 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 24 Q. You don't know that to be the main
- 25 reason?

Page 139

Page 140

- A. (Shaking head.) 1
- 2 Have you ever had this discussion Q.
- 3 specifically with Justice Kirby?
- 4 Α.

7

8

13

14

1

15

- 5 Q. Were there any justices that opposed the
- 6 rate increase from \$50 an hour to 80?
 - MR. COKE: Object to the form.
 - THE WITNESS: No.
- 9 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 10 Q. Are any of the justices opposed to having
- 11 Advisory Commission meetings open to the
- 12 public?
 - MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
 - THE WITNESS: I -- I don't know.
- 15 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 16 Q. Has anyone said anything to you about
- 17 that -- their objection to having open
- 18 meetings?
- 19 Α. No.
- 20 Q. Do you personally object to having
- 21 Advisory Commission meetings open to the
- 22 public?
- 23 Α. Do I object? I don't know that it
- 24 matters. They are open now pursuant to court
- 25 order. So no, I don't object.

- 2 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 3 Q. You don't think he would tell -- you

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

4 don't know.

1

- 5 A. I don't know.
- 6 Do you ever have any input with the
- 7 justices when they appoint members to the
- 8 Advisory Commission?
- 9 A. Do I -- say the first, do I?
- 10 Q. Yeah, do -- you would agree that the
- 11 Tennessee Supreme Court justices appoint
- 12 members to serve on the Advisory Commission,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Do you as the AOC director have any input
- 16 with the justices before they appoint someone?
- 17
- 18 Q. Does anyone in your office at the AOC
- 19 have any input on that process?
 - MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
- 21 THE WITNESS: The only input that our
- 22 office would have would be based on the terms
- 23 of the currently serving members and whether or
- 24 not they are eligible for reappointment.
- 25

20

- Q. Has that ever been a discussion within
- 2 the AOC office about whether or not Advisory
- 3 Commission meetings should be open or closed?
- 4 I have not had such a discussion. Α.
- 5 You don't know anyone's opinion? Like,
- for example, you don't know if Director Harmon 6
- 7 thinks it's a good idea or bad idea?
- 8 A. I don't know her opinion.
- 9 Do you know Chairman Bulso's opinion
- 10 whether he thinks it's a good idea or bad idea?
- 11 I do not know.
- 12 Do you think if Chairman Bulso thought it
- 13 was a bad idea to have meetings open, would he
- 14 tell you as the AOC director?
 - MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
- 16 THE WITNESS: He's more likely to
- talk with the staff liaison. I don't interact 17
- with the commission or the chairman. 18
- 19 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 20 Q. So that would be -- you think he would
- 21 tell Michelle Consiglio-Young?
- 22 Α. Possibly.
- 23 Would he tell any of the justices on the Q.
- 24 Supreme Court?
- 25 MR. STAHL: Object to the form.

- BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 2 Q. And that eligibility is by statute,
- 3 right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 So you don't -- your office doesn't weigh
- in and say I think you all should appoint John
- 7 Smith as a member to the Advisory Commission,
- 8 is that right?
- 9 A. That is correct. Or I don't.
- 10 Q. And I'm talking about -- when I say
- "you," I'm talking about your office, the AOC.
- 12 Who would be the person that would get involved
- in that? 13
- 14 A. I don't know if, for instance, Michelle
- 15 Consiglio-Young would have the opportunity to
- weigh in on appointments or not. I know on the
- 17 boards and commissions that I serve as liaison,
- 18 I do not.
- Q. What boards and commissions do you serve 19
- 20 as liaison?
- 21 So I serve on the Building Commission.
- 22 Q. The building?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- Okay. 24 Q.
- 25 I serve on the Technology Oversight

- 1 Committee. I cannot recall if I am on the
- 2 Access to Justice Commission or not by name,
- 3 but I attend sometimes their meetings. I think
- 4 that's all.
- 5 Q. The Building Commission, do they hold
- 6 regular meetings?
- 7 A. They do.
- 8 Q. Do you all meet together in one physical
- 9 location or is it through Zoom or Webinar?
- 10 A. It's been Zoom.
- 11 Q. Has that been since the pandemic?
- 12 A. I don't -- I started in October like
- 13 right before, I don't recall a meeting -- well,
- 14 I wasn't director before then, so I don't know
- 15 what it was before the pandemic.
- 16 Q. Are your Building meetings open to the
- 17 public?
- 18 A. I don't -- I don't think I've ever seen a
- 19 public notice. They're really about
- 20 maintenance of the building, like landscaping,
- 21 plumbing issues.
- 22 Q. Right. How about the Tech Oversight, how
- 23 many times a year typically do they meet?
- 24 A. So it's brand new and so it has met maybe
- 25 three times.

- 1 A. And there's no livestreaming, if that's
- 2 your question.
- 3 Q. That's what I'm trying to figure out.
- 4 How do you know -- not sitting on the side of
- 5 the public, you're in the room or your meetings
- 6 are being livestreamed, how do you as a
- 7 participant know that the public -- that this
- 8 meeting is being livestreamed to the public?
- 9 A. I guess I don't know.
- 10 Q. So assuming a chair didn't say, hey
- 11 members, this meeting's going to be
- 12 livestreamed -- if they told you, you would
- 13 know at that point, right?
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. Would you also know if you saw a public
- 16 meeting notice on the AOC website that it was
- 17 being livestreamed?
- 18 **A. Yes.**
- 19 Q. Okay. Any other way that you would know?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Okay. So one of the other aspirational
- 22 goals -- are you required as the director to
- 23 come up with ways to expedite litigation?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. How does that -- what does that look

- 1 Q. When you say "three times," you're
- 2 talking about in calendar year 2023?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Where do you all meet and how do you all
- 5 meet?
- 6 A. It's been via Zoom.
- 7 Q. And are any of those meetings been open
- 8 to the public?
- 9 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 10 Q. How would you know if a meeting that you
- 11 were serving on would be open to the public?
- 12 A. If public was a part of the meeting. I
- 13 attend, so if there were members of the public
- 14 outside of, you know, those who are on the
- 15 committee was in attendance, then I would know
- 16 that it was open.
- 17 Q. I understand that if they were physically
- 18 in the same room. So my question is how would
- 19 you -- if you're sitting in a room and it's
- 20 being Zoomed out to the public, would you know?
- 21 Would there be a camera in the room? How would
- 22 you understand that that meeting was going out
- 23 to the public?
- 24 A. We're all joining from our own locations.
- 25 Q. Sure.

- Page 144
 1 like? What things have you done in your role
- 2 to expedite litigation?
- 3 A. I would say the entire in Korean
- 4 (phonetic) study of E-filing in the state is
- 5 one of those.
- 6 Q. The E-filing?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Any other ways of expediting litigation?
- 9 A. No. We collect statistical data that
- 10 would inform the Court of where there may be
- 11 overloaded dockets and then the Court has some
- 12 tools available to it to address that.
- 12 tools available to it to addices that:
- 13 Q. So when you see expedited litigation, you
- 14 think that relates more towards particular
- 15 court dockets?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Are there some court dockets that are
- 18 slower to work through a case than other
- 19 dockets or courts?
- 20 A. So I think there are places where
- 21 population growth has caused the courts to be
- 22 more heavily burdened than in the past. And so
- 23 the 19th Judicial District comes to mind,
- 24 they've just got more filings -- filings than
- 25 they -- over the course of time.

- 1 Q. So what's the 19th Judicial District?
- 2 A. So that's Montgomery County.
- 3 Q. And what's the major city in Montgomery
- 4 County?
- 5 A. Clarksville.
- 6 Q. Okay. Is that because there has been an
- 7 increase in population?
- 8 A. That's what I would argue.
- 9 Q. Well, when you're setting up your
- 10 processes to expedite litigation and collecting
- 11 all this information, how do you do that? If
- 12 you have a district that has a lot more
- 13 filings, how does that work? What do you do?
- 14 A. To address it or get the information?
- 15 Q. Well, I don't know. I'm just trying to
- 16 understand, is it just your job to collect the
- 17 information and statistics or is it your job --
- 18 A. It is my job to collect the information.
- 19 Q. Once you collect the information, is it
- 20 your job to come up with a fix or that's not
- 21 your job?
- 22 A. So I'm -- it's not my job. I support the
- 23 Court with the information that it needs to
- 24 make decisions.
- 25 Q. Okay. And who would be making a decision

- 1 Q. Do you remember filing an answer in this
- 2 lawsuit?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Who helped prepare that answer for you?
- 5 A. Rachel Harmon and the Offices of the
- 6 Attorney General.
- 7 Q. Anyone else assist you with that?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Was Ms. Harmon representing you at any
- 10 point during this lawsuit?
- 11 A. She has not represented me, no.
- 12 Q. And you reviewed that answer before it
- 13 was filed?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Along with your attorneys?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. I will segue a little bit away from the
- 18 Advisory Commission and talk about the
- 19 Tennessee Judicial Conference Committees, which
- 20 is a part of this lawsuit, you'll recall.
- 21 A. Okav.
- 22 Q. For simplicity purposes, I'm going to try
- 23 to keep it simple and not say Advocacy
- 24 Commission, just say TJC committees, if that's
- 25 okay.

Page 146

- 1 let's say on information you collect from
- 2 Montgomery -- you said Montgomery County?
- 3 A. Uh-huh.

7

8

9

- 4 Q. Who would make decisions on what to do
- 5 with that information that you're collecting,
- 6 would that be the justices?
 - MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
 - THE WITNESS: Yes. So one of the
 - things that resulted from the collection of
- 10 information on filings and the growth over time
- 11 was the request for new judicial positions. So
- 12 that is something once the Court decides that
- 13 that is needed, then we would advocate for new
- 14 judicial positions through the legislature.
- 15 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 16 Q. And has that happened once the
- 17 information you collected and shared with the
- 18 iustices?
- 19 **A. Yes.**
- 20 Q. Okay. So you would think it's fair to
- 21 say that a big part of the director position is
- 22 collecting a lot of these statistics and
- 23 information and sharing it with justices,
- 24 right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 A. Okay.
- 2 Q. What is your understanding of the TJC
- 3 committees?
- 4 A. They are committees of the Judicial
- 5 Conference. We support them in the same way we
- 6 do other committees, just administrative
- 7 support. So there's a staff member assigned to
- 8 -- I don't want to -- I'm not certain that it's
- 9 all, but most.
- 10 Q. Right. And is your -- what is your
- 11 office responsible for? I mean, your office is
- 12 responsible for providing education for judges;
- 13 is that right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Is your office responsible for providing
- 16 any kind of education to the Advisory
- 17 Commission?
- 18 **A. No.**
- 19 Q. Okay. But you would agree that judges do
- 20 serve on the Advisory Commission?
- 21 **A. Yes.**
- 22 Q. And non-judges serve on the Advisory
- 23 Commission?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. But with the TJC committees, are

Page 148

- Page 149
- 1 there any non-judges that serve on any of those
- 2 committees that you're aware of?
- 3 A. There's a Bench Bar Committee, so there
- 4 would be non-judges on that committee, but I'm
- 5 not sure about others.
- 6 Q. Yeah, and that's -- what's -- what's your
- 7 understanding of what that means, "bench bar,"
- 8 what does that typically mean?
- 9 A. It's for joint programming, education
- 10 programming.
- 11 Q. But --
- 12 A. Between the bar associations and the
- 13 **Court.**
- 14 Q. For simplicity purposes, does bench bar
- 15 mean you have some judges that are on a group
- and then some non-judges, attorneys, who are in
- 17 the group?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And the Advisory Commission is a Bench
- 20 Bar Committee -- Commission, right?
- 21 A. In the generic sense of the term, sure.
- 22 Q. Yeah. Do you participate or serve on any
- 23 of these TJC committees?
- 24 A. I participate in the Executive Committee,
- 25 which I think I actually serve on that

- 1 Q. Okay. Is that position elected or
- 2 whatever by the people that are -- by the
- 3 members?
- 4 A. By the membership.
- 5 Q. Okay. So since you've been director, how
- 6 many of these various TJC meetings have you
- 7 been to?
- 8 A. I would say four or five. Because most
- 9 of them meet during a conference and so I will
- 10 **pop in.**
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. Or I'm asked to join just to provide
- 13 information.
- 14 Q. Does your office also help gain speakers
- 15 for CLE for the judges?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. Is that something that you're
- 18 involved in or someone else in your office is
- 19 involved in?
- 20 A. Someone else in my office.
- 21 Q. Is that Deputy Director Harmon?
- 22 A. I would say it's John Crawford, but I
- 23 wouldn't doubt that he consults her. He's not
- 24 an attorney, so I would not doubt that he would
- 25 consult Deputy Harmon.

- 1 committee. I participate with the Court
- 2 Security Committee, the Weighted Caseload
- 3 Committee, Trust and Confidence Committee. I
- 4 think those are the only ones I've been
- 5 involved in.
- 6 Q. Are you required by statute to be on any
- 7 of those committees?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Okay. Who makes the selection as to
- 10 whether or not you're going to be on a
- 11 committee or a commission, who makes that
- 12 determination?
- 13 A. I don't know. I inherited all of that.
- 14 Q. Have you ever asked Ms. Tate?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Do the justices make that decision?
- 17 A. I don't think so.
- 18 Q. Who would be making the decision?
- 19 A. I think most likely the head of the TJC,
- 20 the president of the TJC.
- 21 Q. Who is that a chief justice of the
- 22 Supreme Court?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Who is the head of the TJC?
- 25 A. Currently it is Valerie Smith.

- Page 152
- Q. Do you know how Mr. Crawford makes thedecisions to choose certain speakers for
- 3 education?
- 4 A. I don't think he chooses them, I think he
- 5 might recommend to the Education Committee.
- 6 There's an Education Committee for TJC.
- 7 Q. Do any of those committees of the TJC, do
- 8 they make rule recommendations, court rule
- 9 recommendations like the Advisory Commission?
- 10 **A. No.**
- 11 Q. Okay. And do you know if any of their
- 12 meetings are open or closed to the public?
- 13 A. I don't know.
- 14 Q. When you say you pop in, is that -- when
- 15 you say conference, are you talking about like
- 16 a TBA conference that happens to be taking
- 17 place at the same time as the TJC committee
- 18 meetings? What do you mean by that, you pop
- 19 in?
- 20 A. So I attend all of the conferences for
- 21 our judicial trial courts -- State Judges
- 22 Conference, the General Sessions Conference,
- 23 the Municipal Judge Conference, I'll be going
- 24 to that here shortly. So I'm an attendant. So
- 25 if their committees are meeting, then I'll join

- 1 them.
- 2 Q. Okay. Do you know if any of those
- 3 conference meetings that the judges have had,
- 4 have they ever been open to the public?
- 5 A. Not that I'm aware of. Those conferences
- 6 are their Judicial Education Conference, so I
- 7 don't believe they're open to the public.
- 8 Q. Okay. Is it your intention to provide --
- 9 well, are you going to provide any expert
- 10 testimony in this case or be designated as an
- 11 expert witness?
- 12 A. I don't believe so.
- 13 Q. Okay. Do you know if Deputy Director
- 14 Harmon would be doing that?
- 15 A. I don't know.
- 16 Q. Okay. Do you know if any of the
- 17 Tennessee Supreme Court justices will be doing
- 18 that?
- 19 A. I don't know.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 MR. DOUGHERTY: I'll pass the
- 22 witness, Mike.
- 23 ///
- 24 ///
- 25 ///

1

6

- 1 notices, you had mentioned 30 days was a time
- 2 frame that you thought was reasonable to post a
- 3 public notice if a meeting was going to be
- 4 public; is that right?
- 5 A. Well, I said I felt like that was pretty
- 6 standard. I don't know if that's reasonable.
- 7 Q. Why would you feel like that's a standard
- 8 time frame?
- 9 A. I can only draw on my experiences with
- 10 the Department of Health, and I know that our
- 11 notices for boards that were meeting in the
- 12 Department of Health was published in advance
- 13 and it was about a 30-day notice.
- 14 Q. Would the AOC, as far as you know,
- 15 publish a public notice without permission of
- 16 the committee or chairperson?
- 17 **A. No.**
- 18 Q. Who -- the information contained within a
- 19 public notice, the public notices that you've
- 20 seen, what kind of information is included in a
- 21 public notice that you've seen?
- 22 A. So date and time for a meeting. I've
- 23 seen -- I believe I've seen some with proposed
- 24 agenda or an agenda for the meeting. That's

Page 156

25 what I recall.

Page 154

- EXAMINATION
- 2 QUESTIONS BY MR. STAHL:
- 3 Q. Just a few questions, Director Long.
- 4 Do you personally as director of the AOC
- 5 control any of the conduct related to any
 - committee meetings that happen at the AOC?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Would you be able to tell a chairperson
- 9 of any committee how or what to do during their
- 10 meetings?
- 11 A. No. Our interaction with the chairs is
- 12 limited to implementing what they desire.
- 13 That's our interaction with the chairs.
- 14 Q. Has any member of your office, as far as
- 15 you know, ever told a committee or a commission
- 16 when or where to hold its meeting?
- 17 **A. No.**
- 18 Q. Are the commissions that are listed on
- 19 the AOC website either statutorily or otherwise
- 20 required to hold their meetings at the AOC?
- 21 A. At the AOC?
- 22 Q. (Nodding head.)
- 23 A. I don't know the answer to that.
- 24 Q. Okay. You're a -- your statement earlier
- 25 regarding counsel's question about public

- 1 Q. Okay. Would the AOC in any capacity
- 2 control the information on public notice
- 3 concerning the date and time of the meeting?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Would the AOC have the ability or in any
- 6 way control the proposed agenda of the meeting?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. So the information you've seen on public
- 9 notices must come from someone outside the AOC?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And can you describe the AOC's role in
- 12 publishing the notice after it gets that
- 13 information?
- 14 A. So this is where I'm not sure who handles
- 15 what, but I know more than likely the staff
- 16 liaison for whatever body we're talking about
- 17 would get that information, when is the next
- 18 meeting, what's the time, date, proposed
- 19 agenda, and then provide that most likely to
- 20 our communications team that then posts to our
- 21 website.
- 22 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that
- 23 anybody within that process would change or
- 24 alter that information?
- 25 A. Absolutely not.

Q. Do you think anybody within that process has the authority to change or alter that information? A. No. MR. STAHL: That's all I have. FURTHER EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. DOUGHERTY: Q. On that line of questioning, on those public meeting notices that you've seen, is there an AOC contact person listed? A. I didn't make note of that. Q. Would there be an AOC contact person listed with e-mail and phone number if the public has a question? A. I don't know. There could be. Q. Who would the public call if they had a question about a public meeting notice that the AOC put out? A. This is speculative, but I would say Barbara Peck or our web master.	Page 159 1 ERRATA PAGE 2 I, MICHELLE LONG, having read the foregoing deposition, Pages 1 through 158, do hereby certify 3 said testimony is a true and accurate transcript, with the following changes (if any): 4 5 PAGE LINE SHOULD HAVE BEEN 6 ———————————————————————————————————
	23
22 Q. They would call someone at the AOC,	Notary Public
23 right?	24
24 A. Yes.	My Commission Expires:
25 Q. Does the first amendment require the	25
Advisory Commission meetings to be open to the public? MR. STAHL: Object to the form, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: I know that's what's argued in this case. I don't know. BY MR. DOUGHERTY: Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. MR. DOUGHERTY: I have nothing further. MR. STAHL: Great. Do you want to review the transcript or do you want to waive signature? MR. COKE: I'd like to review. THE WITNESS: Okay, we'd like to review. THE REPORTER: Did you want to order this? MR. DOUGHERTY: Yes. MR. DOUGHERTY: Yes. MR. DOUGHERTY: Yes, we want a copy of it. FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT (At 12:30 p.m. CST.)	Page 160 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 3 STATE OF TENNESSEE 4 COUNTY OF SUMNER 5 I, JENNY CHECUGA, Licensed Court Reporter, 6 with offices in Nashville, Tennessee, and Registered 7 Professional Reporter, hereby certify that I reported 8 the foregoing deposition of MICHELLE LONG by machine 9 shorthand to the best of my skills and abilities, and 10 thereafter the same was reduced to typewritten form 11 by me. 12 I further certify that I am not related to 13 any of the parties named herein, nor their counsel, 14 and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in the 15 outcome of the proceedings. 16 I further certify that in order for this 17 document to be considered a true and correct copy, it 18 must bear my original signature and that any 19 unauthorized reproduction in whole or in part and/or 19 transfer of this document is not authorized, will not 19 be considered authentic, and will be in violation of 19 Tennessee Code Annotated 39-14-104, Theft of 19 Services. 20 21 22 23 24 26 26 27 28 29 20 21 20 21 22 21 22 23 24 26 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 21 22 23 24 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 21 22 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 26 27 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 22 22 23 24 26 27 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28

22.24 \$ **25** 97:5 26th 38:18 **\$30** 132:4 **\$50** 132:5.6.16.23 134:16 3 137:6 30 77:8 102:13 155:1 1 30-day 155:13 **1** 9:11 **30th** 76:12 10:20 57:22 **11:35** 112:18 11th 13:25 14:2 **45** 38:23 **12** 76:15 120-day 119:22 8 **12:30** 158:24 8 99:14 **13** 132:18,19 133:17 80 132:5 134:17 137:6 **15** 48:10 71:24 **80s** 119:7 **16-3-601** 58:6 **87** 64:7,8 **1990** 12:9 **1994** 12:14,20 9 19th 144:23 145:1 **90s** 96:20,21 118:9 119:6 1st 9:17 16:19 76:12,13 99 99:6 2 9th 13:12,14,17,18,21 38:16,17 **20** 97:5 Α 2000 97:4 2000s 96:22 ability 8:8 50:16 89:2 105:24 111:14 156:5 2002 96:24 absence 39:6,9 **2017** 106:18 **Absolutely** 124:2 2018 106:18 156:25 2019 9:25 accepted 33:19,20 34:6, 2021 25:8 9,11 **2022** 9:9,11,18 24:14,16 accepting 34:15 25:5,9,11 31:22,23,25 access 22:25 23:7 36:23 33:7 40:12 43:5,6,8 39:16 50:7 57:12,14 97:10,13,14,15,18,23

75:4.6 126:9.12.19

accumulated 116:15

accurate 33:12,13

130:1,7 135:10,15 141:2

2023 34:25 38:6 75:24

22 99:14 100:6 142:2

2030 117:22 118:19,20,

76:1 87:5,16,17 89:18,21

94:15 97:8,12 98:8,9,18,

actions 96:9 active 48:7,9 21:12,14 148:6 53:25

actively 32:8 activities 17:15 actual 76:8 added 94:6 additional 48:13 83:18 94:3 129:10 address 33:16 125:3 130:6 144:12 145:14 adjustment 21:24 adjustments 20:14,24 administration 22:9,11, 23 23:23 24:24,25 26:1, 24 27:10 105:4,19 106:7 107:13 108:22 109:25 110:3,7,15,22 111:1,11, 13,16 123:24 130:18 administrative 7:23 8:21 9:1.4 17:20.21 18:5 20:19 21:21 61:2 70:25 71:5 85:10,22,23 86:2 91:22 105:4 124:10 Administrator 48:19 administrators 48:25 admission 12:19 admissions 13:4 admitted 12:23 ADR 77:11,13,21 adult 133:11 advance 76:7 77:1 102:12 131:8 155:12 advances 50:25 advice 44:24 45:3,7,10, 13,14,19,24 46:5 advisory 27:16,22 36:23 51:9,10 52:8,14 56:9,15, 18 57:2,5,10 58:1,8,12, 15 59:5 60:6,8,11,15,19, 22 61:3,7,13,22,25 62:17 66:14 67:17 68:22 70:3, 25 71:13,14 72:21 75:18, 21 76:25 78:6 79:20

81:13 82:13 83:9 84:22 85:6,15,22 86:1,7 87:25 88:8,17 89:13 90:5,9,13 91:23 92:16 94:15,17 97:10,18 98:9,11,17 100:6 102:24 103:2 104:5 105:2,17 106:9 107:8 108:5,20,25 112:10 113:1,3,13 115:19,21 133:23 134:12 137:11,21 138:2 139:8, 12 140:7 147:18 148:16, 20,22 149:19 152:9 158:1 advocacy 129:1,4,13,17 130:10,23 131:3 147:23 advocate 146:13 advocating 128:18 129:20 130:21

affairs 66:24 67:5,13 114:22 affect 20:7,19 afford 136:5

age 96:19 agencies 24:22 115:8

agenda 155:24 156:6,19

agree 46:10,13,14 80:2,4 88:7,9 98:13 110:5 123:23 124:1,21 129:24 131:2 139:10 148:19

agreed 131:5 ahead 5:14 7:20 aid 126:18 129:23

Alabama 13:2,11 49:15, 16

alter 156:24 157:2 Amanda 30:23

Alabama's 13:17

amended 43:8 amendment 157:25

amount 77:6 102:17 analog 51:14,18 114:14, 18

ancillary 21:1 annual 49:8,9 52:22,24 answers 8:9 anticipating 14:23 anyone's 138:5

AOC 15:22 16:2,5,9,11, 15 17:15 18:1,10 19:12, 14,15 20:1,8,20,21 21:11,19 22:7,17 24:18 25:16 26:16 27:15,20 28:13 29:6,16 30:9,13,14 32:20 35:21 36:10,21 37:6 39:7,11 40:2,16 41:2 43:19 44:24 47:20, 24 48:5,15 50:13,19,23 51:4,5,23 52:6 53:14 54:3 55:4,25 56:3 57:7, 11 61:2,6,18 62:4,9,18 63:19 64:2,7 67:6,8,23 68:10 70:11 71:8.10.21. 25 72:3 73:10,15,19,23 74:2,5,9 75:8,11,21 76:2, 5,11,14 77:2,21 78:5,10, 22 81:19 83:19,21 84:16 86:2 88:1,16,20 89:4,7,8 92:15 93:10,14 94:9 97:24 99:7 101:23 102:7 103:5,15 110:7 115:15, 17,19,22 116:4,9,15,23 117:25 118:24 119:4,5, 16 120:2,11 121:3,7,10, 14.22 122:10.12 123:1.2. 6 124:10,14 125:2 126:17 128:23,25 129:17 130:23 131:10 138:2,14 139:15,18 140:11 143:16 154:4,6,19,20,21 155:14 156:1,5,9 157:11,13,19, 22

AOC's 27:2,5 156:11

apologize 13:24 26:1 85:19

Appeals 13:14,19 14:1 80:16.20

appearance 8:1

appears 130:25

appellate 21:13 30:25 56:7 60:25 73:7 114:9

applied 133:11

appoint 9:14 40:8 139:7, 11,16 140:6

appointed 9:15 10:18, 19,20 40:12 132:8,10

appointment 9:20

appointments 140:16

appropriated 129:7,14

appropriateness 25:23 26:4

appropriation 129:10

approval 131:21

approximately 31:25 71:24 135:3

area 63:12

argue 145:8

argued 158:6

argumentative 111:24

Arkansas 53:9

arm 49:4 124:10

article 131:24

aspirational 143:21

aspirations 117:21

Assembly 23:20 24:21 73:5 90:17,24 91:8,12 129:8

assess 103:25

assessment 101:11

assessments 103:8

assigned 47:1 64:21 148:7

assigning 58:19

assignment 119:17

assist 39:1 55:24 56:18 75:12 88:17 147:7

Assistance 18:21 19:4

assistant 10:12,17

assisted 96:25

association 6:4 11:12 135:12

associations 149:12

assume 13:3 43:14 88:5 89:5 102:3

assuming 54:19 70:2 82:3 88:5,22 133:15 134:15 143:10

Atlanta 14:3

attend 49:9 141:3 142:13 152:20

attendance 142:15

attendant 152:24

attended 49:12 91:7,12 108:24

attends 82:13

attention 62:24 66:4

attorney 5:11 12:4 120:15,23,25 121:4 122:20 128:7 129:9 132:2 134:17 147:6 151:24

attorneys 55:5 118:11 121:8 125:9 127:7 128:19 129:22 131:23 136:3 147:15 149:16

attorneys' 19:6

audible 6:17

audit 26:14

August 60:3

authority 15:2 22:19 157:2

aware 16:4 36:14,16 37:10 41:6 43:1,14,24 51:8,14 58:14,16,18 63:3 67:22 69:1 70:3,7 74:6 77:10 81:19 86:15 87:11, 13 89:17,20 92:11 98:11, 16 99:2,4,9 101:15 107:23 113:16 114:14 117:19,24 118:8,11 120:14 121:10,20 122:23 127:6 149:2 153:5

awareness 17:16,17 42:23 66:9

В

baby 103:14 104:23 115:4

back 18:8 28:8 41:11 57:21,25 112:22 113:12 119:6

bad 123:13 124:8 127:10 128:8,13 138:7,10,13

Baldwin 104:13,17

bar 12:19 15:2 125:19 149:3,7,12,14,20

Barbara 36:12 68:12,16 70:19 72:13 91:25 92:5 157:21

barred 12:23

based 12:10 30:15 31:13 83:14,17 103:25 139:22

basically 39:6 90:21

basis 132:3

Beautiful 49:19

began 9:25

beginning 76:10

begins 24:9

behalf 129:21

bench 149:3,7,14,19

bids 33:25 40:16

big 146:21

Bill 119:11,24,25 120:21

bit 5:16 35:12 41:5 58:1 147:17

Bivins 59:19

BLE 71:16

board 18:8,19,23 19:9 20:6,16 63:6 71:5,13 76:24 82:19 94:23 116:18 133:25

boards 18:4,11,13 19:18 20:15 25:18 36:4,6 57:9 58:20 64:21 65:19 66:4 71:11,14,17,21 76:17 82:17 116:3,8 117:8 140:17,19 155:11

body 58:5 95:6 109:3 156:16

booked 63:8

booking 62:24

bosses 123:19,20,22 124:3,5

Bowers 30:21 56:8

BPR 71:16

branch 115:11,12

branches 114:25

brand 141:24

Brandon 30:21 56:8

break 6:25 7:2,6 38:19 57:23 112:6,16,17,20

Brentwood 11:21

briefing 58:10

bringing 96:5

broad 85:17 123:25 126:2,16

broadened 34:3

brought 66:3

Buck 5:10

budget 17:24,25 19:22, 23 20:4 23:10,14,15,24 24:14,15,23 25:1,5 70:22 71:8,10,16 85:7,16 130:16 131:1,7,14

budgetary 20:17 24:7 70:21.24 71:4 93:19

building 140:21,22 141:5,16,20

Bulso 86:11,12,18,23 87:2 101:1 138:12

Bulso's 138:9

burden 94:9,10,12

burdened 144:22

business 25:7 50:17 103:6,16

C

cadence 69:1,4 70:2 134:6

calendar 31:21 63:22 64:3 67:21,22,25 68:2,4, 10,14,18,20 72:8 74:7, 16,17,23 75:23 76:3 78:25 89:12 100:6 101:21 103:13 142:2

calendering 63:16

California 54:6

call 17:16 48:4,21 53:17 55:17,18 94:10,12 117:11 157:17,22

called 5:3 48:5 63:15 129:1

calling 55:20 118:13

camera 93:1 142:21

Campbell 56:7

cancelled 99:20 101:4

candid 105:22 109:2 110:19 111:10.12

candidate 40:22,23

candidates 95:6

candor 110:22

capable 102:4

capacity 94:4 95:18 119:15 156:1

capture 55:12

captures 74:21

care 46:20

career 14:8,12

carried 117:1,9

carry 117:8 124:4

case 13:13 32:3,4 33:17 34:1 35:7,8 36:15 41:7 54:11 55:13 69:6,17 86:16 87:3 144:18 153:10 158:6

Caseload 150:2

cases 55:9

caused 144:21

Center 5:11,14 48:24 49:5 54:4,14

centralized 27:9

certification 23:5

certify 23:3

chair 85:5,9,22 86:5,7 143:10

chairman 79:10 86:12, 18,23 87:2 101:1 138:9, 12,18

chairperson 154:8 155:16

chairs 154:11,13

challenges 49:25

Chancery 80:10

change 133:17,19,20 156:23 157:2

changing 72:10 74:9

channel 89:19 90:1,6,10

channels 35:10,13,20 41:15

Charley 104:13,17

chart 116:22

charter 96:7,23

charts 117:6

check 26:6

Chicago 12:10 49:14

chief 9:4 16:16,17,23,24 17:1,5,7,11 31:2,16 32:23 33:7,15 34:7,18 35:5 59:11,14,17,21,24 95:15,17 121:12,16 123:12 128:13,20 150:21

Children's 115:4,6

choose 136:11,15 152:2

chooses 152:4

circle 41:11

circuit 13:12,14,17,19, 21,23,25 80:13

citation 24:1

city 145:3

civil 60:25 114:1 132:24

claims 25:21

clarified 127:24

Clarksville 145:5

CLE 18:18 19:5,15,23 20:5,15,16 48:10 71:16 151:15

clear 6:19 49:17 85:18

clemency 96:9

clerk 31:1 56:7 73:7

clerks 29:1.11

CLES 19:6

closed 105:2,10,18,23 106:3,10,14,20,21,23,24 111:6 113:7 138:3 152:12

closely 115:1 126:17

closer 10:9

cognizant 72:20

Coke 7:22,25 8:3 32:25 37:5,10 40:22,24 99:11 100:20 108:11 110:16 132:9 133:6 134:20 136:9 137:7 158:15

COLA 21:15,23,25

Collaboration 39:16

collect 144:9 145:16,18, 19 146:1

collected 146:17

collecting 55:6 145:10 146:5,22

collection 55:1 146:9

Columbia 32:18

combining 96:2

comment 73:7 90:23 91:2 105:9,12 109:10,18, 20 111:14 134:9,10

commented 90:5,7

commenting 105:15,16

comments 122:23 128:14,21

commission 18:19.24 27:17.22 36:24 51:10 52:8,14 56:10,15,19 57:2,5,10,13 58:2,8,13, 15 59:6 60:6,8,11,15,19, 22 61:3,8,13,22 62:1,17 63:6 64:18 66:14 67:17 68:22 70:3 71:1,6 72:21 75:18,22 76:24,25 77:11, 14,22 78:6 79:20 81:13 82:14,19 83:10 84:22 85:1,3,6,10,15,22 86:1,8 87:25 88:8,17 89:14 90:6,9,13 91:23 92:17 94:15,17,24 95:2,5 97:11,18 98:9,12,17 100:6 102:24 103:3 104:5 105:2,17 106:9,11 107:8 108:6,21,25 112:10 113:1,13 115:19, 21,25 116:18 117:19 118:9 120:17 133:23,25

134:12 137:11,21 138:3, 18 139:8,12 140:7,21 141:2,5 147:18,24 148:17,20,23 149:19,20 150:11 152:9 154:15 158:1

commission's 117:24

commissioner 10:12, 17,21

commissions 18:5,11, 13 25:18 36:4,7 57:9 58:20 64:22 65:19 66:5,8 70:14 71:12,18,21 76:17 82:18 116:3,8 117:8 140:17,19 154:18

committee 34:18,22 51:9 56:6 141:1 142:15 149:3,4,20,24 150:1,2,3, 11 152:5,6,17 154:6,9,15 155:16

committees 147:19,24 148:3,4,6,25 149:2,23 150:7 152:7,25

communicate 6:15 42:20 57:1 61:20,24 62:20 91:18,21,25

communicated 42:13 92:5 97:20

communicates 117:5

communicating 72:13 85:21 86:1

communication 42:10, 22 64:25 67:16

communications 36:12 39:15 68:11 79:1,4 86:4 92:1 116:20 119:5 156:20

compare 29:13

compared 127:10,13

comparing 72:10

compensate 127:14,20 130:14 134:16

compensation 127:6,23 128:7,15,18 129:2,9,25 131:6,23 132:2,5,23 135:22

competitive 33:25

complaint 43:9

complaints 84:18,19,20

complete 73:4

completely 124:15

compliance 38:2 39:1 42:5,9

comply 37:25 42:1,14 87:21

computer 29:25 93:2

concepts 124:1

concluded 15:18

conclusion 24:9 158:4

conduct 50:17 154:5

conference 48:19 62:10,11 63:8,9,14 64:11 70:11 147:19 148:5 151:9 152:15,16,22,23 153:3,6

conferences 49:6,12 152:20 153:5

conferencing 50:16

Confidence 150:3

conflict 62:2,5,16 133:12

conflicted 62:19

conflicts 70:18

connects 115:7

considered 71:17

Consiglio-young

61:11,12,16,21 62:20 66:19 67:16 78:24 79:6 81:25 82:13,24,25 83:8 84:11,13,21 85:14,20 91:11 97:21 100:17,19 102:20 104:10 114:20 117:14 138:21 140:15

consist 17:14

consistent 83:23 87:21

consult 85:5,9 151:25

consults 151:23

contact 157:11,13

contained 155:18

content 74:21

context 108:7

continue 84:8

continued 39:8

continues 128:10

continuing 18:18 19:8

continuously 47:10

continuum 55:8

contract 119:19,22

contracted 32:21

control 123:8 154:5 156:2.6

conversation 37:9 53:24 92:14 111:10,12 119:9

conversations 37:11 60:14 103:17

convicted 15:4

copy 158:21

Corporation 32:18 33:11

correct 9:7,12,16,19 12:4,5 14:16,18 15:14 19:8 21:5,22 25:11,12,15 27:1,2,4,7 28:2 31:24 32:13 60:6 65:8 80:10, 11,14,18,20,21,24 87:6 103:20 139:13 140:9

COSCA 48:23,25 49:1, 23 52:11,20 53:4,7 54:15 135:6,14

cost 21:24 93:21

Council 48:24

counsel 7:14,22 11:11 37:5 43:21 44:3,10 45:11,17 95:23 99:7 108:11 132:11 133:13

counsel's 154:25

counterparts 48:18 53:11

country 50:20 128:11

county 29:13 145:2,4 146:2

couple 38:24

court 6:6,8,17 9:16 13:4, 9,12,14,19,22,25 14:24 17:1,19 18:1 21:13 22:9, 10,13,18,19,20 23:2,3 28:14,23,25 29:10,16 30:4,9,25 33:23,24 36:1 37:7 38:3 42:12,14 44:25 46:6 47:2,20 48:19,24 50:3,17 52:3 53:25 54:11 55:21,22 56:7 58:19 59:10 60:25 70:17 71:11 73:7,13,22,24 74:1,4 79:11,17,21,24 80:2,4,7, 10,16,20,23 81:1,9,12,16 82:2,8 90:16 93:11,20 94:5,9 95:7 100:24 104:15,20 110:14 112:25 114:11 115:2 116:13,14 121:12,15,23 122:4,5,11, 14 123:3,4,5,6,7 124:11, 12,16,24 125:7 131:1,8 132:8,10,17 133:17,20, 22 134:2,11 137:24 138:24 139:11 144:10, 11,15,17 145:23 146:12 149:13 150:1,22 152:8 153:17

courtrooms 93:24

courts 8:22 20:19 22:16, 21,23,24 23:4,6 28:21 29:5 30:25 31:7 32:5,9 45:11,13,15,20 49:5 50:1,7,10,11 54:5,14,17, 20 60:24 80:13 83:19,21 84:1 93:10,14,21 94:1 104:24 105:5 113:15,21 114:15 115:4 118:19 125:1,3,4,5,16,18 126:3, 16 132:13 136:7 144:19, 21 152:21

cover 29:5

Crawford 151:22 152:1

created 29:2 34:23 40:4 46:12 58:5

creating 34:17 40:1 96:3

credits 48:11

crime 15:4

criminal 60:24 80:16 114:4 132:7.24 133:2

crisis 126:10,11

CST 158:24

cues 6:16

curious 69:24 96:14

current 72:9 **cycle** 103:13

D

D-R-E-Y-Z-E-H-N-E-R

11:1

Dalton 21:7,8 27:6 98:4,

Dan 5:12

data 54:25 55:15 144:9

date 12:18 23:16 96:14 99:15,24 130:6 155:22 156:3,18

dates 43:14 57:6,10 64:16 68:21,22

David 53:21

day 9:10 26:11,12,13 47:13 94:14

days 76:7 77:1,8 102:13 155:1

DC 13:2

Debbie 119:11

Deborah 25:3

decades 96:10

December 31:25 33:8 70:6 99:25 100:1,2,9

decided 107:24 116:12

decides 146:12

decision 41:21,25 145:25 150:16,18

decisions 124:16 145:24 146:4 152:2

declaration 69:10

declarations 69:13,16

decreases 20:14

defender's 133:3,8,12

defense 133:11

degree 12:6

degrees 12:16

delegate 42:3,8

delegated 45:2

delegating 45:6

delegation 116:25

Department 10:4,6,11, 21 11:8,9 18:10 19:16 23:22 24:23,24 26:24 27:9 43:20 96:3 130:18 155:10,12

departments 24:22 115:2,7

depending 20:5

depends 126:2

deploy 32:5

deployment 50:15

DEPONENT 158:23

deposed 6:1

deposition 5:18 15:10, 21 41:6 72:18,23 86:13, 15 108:8

deputy 9:22,24,25 25:13 39:5 41:4 44:20 45:12, 20,25 46:4,6 47:3,18 58:16,21,23 59:15,18 60:9 61:13 67:9,13 69:9, 23 86:22 90:3 94:18,22 95:23 119:12 151:21,25 153:13

describe 156:11

designated 153:10

designating 34:18

designation 71:9

designed 54:25

desire 154:12

desired 32:6

details 78:19

determination 39:25 150:12

determinations 84:10

developed 50:6

Development 39:18 96:4

dialogue 110:20

diem 26:13

diems 26:12

difficult 37:22 124:4

direct 42:8 66:22 86:4

directed 42:5

direction 22:18

director 8:24.25 9:1.3.6. 9,13,21,22,24 10:1 15:20 16:14 19:17,18 20:3,22, 23 21:4 22:7 25:11,13 27:6 28:13,19 30:18 36:12 39:5,7 40:2,18,19 41:4 43:3 44:8,20,23 45:12,20,25 46:4,7,19 47:10,18 48:14 51:3 55:4,25 56:8 58:16,21, 22,23 59:15,18,20 60:9, 12 61:14,17 66:20,25 67:4,10,12,13 68:11 69:9,23 72:16,19 79:2,5 86:22 92:1 94:18,22 98:1 104:19 110:7 119:3 120:3 121:3,22 123:2,6 138:6,14 139:15 141:14 143:22 146:21 151:5,21 153:13 154:3.4

director's 17:2 24:4

directors 40:8,13 46:24 53:14 65:2,4 66:18 119:5,9 121:8

directs 125:7

disbanding 40:1

disciplined 15:1

discuss 18:4 44:16 49:23 65:18,21 135:5

discussed 30:8,11,13, 15,17,24 31:1,17 56:17 66:2 91:9

discussion 50:8 52:12, 15 105:22,23,25 109:2,5 122:9 137:2 138:1.4

discussions 17:22,24 19:22 21:1 93:18

display 34:15

district 6:10 144:23 145:1.12

diversity 118:3,14

division 19:6 25:22 27:3 35:17 39:7,15,16,17 40:12 46:23 65:3 66:18, 19,22,23,25

divisions 29:2 39:10,13, 23 40:1,5,9 116:24 117:2

dockets 144:11,15,17,19

document 117:10

Don 95:22

door 55:10

double 62:24

double-booked 62:4,8

double-booking 63:2 64:11 67:17 70:9,13

doubt 151:23,24

Dougherty 5:7,10 7:19, 24 8:4,5 33:4 34:14 45:23 46:3 57:24 79:18 81:6 82:7 85:2 87:23 89:3 101:6,17 102:6 104:9 107:6,19 109:8,15 110:4,24 111:5,17 112:1, 9,18,21 113:22 125:13 126:8 127:17,22 128:12 130:9 131:4 132:12 133:14 134:24 136:2,14, 23 137:9,15 138:19 139:2 140:1 146:15 153:21 157:8 158:7,10, 20

draft 69:24

drafted 96:4,6,24 97:1

drafts 70:1

draw 155:9

Drevzehner 10:24

dual 44:6

due 23:16

duly 5:4

duties 23:9 24:4 46:11 47:1,20 48:5 55:24 83:15 84:2,6,14,15 110:6 116:25 124:4

duty 82:20

Ε

E-FAILING 55:2

E-FILE 32:10

E-FILING 28:21,23 29:4 31:8 32:6 34:2 50:2 53:23,24 54:12 55:9,18 83:25 144:4,6

e-mail 42:9,15,16,17 52:21,25 63:20 157:14

earlier 103:23 113:19 116:2 154:24

early 96:21 97:4

easier 75:6,8

east 29:3

easy 75:1

editor 5:13

education 18:19,24 19:8 48:14,17 50:5 148:12,16 149:9 152:3,5,6 153:6

effective 22:10

efficient 22:10,22

effort 47:22

elected 10:18 151:1

electronic 29:25 47:25 63:9,13

elevated 41:3

eligibility 140:2

eligible 26:7 27:24 139:24

eliminating 117:12

employed 41:2

employee 67:9 78:23

employees 18:21,22 19:2,12,14 20:20,22 45:3 61:6 64:5 75:9 94:4,6 104:2

enable 93:24

end 31:21,23 33:7 60:2

engage 47:21 62:15

engaged 33:23 96:5,8 133:13

engaging 54:11

English 23:1

ensure 22:25 50:6,9,11 105:11 113:1

enter 55:9

entered 36:15 50:3 56:23 57:1 88:6,8 92:2,6

entering 8:1

enterprise 55:20 56:3

entire 22:20 24:12 96:16 123:5 129:6 144:3

entities 20:18

entity 121:17 134:3

equipment 93:23 94:11

equivalent 52:13

escapes 54:12

escaping 54:9

established 53:5 117:20

estimation 50:18

Ethics 120:17

Ethridge 104:23

evaluate 82:24 83:8 84:5,12

evaluating 82:23 103:10

evaluation 83:7

evaluation's 83:17

evaluations 17:4,6,9

83:4

Evanston 12:8

Evette 8:16

evidence 61:1 108:13 113:21,23

exact 96:14 118:18

EXAMINATION 5:6 154:1 157:7

Examiners 19:9 20:17

excuse 12:19 136:6

execution 96:10

executive 5:13 9:1 39:19 115:11 121:3

149:24

existence 11:17

expanding 33:22

expect 33:25

expectation 84:4

expected 83:17

expedite 143:23 144:2 145:10

expedited 144:13

expediting 144:8

expense 25:21 26:7

expenses 26:4,15 27:25

experience 14:8 47:4

experiences 155:9

expert 153:9,11

explain 9:13 17:8 20:2 26:3 28:16 35:23 45:8 124:5 132:7

explained 55:23 92:21

explaining 55:19

expressed 56:13

extent 27:24 42:25

extraditions 96:8

F

F&a 23:24 25:24,25 26:25 27:2,8

facilities 10:15

facing 49:25 67:23 68:3, 9 72:3 75:14 101:20

fact 6:2

fail 84:16

failed 51:17

failure 117:12

fair 14:9 21:2,3 71:23 77:6 101:11 107:7 115:9 116:7 136:3 146:20

fairgrounds 11:24

fairly 57:16

fall 24:11 25:8

family 37:24

Farms 11:20

February 9:9,11,17 25:11 40:12 97:15

federal 6:7,8 13:3,19,22 14:5 16:4,11 22:3 51:4,8, 14,18,23 52:3,7 114:14, 18 121:10.15

Feds 54:16 124:18

feeds 68:3

feel 6:22 50:23 155:7

felt 155:5

fewer 135:23

figure 143:3

file 55:5

filed 6:5 43:5,10,15 69:6, 14,15,17,25 147:13

files 97:24

filing 147:1

filings 144:24 145:13 146:10

fill 95:9

final 83:7 103:7 117:25

Finance 23:22 24:23,25 26:1,24 27:9 130:18

financial 94:8

find 117:6.7

fine 38:21,22 120:7

finish 14:20

fiscal 20:3,22 21:4 25:22 27:3,6 39:14 76:11 97:25

five-minute 112:17

fix 145:20

Florida 53:9

flow 116:22 117:6

follow 26:9

foremost 33:16

forgetting 19:10 39:19

forgot 104:22

form 33:1 34:10 45:21 46:1 79:15 81:4 82:6 84:24 87:19 88:24 101:2, 12,25 104:7 107:3,15

108:23 109:13 110:1,16, 17 111:2,8,23 113:17 125:12,25 127:12,19 128:3 130:3,24 132:9 133:6 134:20 135:24 136:8,9,20 137:7,13 138:15,25 139:20 146:7 158:3

formal 17:7 26:8 76:5

formally 15:1 24:10

formed 53:13

formulated 91:5

forum 109:4 110:21

forward 34:4

frame 155:2,8

Francisco 13:22

free 6:22

frequently 49:6 93:12

Friday 17:12,14 70:5

friendly 74:12,14,19,20 75:2,4

friends 119:8

fulfill 47:11

fulfilling 46:18 55:24 84:2,14

full 8:14 26:12

function 22:6 60:22 79:23

functions 70:17 73:18 95:25 103:2 117:9

fund 129:6 133:10

funding 20:7 130:13

G

gain 151:14

gather 68:17

gathering 24:11

gave 15:10 33:6 41:6 86:15

general 7:22 23:20 24:21 44:9 45:17 63:19 69:20 73:5 80:7 85:23 90:17,24 91:8,12 122:20 125:3 129:8 135:11 147:6 152:22

generally 96:10 126:5

generating 71:15

generic 149:21

Gino 86:11

give 6:16 8:8 28:18 72:18 79:3 84:20 102:8

goal 105:10 135:21 136:1

goals 83:13,14,23 103:8, 23,25 143:22

good 5:8,9 11:5 49:18 68:16 123:13 125:11,20, 23 127:10 128:8 138:7, 10

government 11:3 32:15, 18 33:11 114:25 120:18

governor 24:14,19,20 25:1 95:8,9,16,19,22,25 96:8,13 97:6 131:20

graduate 12:16

graduated 12:9

grand 29:2 35:17

great 124:21 158:12

ground 5:16 8:12

group 19:5 52:12,20 53:1,4,8 63:19,22 68:18, 20 116:20 122:4 123:20 135:7,14 149:15,17

groups 129:20

Groupwise 63:16,18,24, 25 64:3 67:21 68:2,13,20

growth 144:21 146:10

guess 47:5 50:3 107:7 143:9

guideline 26:8

guidelines 26:10,16

Н

half 49:11

hand 48:1

handbook 47:9,13,14

handled 47:24

handles 156:14

happen 76:18 81:3 88:18 110:21 154:6

happened 87:12 146:16

happening 29:14 102:4

Harmon 39:5 41:5 44:5, 20 46:4 69:9,23 86:22 90:4 100:22 138:6 147:5, 9 151:21,25 153:14

Harmon's 45:12

head 24:2 34:19 35:14 49:4 107:11 137:1 150:19,24 154:22

headed 56:6

heading 71:20

headquarters 49:3

heads 6:15

Health 10:4,7,11,22 11:8,10 155:10,12

healthcare 10:15,16

hear 38:4 126:6

heard 104:17 121:25 122:25

hearing 23:17,19,21 124:25

hearings 91:8,13

heavily 144:22

held 14:8 49:13 64:17 97:11

helped 147:4

helping 41:20

Hensley 21:7,8 27:6 98:4

hey 143:10

hierarchy 117:4

hinder 8:8

hinders 110:3

hinges 35:6

hire 40:16

hired 40:18,24 45:6

hiring 44:11

historically 108:4

history 106:12,16,19

Hivner 31:1 56:8,9,22

hold 43:19,23 44:1,13 141:5 154:16,20

holding 44:16

Holly 16:16

honest 8:8

hospital 6:3 10:14 11:11 15:11

hospitals 6:2 10:15

hosted 78:5

hotel 49:20

hour 7:1 57:19 132:5,6, 23 134:16 137:6

hours 7:2 48:10

house 50:17

houses 90:25

HR 20:23

Hughes 30:23

Human 115:5

ı

idea 122:17 138:7,10,13

ideas 83:22 110:11

Illinois 12:9

impact 20:21

impediments 31:8

implement 19:25 20:1 32:23 33:2 34:5

implemented 40:4

implementing 154:12

implements 26:22

important 6:14,16 105:3

improve 75:5 105:3,19 107:13 108:21 109:25 110:6,14,22 111:1,18 128:15,18 **improvement** 75:11 104:15,21 115:3

improves 111:10,13,15

improving 32:8

in-person 41:23 70:10

incentive 103:22

included 22:21 155:20

increase 129:2,9 130:13,20 131:6 132:1,2, 4 133:15 137:6 145:7

increased 94:4

increases 18:9,12 19:12,25 20:13 134:16

increasing 135:21

indication 76:14

indigent 127:7 129:6 133:9,10 134:17,23,25 135:5,22 136:6

individual 59:3 103:24 104:1 131:3

individuals 25:17 30:14 64:2 81:22

inform 144:10

informal 76:6

information 21:17 24:12 30:18 39:17 41:19 44:17 55:12,14,21,22 56:2,14 68:15,17,19 75:5 79:4,9, 11,12,14 81:3 82:1 108:12,17 111:15 116:11 121:18 130:17 145:11, 14,17,18,19,23 146:1,5, 10,17,23 151:13 155:18, 20 156:2,8,13,17,24 157:3

informed 52:22

inherited 150:13

inhibiting 32:4

injunction 36:15,20 37:1,4,8,14,19 39:2 41:18,22 42:1,21 56:23 57:1,3 86:19,20,24 87:3, 9,12,18 88:6,8,11,13,23 92:2,6 98:14 101:10,23 102:1,7,16 112:3,24 113:7 innovation 39:16 83:22

input 139:6,15,19,21

inputted 29:24

inside 125:8

instance 140:14

intention 37:25 87:21 153:8

intentional 124:25 126:14

intentionality 107:17

interact 138:17

interaction 126:2,4 154:11,13

interconnectedness 20:12

intergovernmental

66:24 67:5,12 114:21 115:10

interim 83:5

interims 83:5

internal 26:16,18 40:21

internally 42:18

interpretation 23:5

interpreter 23:3

interpreters 23:4 135:13,15

interrupt 45:18

interviews 40:21

introduce 7:20

introduction 5:15

inventory 47:22

invested 94:11

investment 93:23 94:3

involve 35:25

involved 5:24 19:21 21:11 24:7 66:13 77:15 107:5 140:12 150:5 151:18.19

involvement 77:13

involving 6:2 77:21

issue 35:15 43:18 128:14 130:2,22 135:16

issued 81:7 112:25

issues 18:5 20:18 73:13 93:19 135:10 141:21

item 70:21,23,24 71:4

J

JD 12:13

Jeff 59:19

jeopardy 130:8

Jim 31:1 56:7

job 47:12 49:11 71:7 82:25 83:16 84:4,7,8 145:16,17,18,20,21,22

John 7:22 10:24 37:5,10 40:22 100:20 108:11 140:6 151:22

join 151:12 152:25

joining 142:24

joint 149:9

Jones 8:16

Judge 152:23

judges 21:12,13 29:1 50:16 109:3 118:11 122:4,24 125:10 148:12, 19 149:15 151:15 152:21 153:3

judicial 18:10 39:15,18 50:1 95:7 115:12 117:22 125:10,15,23 126:7 144:23 145:1 146:11,14 147:19 148:4 152:21 153:6

judiciary 125:19

July 76:12,13

June 43:5,6,8 70:5 72:25 75:23,24 76:1,12 78:12, 14,16 87:5,11,16 89:18, 21 90:9 92:8 94:15 98:10,12

justice 5:11 16:16,17,23, 24 17:1,5,7,11 22:11 23:1,7 31:2,16 32:23 33:7,15 34:7 35:5 56:6 57:12,15 59:5,7,12,14, 17,19,21,24 60:2,5,15 66:12 79:17 81:14 82:9

105:4,20 106:7 107:14 108:22 109:25 110:3,7, 15,23 111:1,11,14,16 121:16 123:12,25 126:9, 12 128:13,20 130:1,7,22 131:24 135:10 137:3 141:2 150:21

justices 30:3 34:19,21 42:20 44:14,16,25 45:4, 25 46:6 52:3 56:4 79:13 80:25 82:2 87:1 90:8 91:19,21 107:1 121:12 123:12 124:12 125:9 130:21 131:19 133:20 134:1 137:5,10 138:23 139:7,11,16 146:6,18,23 150:16 153:17

juvenile 60:25 114:6 125:3

K

keeping 50:24 64:16

key 21:17

keying 20:24

kind 5:15 8:6,7,11 17:18 21:1 29:2,3 36:10 41:9, 10 44:17 46:9 47:4,8,9 48:20 50:4 64:16,24 81:15 96:11 115:15 117:1 123:25 126:1 130:11 131:15,16 134:21 148:16 155:20

Kirby 16:16,17,23 59:24 128:13,20 130:22 131:24 137:3

Kleinfelter 69:21

knew 47:4

knowledge 108:4 121:9, 18 142:9

Knoxville 12:12

Korean 144:3

L

Labor 96:3

lack 47:8

landscaping 141:20

language 23:2 135:15

larger 123:20

late 96:21

law 12:11 14:6 19:9 20:17 90:18 129:14

lawsuit 5:12,24 6:2,5 8:2 15:6,7,13,17 43:2 44:18 51:15,17 147:2,10,20

lawsuits 55:6

lawyer 136:17

lawyers 18:21 19:4 109:2 127:14

lean 110:19

learn 84:18 108:10

learned 30:17 33:23 50:9 108:8

learning 50:5

leave 38:9 85:13 102:21

led 122:3

Lee 59:5,7 60:2,5,15 66:12 81:14

left 117:15

legal 11:11 14:8 18:18,24 19:8 23:5 37:5 39:17 40:19 43:21 44:24 45:3, 6,10,12,14,19,24 46:5 48:8,16 95:23 99:7 108:11 126:18 129:23 158:3

legislation 96:4,7

legislative 24:10 96:11 104:14 111:21 115:12

legislature 25:9 115:1 146:14

legs 57:19

lengthy 14:7

letter 44:13

level 95:7 110:21 127:15

levels 122:5 123:7

Lexis 73:8

liaison 60:18 61:7,12,17, 22 63:5 64:15 65:7,9,12 66:14 78:24 79:5,9,11,17 81:15 82:9,13,18 83:9

100:24 103:2 104:14 114:24 115:19 116:17 138:17 140:17,20 156:16

liaisons 58:19 64:12,21, 25 65:1,16,19 66:8 96:11 117:7

Liberty 5:11

licensing 15:2

licensure 10:13,14

limited 70:16 119:19 154:12

limiting 32:9

list 46:15 52:21 66:24

listed 20:15 46:20,25 71:24 75:16 83:23 115:21 116:9 154:18 157:11,14

Listserv 52:25

literally 20:24 21:17

litigants 22:23 23:1 126:22 135:17,23 136:7

litigation 14:10,11,12,13 43:19,23 44:1,13 135:19 143:23 144:2,8,13 145:10

lived 8:17

livestream 36:1 89:1 93:24 94:5,9 102:3

livestreamed 70:14 77:17 78:17 88:15,22 89:22 92:9,20,23 93:22 143:6,8,12,17

livestreaming 35:10,13, 20 36:3 37:16,20 41:11, 14,24,25 50:21,25 70:17, 20,22 87:25 89:15 92:16 93:11,15 94:1 143:1

living 21:24 120:9

Local 32:15,17 33:11

located 11:19,20,22 32:18

location 62:3,6 141:9

locations 62:9 142:24

long 5:2 8:16 15:7 16:17 38:11 46:15 57:16,25 109:5,9 112:23 115:18

120:2.5 154:3

longer 53:22 107:25

Longs 53:8

looked 29:3,11 74:7 94:20 116:6

loss 37:23

lot 14:9 46:11 50:7,20 116:23 126:22 145:12 146:22

lots 50:4 58:11

low 129:25

lower 127:21

lowest 127:15,25 128:11

Lynch 104:19

М

made 35:5 37:18 41:21, 25 85:18 101:15 103:12 109:5 113:13 124:16

main 136:19,22,24

maintain 13:5 48:9

maintenance 141:20

major 31:9 145:3

make 14:23 16:12 19:11 26:6 29:12 31:12,15,18 32:7 33:5 37:10 38:2,5 46:19 47:23 75:6 80:3,6, 9,12,15,19,22 84:10 94:11 112:18 113:14,19 114:2 116:18 125:5 126:15 130:1 133:20 134:1 145:24 146:4 150:16 152:8 157:12

makes 24:25 39:25 133:19 150:9,11 152:1

making 39:7 88:17 99:9 124:25 130:19 145:25 150:18

male 98:6

management 32:4 33:17 34:2 35:7,8 54:12 55:13

manages 20:3

March 37:2,22 38:5,15, 16,17,18,25 70:5 87:17

112:24

Maryland 11:20

master 157:21

materials 130:11

maternity 102:21

matter 43:9 51:13 105:22 133:12

matters 137:24

Mccaleb 5:13 15:6

means 149:7

medication 8:6

meet 63:7 65:1,3,4,15 68:24 69:11 141:8,23 142:4,5 151:9

meeting 17:10,11 29:10 37:21 49:10 57:6,10 62:3,6,9,12,18,19 65:17 66:1,3,10 68:21 70:4 74:15 75:15,17,20 76:2, 7,8 77:1,20 78:2,9,11 81:12 87:6,11,16,25 88:14,21,22 89:1,14,18, 22 90:6 92:8 94:13,15, 16,21,23 98:10,12,17,21 99:13 100:3,11 101:3,4, 22 102:5,12 104:5 108:25 109:10 111:22 112:2 134:12 135:12 141:13 142:10,12,22 143:8,16 152:25 154:16 155:3,11,22,24 156:3,6, 18 157:10,18

meeting's 143:11

meetings 17:13 18:4 36:4 49:23 50:8,13 51:6, 18,24 52:4,7,12,15 62:23 64:17,20 66:2,13,17 67:18 69:2 70:10 76:15 77:16,18,22 78:6 81:3,8, 17,23 82:4,14 88:9,12 91:4 92:19 93:15 97:11, 17 100:5 105:2,18,19 106:8,9 107:8,24 108:5, 21 109:21 110:13,25 111:6,18 112:2,7,11 113:4,5,9 137:11,18,21 138:3,13 141:3,6,16 142:7 143:5 151:6 152:12,18 153:3 154:6, 10,20 158:1

member 37:24 59:9 84:25 85:3 140:7 148:7 154:14

members 27:16,22 30:23 103:8,10 116:7 118:10 125:18 139:7,12, 23 142:13 143:11 151:3

membership 116:14 151:4

mentioned 15:11 41:4 130:22 155:1

met 28:25 98:9 141:24

Michael 7:17,18

Michelle 5:2 8:16 53:8 61:11,12,16,20 62:20 66:19 67:16 78:24 79:6 81:25 82:12,24,25 83:8 84:10,13,21 85:14,20 91:11 97:20 100:17,18 102:19 104:10 114:20 117:13 138:21 140:14

Michigan 53:9

mid 96:20 118:9 119:6

mid-year 49:10

middle 6:10 29:4 134:22

Mike 153:22

mimics 26:19

mind 53:6 144:23

minutes 38:23

misstates 101:13 109:14

modernizing 50:3

moment 23:8 46:10

Monday 65:5 66:2,13,17

money 93:21 128:1,2 129:7,13 136:17

moneys 129:11

monitors 19:6

Montgomery 145:2,3 146:2

month 37:23,24 43:10 74:24,25 76:16

monthly 49:8

months 76:15 83:6

morning 5:8,9 58:3

motions 118:7

mouth 99:19 124:7

move 34:3 63:10 83:18, 20,25 84:1,3

moves 83:21

Municipal 152:23

Ν

named 59:4

names 58:11 95:8 115:24 116:19

Nashville 8:19 12:1,2 78:7

National 49:5 54:4,14

navigate 75:1,6

ne 45:5

necessarily 65:25 136:12

needed 31:8 32:7 34:3 110:22 146:13

needle 83:19,20,21,25 84:1.3

negative 126:3,6

neglected 66:23

nod 6:15

nodding 35:14 107:11 154:22

non-judges 148:22 149:1,4,16

nonprofit 11:15,17

normal 25:6

Northwestern 12:8

note 157:12

notes 29:8,9,12,15,17, 18,20 30:3,7,8,10,16

notice 8:1 40:20 43:19 75:23 76:2 77:2,7,9 78:12,14,16,20 87:6 88:21 89:11 94:14,23 101:24 102:8,11,17 104:5 141:19 143:16 155:3,13,15,19,21 156:2, 12 157:18

noticed 99:1,2,5 101:16, 18

notices 74:15 75:15,17, 21 76:7 77:21,25 78:10 94:16,22 155:1,11,19 156:9 157:10

November 23:18

number 41:15 157:14

numbers 131:18

0

oath 7:9 69:10

object 32:25 33:1 34:10 45:21 46:1 79:15 81:4 82:6 84:24 87:19 88:24 101:2,12,25 104:7 107:3, 15 108:23 109:13 110:1, 16,17 111:2,8,23 113:17 125:12,25 127:12,19 128:3 130:3,24 132:9 133:6 134:20 135:24 136:8,9,20 137:7,13,20, 23,25 138:15,25 139:20 146:7 158:3

objection 137:17

objectives 83:14 104:1

obligation 46:18 47:11

obligations 84:3,14 116:25

observe 89:2,17,21

observed 87:5 89:13 95:11

observing 41:23,24

occasion 65:21

occur 83:17

October 9:25 141:12

offer 36:22

offers 28:23

office 7:23 8:21 12:10 15:22 20:8,19 21:11,19, 20 25:16 27:15 28:1,6,11 29:6,22 32:21 35:23,25 36:3,21 38:8 41:16 50:24 51:23 52:7 56:21 57:7,11 73:19 78:7 88:1,16,20 89:10 90:4 96:16 99:5 121:22 124:14 129:5,18, 22 130:12 133:3,8,12 138:2 139:18,22 140:5, 11 148:11,15 151:14,18, 20 154:14

officer 9:4

offices 50:13,19 147:5

official 65:10 91:1

one's 84:2

ongoing 134:6,8

online 55:6

open 50:10,11,13 51:5, 20,24 52:4,8,15 87:16 88:9,14,23 105:2,10 106:3,10,13,16 107:8,12, 13,21,24 108:6,9,13,18, 20 109:4 110:13,20 111:18,20 112:2,7,11,13 113:2 137:11,17,21,24 138:3,13 141:16 142:7, 11,16 152:12 153:4,7 158:1

operates 22:17 123:1

operation 28:14

opinion 105:6 106:2 109:6 122:2,8,17,19,20 123:9 124:9 138:5,8,9

opportunity 54:10 105:9,12 140:15

opposed 137:5,10

order 19:24 21:16 37:10 38:1,3 42:6,12,14,24 58:19,24 59:2,4 60:17 73:14 81:11,15 82:3 87:22 105:21 116:14 137:25 158:18

orders 73:19 81:7

organization 48:22 49:2 135:7

organizational 46:22

organizations 14:17

outcomes 104:2 106:4,6 111:11

outlines 24:3

overcome 31:9

overloaded 144:11 oversee 36:10 50:13 overseeing 27:15,21 oversees 41:16 104:13. oversight 33:24 34:17 56:6 140:25 141:22 Ρ p.m. 158:24 PACER 54:16,17,18,20, 22.24 package 72:25 73:3,4 90:22 91:9,13,19 paid 120:18 136:4 Paige 32:23 33:7 pandemic 50:4,6,19 93:12,15,16 141:11,15 paper 30:6 parole 96:9 part 23:9 24:3 37:13 39:4 44:23 53:4 71:7,8 73:23 74:18 75:14 83:15 91:15. 16 105:13 106:1 109:3 110:5 128:25 133:5,22 134:12 135:21 142:12 146:21 147:20 participant 143:7 participate 48:17 52:25 149:22,24 150:1 participation 20:25 **parties** 15:15 parts 46:24 131:3 party 15:7,12 pass 129:14 153:21

passed 90:25

108:18 144:22

pay 103:9,18 136:17

payment 25:24 136:5

paychecks 21:16

paying 128:10

past 81:16,23 82:4 107:9

phonetic 144:4

payments 25:17 pays 21:18 **PDS** 129:22 **Peck** 36:13 68:12.14.16 70:19 72:13 91:25 92:5, 15,24 157:21 **people** 6:15 53:16 65:2 104:25 115:24 125:8 129:24 134:17 136:4,11, 15 151:2 perceive 122:25 perceived 125:18 perceives 125:22 percent 99:6 percentage 26:13 132:3 perception 123:8 126:4 performance 17:5 82:25 103:9,13,19,24,25 104:3 performing 84:6 period 9:23 10:5 38:9 91:2 109:10.20 119:20 134:5 periodic 17:4 64:20 permission 155:15 person's 10:23 21:6

person 7:20 36:22 53:21 78:17 79:3 82:5 85:25 89:14 102:2 103:24 104:22 119:2,3 140:12 157:11,13

30:20 98:3 104:18 personal 103:17 personally 137:20 154:4

pertaining 47:19 philosophically 124:9

phone 53:17 157:14

physical 30:6,24 141:8

physically 30:9 93:1 116:16 130:15 142:17

pick 6:18 53:17 117:15

place 37:19 40:6,7

49:18.19 55:5 68:16 76:16 78:3,4 81:8,13,17, 24 82:4 83:4 89:23 98:21 106:5 115:7 125:5 152:17

places 116:12 144:20

Plaintiff 5:12

plan 72:11 83:24 103:12,

planning 103:7

plans 104:1

play 50:12

pleadings 51:12 58:10 69:5,7 72:24 92:12 114:17 118:6

pleasure 121:15 123:2,

plumbing 141:21

point 7:1 13:6 49:17 59:8,12 67:4 79:3 88:12 90:17,23 91:3,13 106:13, 15 107:9,10 108:5,8,14, 18 118:6 131:19 143:13 147:10

points 117:12 policies 26:17,22

policy 26:18,19,20,21 77:5

pop 151:10 152:14,18

population 144:21 145:7

portion 24:19 71:11

position 8:23 9:8,13,14 10:10,18,20 11:13 22:6 40:22 45:5 46:18 47:17 59:25 65:10 81:23 84:16 115:14 120:19 123:11 130:7 146:21 151:1

positions 14:9 146:11, 14

positive 126:5,20

possibly 79:7 138:22

post 50:4 73:19 87:2 89:5,11 93:12,15 98:13 155:2

posted 73:6,14,15 74:17

posting 68:19

postponed 99:21,22,24 100:11,16 101:5,8

posts 156:20

postsecondary 12:15

potential 64:11

practice 27:17,23 47:16 51:11 56:10 57:6 58:2 60:23 71:1 80:1 113:20 114:12

practices 51:5 117:17

practicing 12:4

practitioners 10:16

pre 87:2 93:16

predated 119:6

predates 106:17 108:1

predecessor 24:15 25:2 120:1

preliminary 36:14,20 37:1,3,7,13,19 39:2 41:18,22 42:21 56:23,25 86:19,20 87:3,9,12,18 88:6,7,11,13,23 92:2,6 98:13 112:3,24

preparation 86:13

prepare 72:22 147:4

prepared 7:11

preparing 85:6 108:7

present 23:24 131:7

presents 63:3

president 11:10 150:20

pretty 77:8 125:19,23 155:5

previous 86:23 119:5,9 121:7

previously 32:21 34:5 107:21

primarily 14:15

prior 9:20 10:2,3 11:7,9 16:22 67:4 86:19 88:23 112:3.8 113:6

priorities 17:25 18:2

private 11:13,14 118:10 133:13

pro 126:22 135:18,23 136:7,11,16

probation 96:9

problem 64:13 99:10 126:13

problematic 109:4

procedure 27:18,23 56:11 57:6 58:3 60:24 71:2 79:25 113:20 114:1, 4,6,9

procedures 113:16 117:11,17

proceedings 36:1

process 17:24 19:13 23:13 24:7 28:22 29:10 31:10 33:21 40:17 44:11 72:9,12 76:17 90:20 93:25 105:8,13 106:1,5, 23 111:19,21 117:10 128:17 131:15 139:19 156:23 157:1

processed 25:8

processes 22:10 28:20 117:11 125:5 145:10

processing 25:24

produce 55:16

product 64:1

Professional 18:20,23 20:6.16

program 18:22 19:4 103:22 104:15,21

programming 149:9,10

programs 22:25 23:3 115:3 125:2 126:14

promote 106:6

promulgated 132:21

proper 102:8,11

properly 99:1,2,5 101:16,18,24 104:4

proposals 131:7

proposed 132:1 155:23 156:6,18

provide 22:8,15 34:1 36:3 44:24 45:3,14,19,24 46:5 57:6,10 79:10,11,14 126:19 151:12 153:8,9 156:19

provided 37:3 130:17

providing 35:25 128:9 148:12.15

public 23:19 36:5 37:20 41:23 51:20,25 52:4,9,16 67:23 68:1,3,9 70:15 72:3 74:15,23 75:2,3,8, 12,14,15,17,20 76:1,6 77:1,2,7,17,20,22 78:9, 11 87:6,17 88:14,16,21 89:11 90:23 91:2 92:9 94:13,16,21,23 101:20, 21.24 102:8.12 105:3.9. 11,16,18 106:6 109:6,9, 17,20 110:14,21 111:13, 15 113:2 125:22 126:1 128:21 133:3,7,11 134:9, 10 137:12,22 141:17,19 142:8,11,12,13,20,23 143:5,7,8,15 152:12 153:4,7 154:25 155:3,4, 15,19,21 156:2,8 157:10, 15,17,18 158:2

public's 89:2 105:24

publicly 73:14 93:15

publish 40:20 155:15

published 91:1 155:12

publishing 156:12

purely 55:2

purpose 22:6 26:23 105:16

purposes 15:20 16:8 147:22 149:14

pursuant 21:14 137:24

put 40:16 47:16 77:2 78:20 82:3 88:20 90:22 99:18 116:13 117:20 124:7 134:8,10,18 157:19

puts 76:6 116:11,16 134:21

putting 78:25 131:18

Q

qualify 48:16

quarterly 68:24 69:8,11 70:2 100:3

question 6:14,21 7:3,5 14:21 20:10 31:11 67:20 68:5 88:3 105:14 112:5, 16 142:18 143:2 154:25 157:15,18

questioning 57:16 157:9

questions 5:7 6:20 7:12 154:2,3 157:8

quick 35:24 50:14

quiz 39:21

R

Rachel 39:5 44:5 100:22 147:5

rank 104:2

rapidly 32:5

rate 127:20 128:11 132:5 134:15 137:6

rates 129:9 130:20

reach 53:3,20 126:15,19

reached 53:22 54:15

read 114:17

real 35:24

realty 123:3

reappointment 139:24

rearrange 63:11

reason 66:16 74:8 87:15 101:8 108:17 136:18,19, 22,25 156:22

reasonable 155:2,6

reasons 136:11,15

recall 5:23 6:13 10:5,23 11:25 13:9 15:15,17 18:7,16 23:16 31:20 34:23 37:11 38:14 44:2 49:13 53:15 58:24 67:15 69:12 78:1,15 92:18

95:11,14 96:15 102:18 116:4 118:20,24 119:2 120:3 135:20 141:1,13 147:20 155:25

receive 18:14,16

received 42:24

receiving 81:1

recent 72:25

recently 18:7,9 95:13 128:14,21 131:24

recommend 60:23 152:5

recommendation 31:13 33:6,22 34:8 95:9

recommendations

19:11 25:1 31:15,18 32:2,3,24 33:14 34:9,12, 16 35:4 79:24 80:3,6,9, 12,15,19,22 81:2 90:14, 15 91:4 113:14,19 114:2 122:1 134:13 152:8,9

recommended 121:21

record 8:15 57:25 112:22 127:1

records 28:3,6,9 29:6 62:22,23,25

refer 9:6 15:21 16:1,8,11 49:7 58:7,12

reference 16:12

referenced 46:9 60:18 81:14

referencing 59:3

referred 9:4

referring 23:25 24:18 27:8 103:15 118:17 122:8

reform 96:6

regard 68:17 122:7

registration 52:22,24

regular 141:6

regularly 65:4

regulation 10:13

reimbursement 25:17 26:4,11 27:15,21,25 28:4

reimbursements 97:23

related 72:21 154:5

relates 144:14

relationship 53:5,10

121:11

relationships 53:13

relative 18:8 28:19,20

79:25

release 128:20

relevant 44:18

reliable 55:16

relied 38:1.25

remember 9:10 54:8 78:16 92:14 96:20 99:15

135:14 147:1

remotely 50:17

repeat 27:19 46:2

repeating 53:6

rephrase 65:16 73:25

87:14

report 55:15 104:25

117:25 118:1,4,14,24 121:20,25 122:6

reporter 6:17 14:24 158:18

reporting 54:25 55:7

reports 55:16 117:21

repository 55:15

represent 5:12 135:17

136:4

representation 127:8,

15 128:9,10 129:7 133:9,

10 134:23 135:1,6,22

represented 7:14 125:6 147:11

representing 122:5

134:17 147:9

request 23:15 131:1 146:11

requests 27:16,21 28:4

130:16

require 101:23 102:2,7 133:16 157:25

required 23:10 24:8 36:21,22 39:23 47:23 127:3 143:22 150:6

154:20

requirement 121:2

requires 23:23 102:16

110:10

requiring 123:10

rescheduled 99:3

resolve 62:5,15

resolved 70:19

resources 39:15 50:15

70:16

respect 20:18 34:7 36:20 50:25 51:5 61:21

70:10 71:11 74:14

121:11

responsibilities 46:12

47:21 48:6 83:16 84:7,15

responsibility 18:20,23 20:6,16 22:22 36:9 82:21 85:13 104:4 110:6

116:17

responsible 20:23

24:13 25:16 27:14,20 46:24 68:19 70:20 78:23

85:21 103:10 122:10,13 123:4,24 148:11,12,15

responsive 123:7 125:1

responsiveness 14:19

restate 6:22

resulted 146:9

results 109:5

retired 60:2 120:13

returned 38:17

revamped 54:23

revenue 71:15

review 25:22 33:24

47:19 69:13,19 72:6,17 75:14,16 78:18 108:12

158:13,15,17

reviewed 69:16,24 72:2, 15,24 92:13 108:16

147:12

reviewing 51:12

reviews 83:6

revisions 103:12

reward 104:2

RFP 54:11

Roberts 121:16

robust 55:13

Roger 16:24 31:2,16

59:21

role 22:6 25:10 44:6,9,23 45:10,12,20,25 46:6

48:14 51:3 58:15 61:21,

25 65:11,18,22 66:14

67.5 70:16 10 92:0 94

67:5 72:16,19 83:9 84:22

91:16,17 94:18,22 95:21 96:12 119:3 120:1 133:4

144:1 156:11

roles 96:1

room 62:12 63:8,14 69:20 70:11 93:1 142:18,

19.21 143:5

rooms 62:10 63:10

64:11

roughly 97:5

rounds 40:20

rule 60:23 79:25 80:3,6,

9,12,15,19,22 90:13,14

110:20 113:14 114:2 132:17,19,21 133:16,17

134:13 152:8

rulemaking 110:14,25

111:19

rules 5:16 8:12 27:17,22

36:23,24 51:10 56:10

57:5 58:2 60:25 71:1,13

72:21,25 73:3 79:25 80:2

90:19,22 91:8,13,19

108:25 113:2,20,21,23 114:1,4,6,9,11,15 133:22

134:2,11

S

safe 104:23 115:4

SAITH 158:23

salary 18:9,12,14,17

19:12,21,25 20:13,24

21:12,14

San 13:21

Sarah 56:7

schedule 100:15

scheduled 62:13,18

66:10 99:25 100:10 101:22

schedules 63:9,14

scheduling 62:2

school 12:7,11 96:5,7,23

seamlessly 39:8

search 74:22.24

section 116:3

security 50:2 150:2

segue 147:17

selection 150:9

send 42:16 69:23 95:8

senior 11:10

sense 85:17 149:21

separate 74:5 121:17,22

124:15

September 16:19 59:24 70:5 98:17,22 99:14,16,

20 100:16 101:4 102:5

104:6

serve 64:18 65:12,20

82:17 95:15,18 116:8

119:15 120:2 121:15

123:11 133:4 139:12 140:17,19,21,25 148:20,

22 149:1.22.25

served 95:22 115:18

119:3

serves 61:7 79:20,22,23

116:12 123:2

services 25:22 27:4

30:18 36:1 39:14,17,18 40:19 115:4,5,6

serving 25:18 39:6 44:6

47:3 139:23 142:11

session 24:10

sessions 80:7 93:11,20

94:5,9 125:3 152:22 **set** 81:12 83:13 109:22

121:11

setting 145:9 shaking 137:1 **shape** 54:24 **share** 29:15 30:3,7 **shared** 29:17,18 30:5,9 44:13 56:2,13 146:17 **sharing** 41:19 130:10 146:23 Sharon 59:5 **short** 57:23 112:20 **shortly** 43:17 152:24 **show** 21:16 **showing** 78:10 **sic** 54:2 side 133:2 143:4 signature 158:14 signed 59:2 significant 37:23 114:15 simple 147:23

similar 51:9 53:8 81:13

simplicity 16:7 147:22 149:14

single 117:12 sir 8:13 12:17 15:25 sits 13:21

sitting 142:19 143:4

situation 76:14 124:14

situational 17:16 42:23 65:23 66:9

skip 74:25

skipped 41:9

Slate 54:2

Slayton 53:21

slower 144:18

Smith 140:7 150:25

societies 126:18 129:23

soft 50:15

speak 37:6 54:10

speakers 151:14 152:2

speaking 71:10

special 53:10

specific 9:10 16:12 28:18 64:21 67:15 91:15

specifically 102:23 137:3

speculative 157:20

spell 10:25

spoke 56:22 86:23 131:24

spoken 52:2,6 90:8 102:19,23 103:1,5

spring 34:24

square 5:14 134:22

Stacy 104:19

staff 82:18 95:15,17 104:22 138:17 148:7 156:15

Stahl 7:17,18 33:1 34:10 45:21 46:1 57:18,21 79:15 81:4 82:6 84:24 87:19 88:24 101:2,12,25 104:7 107:3,15 108:23 109:13 110:1,17 111:2,8, 23 112:4,15,19 113:17 125:12,25 127:12,19 128:3 130:3,24 135:24 136:8,20 137:13 138:15, 25 139:20 146:7 154:2 157:5 158:3.12.21

stand 19:3 21:23

standard 77:8 102:15 155:6,7

standing 17:10,11,13

standpoint 72:4 74:21 128:6.8

stands 48:23

start 9:8 24:6 30:13 35:24

started 5:15 14:11 67:9, 13 97:15 141:12

starting 47:17

starts 55:9

state 6:6 8:14 11:3,13

14:9,15 15:2 18:14,17, 20,22 19:2 21:13 22:2 26:19,20,22 27:12 28:14, 21 29:1,4 34:2,4 48:19, 24 49:5,25 53:25 54:2,5, 10,14 96:6 115:8 126:10, 13,21,23 127:16,18,21, 23 132:13 135:11 144:4 152:21

state's 32:4

statement 154:24

statements 6:17

states 12:24 13:1,8,12 48:18 52:18 53:3,12,23 127:11.14 134:22.25

statistical 144:9

statistics 145:17 146:22

status 48:8.9

statute 9:3,5 21:15 23:23,25 24:3,8 26:17,20 39:23 46:10,12,20,25 47:16,22 69:2 96:23 110:10 121:5 123:1,10 127:4 132:15 140:2 150:6

statutes 47:19

statutorily 154:19

statutory 55:24

stay 14:12

Stephanie 104:23

steps 25:9 31:11 38:1

stick 102:14

sticks 53:19

stipulation 7:3

strategic 83:24 103:7,12

street 11:25

stretch 57:18 83:14

strike 31:11 52:18

structure 46:23

struggling 88:3

studied 51:4

study 28:14 144:4

submit 23:10,14 24:8,22

submits 24:20

submitted 23:15,17 24:15,18 25:4,7,21,24 26:5 73:4 131:20

submitting 24:13

successful 40:23

sufficient 109:7,11,24

suggest 105:15

suggested 121:21

suggesting 84:12

summarize 31:4

Sundquist 95:25 96:13 97:6

Sundquist's 95:22

supervision 21:9 64:6

supervisor 16:14,18,22 17:2

support 21:21 22:8,12, 15 45:15 61:3 70:25 71:5 79:23 82:18 85:10.23 86:2 91:22 145:22 148:5,

supported 96:11

suppose 79:10 85:24 126:4 136:18

supposed 78:2 98:16 99:13 100:3

supreme 9:15 13:9,12 17:1 22:9,13,18,19 30:4 44:25 46:6 52:3 58:18 59:9 73:13,22,24 74:1,4 79:21,24 80:4,23 81:1,9, 12,16 82:2,8 90:15 100:23 121:12,23 122:4, 11,13 123:3,4,5 124:11, 12,15,24 125:6 132:17 133:17,19,22 134:2,11 138:24 139:11 150:22 153:17

survey 28:13,24 30:15, 25 31:13 33:12 34:12 35:15 110:10

surveying 28:22

surveys 29:7

swath 129:24

sworn 5:4

system 21:17 22:20 28:14 29:16,25 30:9 32:4,8 33:17 34:1 35:7,8 37:7 47:25 54:11,16,17, 18,21,22 55:2,14,21,23 56:3 63:9,13,17,21,23 64:3 67:21 68:2,10,14 117:22 122:5 123:5 125:11,15,20,23,24 126:7 127:6 128:16

systems 29:11 50:3

Т

table 7:4 125:9 129:21

takeaway 31:5,6 34:12

takeaways 30:24 31:1,9,

taking 89:23 152:16

talk 5:16 17:25 23:7 35:12 41:5 55:3 58:6 73:1 78:14 86:18 109:17 113:11 114:11 124:8 126:6 138:17 147:18

talked 18:11 35:16 51:22 58:1 70:8 86:12 87:1 103:11,14,23 108:2 116:2

talking 13:18 17:18 32:11 35:9 38:5 41:10,12 48:20 63:18 66:8 68:6,8 81:8 112:10 113:3 118:4, 13,15 123:22 129:25 131:9,11,22 140:10,11 142:2 152:15 156:16

TAOC 15:22

tasked 47:19

Tate 25:3 119:11,12 120:2,25 121:6 150:14

Tate's 25:13 119:25

TBA 129:20 152:16

TCA 58:6

team 30:23 38:2 39:1,4 41:20 42:7 43:20 56:25 65:17 91:16 92:4,15,25 103:8,10 104:12,16 156:20 teams 29:2

Tech 141:22

technological 50:24

technology 28:20 30:18 32:12 33:12,24 34:17,22 39:17 41:10 50:21 51:1 56:5,14,18,22 140:25

temporary 119:17

ten 5:22 14:14 15:11 57:15

Tennessee 6:3,5,8,11 7:23 8:19,21 9:15 10:3,6, 11 11:7,9,11,21 12:12,21 15:10 16:9 17:1 18:21 19:4 22:2,8,11,12,18,19 32:19 46:5 48:8 50:23 51:10 52:14 54:16,20 55:4 73:22,24 74:1,4 79:20,24 80:3,7,10,13, 16,20,23,25 81:9,12,16 82:2,8 89:18 90:1 93:10, 14 95:16,19 96:6 105:5 117:22 118:10,19 120:18 121:23 122:13,20 123:3, 4,5 124:11,15,24 125:6, 10,15,18,23 126:7,10,13, 15,21,23 127:7 132:13 134:19 135:1 139:11 147:19 153:17

Tennessee's 127:18

term 22:2,3,4 28:23 96:16,18 149:21

terms 19:11 20:7 37:19 51:24 74:21 78:25 96:17 105:24 123:16 127:23 139:22

testified 5:4 69:10

testimony 101:13 102:13 109:14,17 153:10

thing 118:16 123:13,14 124:21 125:11 126:20

things 26:14 39:8 46:19 50:5 65:23 74:22 83:13, 15,18,24 84:17 126:7 144:1 146:9

thinks 138:7,10

thought 43:6,8 118:2 138:12 155:2

tied 103:22

time 6:22 9:23 10:5 24:11,12 36:25 38:9 43:4,22 44:12 62:13 63:7 69:3 72:2 74:10 96:2 107:5 119:20 122:10,23 144:25 146:10 152:17 155:1,8,22 156:3,18

times 83:3 105:21 116:13 141:23,25 142:1

title 9:2 67:3 114:21

titles 104:20

TJC 147:24 148:2,25 149:23 150:19,20,24 151:6 152:6,7,17

TLAP 18:21 19:1,3 20:17 71:16

today 5:19 7:9 8:9,12 15:24 16:8 72:18 92:13 109:23 112:2

told 121:14 143:12 154:15

tools 144:12

top 24:2

topic 53:25 129:19

topics 49:22 50:1,2,12 66:7

touches 115:11

track 64:16 126:25 127:2

training 47:9,12 48:14 49:7

transcript 6:18 158:13

transitioning 44:9

transmitted 90:15,16,24

transparency 110:25

transparent 111:4,7,12

travel 26:10,11

treatment 8:7

trial 95:2,3,4,7 122:24 125:4 152:21

trouble 123:21

trust 117:7 150:3

truthful 8:8

truthfully 7:12

type 26:8 43:18 48:13

types 49:22 66:7

typically 23:14 24:6 68:25 70:4 88:1 141:23 149:8

U

Uh-huh 85:4 131:13,17 146:3

ultimately 55:1

unaware 93:17

undergraduate 12:6

understand 6:21 7:8 8:11 15:23 19:20 20:9,11 24:20 27:5 29:11 33:5 53:7 65:6 85:18 101:19 105:25 106:12 108:3 109:18 116:24 117:4 118:5 124:6,8 133:4 142:17,22 145:16

understanding 16:25 17:3 22:5 35:19,22 36:19 41:13 60:21 65:8 79:19 82:12 87:15 90:12,19,21 98:20,24 99:17,23 101:7 106:9,16,19,23 112:12, 23 113:6,24 122:12,15 133:10 148:2 149:7

understood 42:11 109:19

undertake 133:8

uniform 34:1 55:13

uniformity 31:7

United 13:8.11

University 12:8,12

unsuccessful 97:2

update 42:25 74:10

updates 17:15,18,19,22 18:4

user 74:12,14,18,20

utilize 63:11

75:2.4

V

vacancies 95:7,10

vacancy 95:2,4

Valerie 150:25

vendor 32:7,11,12,14, 16,17,20 33:10,17 34:8 35:4

vendors 53:23

verbal 6:17 32:2,24 33:6, 14 34:8 35:3 42:10,11

verbally 31:17,19

versus 15:6

vets 95:6

vice 11:10

video 50:16

view 34:3 37:21 55:8 68:1 128:6,8

viewed 51:18

violation 101:9

virtual 36:23 37:14,15,20 41:12,14

virtually 37:21

vis-a-vis 123:6

Vision 118:18

W

waive 158:13

wanted 73:25 118:7 128:15

warehouse 55:15

watched 89:25

water 49:20

ways 143:23 144:8

web 157:21

Webinar 141:9

website 36:10,11 67:23 71:20,25 72:3,7,10,12,15 73:10,12,16,20,22,23,24 74:2,5,9,18 75:7,11,15, 21 76:2 77:21 78:10 79:1

81:20 94:17 115:22,25 116:4,9,16,19 117:25 118:25 128:23 143:16 154:19 156:21

week 38:16,17,18 116:6

weekly 17:6,9 18:4

weeks 38:12,13,24

weigh 140:5,16

Weighted 150:2

west 29:3

Westlaw 73:8

When's 72:2

wide 63:22 129:24

widely 22:3,4

window 11:5

wise 106:20 127:1

wondering 47:7,15

word 34:5 47:8 99:22

words 99:18 122:4 124:7

work 8:20 10:2 11:6 19:13 32:7 34:19 38:17 40:17 59:7 93:25 115:11 117:7 120:11 126:17 134:7 144:18 145:13

worked 9:17 10:3 11:10, 22

Workforce 96:3

working 6:3 11:3 13:10 67:9 115:3,5 117:16 120:16

works 24:21 65:13 92:25 93:5,8 115:1

worried 43:13

worse 130:2,4

worst 127:18,23

writing 31:18 117:17 122:7 130:19

written 117:16 130:11,15

wrong 13:24 65:8 104:20

Υ

year 12:7,13,19 16:20,21

19:7 21:15 23:10 31:21 34:24 37:2 38:6 48:11 49:11 74:25 76:11 83:3 100:7 117:22 118:19 134:6 141:23 142:2

year-long 131:15

years 5:22 8:18 10:8,9 14:14 15:12 96:12,14 97:2,5 117:20 118:12 120:4

yesterday 72:5,16 74:8 77:24

Young 119:11,24,25 120:5,21 121:7

Youtube 35:10,13,20 41:14 51:19 89:19 90:1, 6,10

Ζ

Zoom 50:15,21,25 141:9, 10 142:6

Zoomed 142:20

Exhibit

McCALEB

VS.

LONG

MICHELLE CONSIGLIO-YOUNG November 16, 2023



			Pa
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT FOR	1		
THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE	2		
NASHVILLE DIVISION	3		
	4	I N D E X	
DAY MOCKET D. D. and M. a. Daliba			Page
DAN MCCALEB, Executive Editor of THE CENTER SQUARE,	5	Examination	5-
Plaintiff,		By Mr. Dougherty	5
vs. Case No. 3:22-cv-00439	6	by MI. Dougherty	3
MICHELLE LONG, in her official	0	T code obtain	
capacity as DIRECTOR of the	_	Examination	
TENNESSEE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE	7	By Mr. Stahl	73
OF THE COURTS,	8	Examination	
		By Mr. Dougherty	81
Defendant.	9		
	10		
	11		
	12	EXHIBITS	3
	13		
Denogition of:	14	(None offered.)	
Deposition of:		(None Offered.)	
MICHELLE CONSIGLIO-YOUNG Taken on behalf of the Plaintiff	15		
November 16, 2023	16		
NOVEMBEL 10, 2023	17		
Commencing at 9:24 a.m. CST	18		
commencing at 3.21 a.m. est	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
Lexitas Legal			
Michelle Cessna, LCR, RPR	24		
(615)595-0073	25		
Page 2			Pa
1430 1	1	STIPULATI	
	2		
A P P E A R A N C E S	3		
For the Plaintiff:		The deposition of MICHELLE CONSIGLIO-YOUNG	
	4	The deposition of MICHELLE	COMBIGEIO 100NG
MD M E DIGU DOLIGHEDEN TIT	5	was taken by counsel for the Plaint	
MR. M. E. BUCK DOUGHERTY, III			iff, at the
MR. M. E. BUCK DOUGHERTY, III Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center	5	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na	iff, at the ashville,
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200	5 6 7	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, for	eiff, at the ashville, or all purposes
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654	5 6	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na	eiff, at the ashville, or all purposes
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280	5 6 7	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, for	eiff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedure.
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654	5 6 7 8 9	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, fo under the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to capti	eiff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedure.
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280	5 6 7 8 9	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, founder the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to captistatement of appearance, et cetera,	eiff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedure. on, notice, are waived. All
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org	5 6 7 8 9	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, fo under the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to capti	eiff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedure. on, notice, are waived. All
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280	5 6 7 8 9	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, founder the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to captistatement of appearance, et cetera,	eiff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedure. con, notice, are waived. All of the questions,
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant:	5 6 7 8 9 10	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, for under the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to captistatement of appearance, et cetera, objections, except as to the form of are reserved to the hearing, and the	ciff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedure. con, notice, are waived. All of the questions, at said deposition
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, founder the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to captistatement of appearance, et cetera, objections, except as to the form of are reserved to the hearing, and the may be read and used in evidence in	ciff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedure. con, notice, are waived. All of the questions, hat said deposition a said cause of
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, for under the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to captistatement of appearance, et cetera, objections, except as to the form of are reserved to the hearing, and the may be read and used in evidence in action in any trial thereon or any	ciff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedure. con, notice, are waived. All of the questions, nat said deposition a said cause of proceeding herein
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Attorney at Law Office of the Attorney General PO Box 20207	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, founder the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to captistatement of appearance, et cetera, objections, except as to the form of are reserved to the hearing, and the may be read and used in evidence in	ciff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedure. con, notice, are waived. All of the questions, nat said deposition a said cause of proceeding herein
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Attorney at Law Office of the Attorney General PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, for under the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to captistatement of appearance, et cetera, objections, except as to the form of are reserved to the hearing, and the may be read and used in evidence in action in any trial thereon or any	ciff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedure. con, notice, are waived. All of the questions, nat said deposition said cause of proceeding herein CESSNA, LCR, RPR,
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Attorney at Law Office of the Attorney General PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nate Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, for under the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to captistatement of appearance, et cetera, objections, except as to the form of are reserved to the hearing, and the may be read and used in evidence in action in any trial thereon or any It is agreed that MICHELLE and Court Reporter for the State of	ciff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedure. con, notice, are waived. All of the questions, nat said deposition a said cause of proceeding herein. CESSNA, LCR, RPR,
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Attorney at Law Office of the Attorney General PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, for under the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to captistatement of appearance, et cetera, objections, except as to the form of are reserved to the hearing, and the may be read and used in evidence in action in any trial thereon or any It is agreed that MICHELLE and Court Reporter for the State of swear the witness, and that the read	ciff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedure. con, notice, are waived. All of the questions, not said deposition a said cause of proceeding herein CESSNA, LCR, RPR, tennessee, may adding and signing
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Attorney at Law Office of the Attorney General PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Nate Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, for under the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to captistatement of appearance, et cetera, objections, except as to the form of are reserved to the hearing, and the may be read and used in evidence in action in any trial thereon or any It is agreed that MICHELLE and Court Reporter for the State of	ciff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedure. con, notice, are waived. All of the questions, not said deposition a said cause of proceeding herein CESSNA, LCR, RPR, tennessee, may adding and signing
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Attorney at Law Office of the Attorney General PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, for under the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to captistatement of appearance, et cetera, objections, except as to the form of are reserved to the hearing, and the may be read and used in evidence in action in any trial thereon or any It is agreed that MICHELLE and Court Reporter for the State of swear the witness, and that the read	ciff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedure. con, notice, are waived. All of the questions, not said deposition a said cause of proceeding herein CESSNA, LCR, RPR, tennessee, may adding and signing
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Attorney at Law Office of the Attorney General PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, for under the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to captistatement of appearance, et ceteral, objections, except as to the form of are reserved to the hearing, and the may be read and used in evidence in action in any trial thereon or any It is agreed that MICHELLE and Court Reporter for the State of swear the witness, and that the read of the completed deposition by the	ciff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedure. con, notice, are waived. All of the questions, not said deposition a said cause of proceeding herein CESSNA, LCR, RPR, tennessee, may adding and signing
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Attorney at Law Office of the Attorney General PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov For the Administrative Office of the Courts:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, for under the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to captistatement of appearance, et ceteral, objections, except as to the form of are reserved to the hearing, and the may be read and used in evidence in action in any trial thereon or any It is agreed that MICHELLE and Court Reporter for the State of swear the witness, and that the read of the completed deposition by the	ciff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedureon, notice, are waived. All of the questions, not said deposition a said cause of proceeding herein. CESSNA, LCR, RPR, Tennessee, may adding and signing
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Attorney at Law Office of the Attorney General PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov For the Administrative Office of the Courts: MR. JOHN COKE	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, for under the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to captistatement of appearance, et ceteral, objections, except as to the form of are reserved to the hearing, and the may be read and used in evidence in action in any trial thereon or any It is agreed that MICHELLE and Court Reporter for the State of swear the witness, and that the read of the completed deposition by the	ciff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedureon, notice, are waived. All of the questions, not said deposition a said cause of proceeding herein. CESSNA, LCR, RPR, Tennessee, may adding and signing
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Attorney at Law Office of the Attorney General PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov For the Administrative Office of the Courts:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, for under the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to captistatement of appearance, et ceteral, objections, except as to the form of are reserved to the hearing, and the may be read and used in evidence in action in any trial thereon or any It is agreed that MICHELLE and Court Reporter for the State of swear the witness, and that the read of the completed deposition by the	ciff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedureon, notice, are waived. All of the questions, not said deposition a said cause of proceeding herein. CESSNA, LCR, RPR, Tennessee, may adding and signing
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Attorney at Law Office of the Attorney General PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov For the Administrative Office of the Courts: MR. JOHN COKE Attorney at Law	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, for under the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to captistatement of appearance, et ceteral, objections, except as to the form of are reserved to the hearing, and the may be read and used in evidence in action in any trial thereon or any It is agreed that MICHELLE and Court Reporter for the State of swear the witness, and that the read of the completed deposition by the	ciff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedureon, notice, are waived. All of the questions, not said deposition a said cause of proceeding herein. CESSNA, LCR, RPR, Tennessee, may adding and signing
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Attorney at Law Office of the Attorney General PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov For the Administrative Office of the Courts: MR. JOHN COKE Attorney at Law Administrative Office of the Courts 511 Union Street, Suite 600 Nashville, TN 37219	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, for under the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to captistatement of appearance, et ceteral, objections, except as to the form of are reserved to the hearing, and the may be read and used in evidence in action in any trial thereon or any It is agreed that MICHELLE and Court Reporter for the State of swear the witness, and that the read of the completed deposition by the	ciff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedureon, notice, are waived. All of the questions, not said deposition a said cause of proceeding herein. CESSNA, LCR, RPR, Tennessee, may adding and signing
Attorney at Law Liberty Justice Center 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654 (312)637-2280 bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL M. STAHL Attorney at Law Office of the Attorney General PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 (615)741-3491 michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov For the Administrative Office of the Courts: MR. JOHN COKE Attorney at Law Administrative Office of the Courts 511 Union Street, Suite 600	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	was taken by counsel for the Plaint offices of 500 Charlotte Avenue, Na Tennessee, on November 16, 2023, for under the Tennessee Rules of Civil All formalities as to captistatement of appearance, et ceteral, objections, except as to the form of are reserved to the hearing, and the may be read and used in evidence in action in any trial thereon or any It is agreed that MICHELLE and Court Reporter for the State of swear the witness, and that the read of the completed deposition by the	ciff, at the ashville, or all purposes Procedureon, notice, are waived. All of the questions, not said deposition a said cause of proceeding herein. CESSNA, LCR, RPR, Tennessee, may adding and signing

Page 5

1 2

MICHELLE CONSIGLIO-YOUNG, was called as a witness, and having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:

4 5 6

7

3

EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MR. DOUGHERTY:

- 8 Q. Good morning.
- 9 A. Good morning.
- 10 Q. Can you please state your name for the 11 record?
- 12 A. Sure, my name is Michelle
- 13 Consiglio-Young.
- 14 Q. And have you ever had your deposition
- 15 taken before today?
- 16 **A. No.**
- 17 Q. Okay. And do you understand that you're
- 18 under oath?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. Okay. And you're prepared to answer the
- 21 questions that I ask of you today?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. Are you represented by counsel?
- 24 A. I am represented by the Attorney
- 25 General's Office and our general counsel.

- 1 the Courts.
- 2 Q. And what is your position at the AOC?
- 3 A. I am a division director of the
- 4 Intergovernmental Affairs Division within the
- 5 Administrative Office of the Courts.
- 6 Q. And can you explain a little bit about
- 7 the Intergovernmental Affairs Division, what do
- 8 they do?
- 9 A. Sure. I oversee several programs within
- 10 my division. One being legislative affairs for
- 11 the Administrative Office of the Courts.
- 12 Another being juvenile matters within the
- 13 Administrative Office of the Courts. We have
- 14 the three judge panel system within our
- 15 division and also the Court Approvement Program
- 16 is also within my division, which deals with
- 17 juvenile matters.
- 18 Q. Okay. When did you start your position
- 19 with the AOC?
- 20 A. I came to the AOC January of 2015.
- 21 Q. And what was your title or role in
- 22 January of 2015?
- 23 A. It was assistant general counsel and
- 24 legislative liaison.
- 25 Q. And then so did you get promoted or have

- 1 Q. Okay. And I know that we have Mr. Stahl.
- 2 If you want to share his name on the record.
- 3 A. Oh, John Coke, our general counsel for
- 4 the Administrative Office of the Courts.
 5 Q. Thank you. And I probably should have
- 6 mentioned that, you know, it's really important
- 7 when you're giving a deposition that we -- we
- 8 all get in habits, I do it myself, where we nod9 or give nonverbal kind of nodding our heads, so
- 10 it's important that we give verbal statements
- 11 so she can pick up everything. Okay?
- 12 A. Lunderstand.
- 13 Q. And, you know, any time you need to take
- 14 a break, we can do that. I don't anticipate
- 15 this going, you know, all day, probably not.
- 16 Half a day at the most. But if you do need a
- break, we can take it at any time. The only
- 18 stipulation or caveat I would have is if I've
- 19 already asked a question that you go ahead and
- 20 answer it first before we take a break --
- 21 A. Sure.
- 22 Q. -- okay?
- 23 A. That's fine.
- 24 Q. All right. Where do you work?
- 25 A. I work at the Administrative Office of

- 1 a different position after that?
- 2 A. Yes. I kind of gone up the -- the ranks,
- 3 but yes, I got promoted -- gosh, what year was
- 4 that? 2018? 2019? 2019, I believe.
- 5 Q. So what was your new position in 2019
- 6 with the AOC?
- 7 A. The director of the Intergovernmental
- 8 Affairs Division, which was newly created in
- 9 **2019.**
- 10 Q. So that's your current position?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. So you've been in that role approximately
- 13 four years?
- 14 **A. Yes.**
- 15 Q. And you said that's a new position, so
- 16 you're the first director of governmental
- 17 affairs?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And when you were assistant
- 20 general counsel from 2015 to 2019, who was the
- 21 general counsel at that point?
- 22 A. When I first started it was David Haines.
- 23 And then shortly thereafter Rachel Harmon
- 24 joined the AOC and became it -- the general
- 25 **counsel**.

- 1 Q. And now Ms. Harmon is the deputy
- 2 director; is that right?
- 3 Α. Correct.
- 4 Q. Who do you report directly to?
- 5 I report directly to Director Michelle
- 6 Long.
- 7 Q. And do you have employees under you that
- report directly to you? 8
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. I mean, you don't have to give all their
- 11 names unless there are only a couple. How many
- 12 -- how many roughly do you have --
- I have 11 total. But I have 3 13
- 14 supervisors that report directly to me, and
- 15 it's -- there are 8 that report to their
- 16 various supervisors.
- 17 What are the three supervisors' names?
- 18 Α. Charlie Baldwin, Stacy Lynch, and
- 19 Stephanie Etheridge.
- 20 Okay. So you don't report directly to
- 21 Deputy Director Harmon and she doesn't report
- 22 to you, correct?
- 23 Correct, I do not report to her directly. Α.
- 24 Before you came to the AOC in 2015, what Q.
- 25 type of work did you do before then?

- Page 11 A. I graduated from University of Tennessee 1
- 2 College of Law in 2011.
- 3 Q. Okay. And what was the date of your
- 4 first Bar admission?
- 5 November 2011.
- 6 And that -- that's in Tennessee?
- 7 Α. Correct.
- 8 Q. Are you admitted to any other state Bars?
- 9 Α.
- 10 Q. How about any other court admissions that
- vou might hold? 11
- 12 No. Just Tennessee.
- 13 Q. Okay. Have you ever been formally
- 14 disciplined by the Tennessee State Bar
- 15 licensing authority?
- 16 Α. No.
- 17 Q. And have you ever been convicted of a
- 18 crime?
- 19 Α.
- 20 Have you ever been a party to a lawsuit
- 21 before?
- 22 Α. No.
- 23 Q. Okay. You told us a little bit about
- 24 your position as intergovernmental affairs.
- 25 Can you kind of go into a little more detail,

Page 10

- A. I worked at the Attorney General's 1
- 2 Office.
- 3 Q. In what capacity?
- 4 I was an assistant attorney general in
- 5 the criminal division.
- 6 Q. How long were you in that role?
- 7 I was in that role two years.
- 8 Q. So that takes us back to, like -- about
- 9 ten years to 2013, I guess?
- 10 Α. Correct.
- 11 Were you in private practice or did you
- 12 do anything before your position with the
- 13 Attorney General's Office?
- 14 Α. Before that I clerked for the Court of
- 15 Criminal Appeals for Judge Robert Wedemeyer.
- 16
- 17 And I had started that directly after law Α.
- 18 school, so...
- 19 Well, let's go ahead and get into your
- 20 education. That's a good segue.
- 21 So where is your undergraduate degree
- 22 from and what year?
- 23 A. I graduated from Boston University in
- 2005. 24
- 25 Q. And then how about your JD?

- 1 kind of what you do and how that relates to
- 2 your role on the Advisory Commission?
- 3 A. Sure. You know, I oversee the
- 4 legislative process for the court system, which

- 5 is mainly the role that correlates with the --
- the Advisory Commission and why I'm the staff
- 7 attorney/liaison for the AOC. And that is
- 8 because the rules package must go through the
- 9 legislative process to be approved. So it just
- 10 made sense within our office when liaisons were
- 11 changing to just add me to that so that I would
- 12 be aware of what was going on through the Rules
- 13 Commission and could carry that through the
- 14 legislative process which is required by
- 15 statute.
- 16 So do you also report to anyone over in
- 17 the legislative branch?
- 18 Α. No.
- 19 Okay. You're there and you're -- as I
- 20 understand it, and correct me if I'm wrong,
- 21 you're there to kind of facilitate the Advisory
- 22 Commission and its rules package with the
- 23 legislative body; is that right?
- 24 That's correct. Α.
- 25 And what does that look like -- and we'll

- 1 talk about meetings in a second. But what does
- 2 that look like on a day-to-day basis? Do you
- 3 have to have -- do you go to sessions, to
- 4 legislative session? Do you have to testify?
- 5 What does that look like?
- 6 A. When legislature's in session, I do
- 7 attend daily on behalf of the court system to
- 8 various committee meetings, meetings with
- 9 legislators as requested and testimony as
- requested within the Legislative Committeemeetings.
- 12 Q. Do they ever request testimony from you?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And have you given testimony before the
- 15 legislative body?
- 16 A. Yes, on a number of different topics. It
- 17 just varies depending on what's before the
- 18 Committee and what is of interest to the
- 19 legislators in that particular meeting.
- 20 Q. Is that -- are those transcripts
- 21 available with the Tennessee legislative body
- 22 somewhere?
- 23 A. Everything is filed online. They have a
- 24 pretty extensive record of all of the
- 25 Legislative Committees that occur within a

- 1 sponsor?
- 2 A. We -- it varies. There are various
- 3 topics. A lot of times it has to do with
- 4 various procedures perhaps within the AOC. The
- 5 legislation that was the most talked about this
- 6 past year was adding new judges, so we do file
- 7 legislation requesting new judge positions when
- 8 we deem that necessary. And that was the topic
- 9 that I had testified on in April.
- 10 Q. And is that kind of in an advocacy role
- 11 that you're advocating on behalf of the AOC
- 12 that we need -- the AOC needs new judges and
- 13 therefore you're making that request; is that
- 14 how that works?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Do you recall when the last time you gave
- 17 testimony to the legislature about the Advisory
- 18 Commission?
- 19 A. No. I -- I have not given testimony
- 20 about the Advisory Commission in my recent
- 21 memory.
- 22 Q. Do you know if any members of the
- 23 Advisory Commission have ever given testimony
- 24 before the legislature?
- 25 A. Typically it's the chair of the Advisory

- 1 legislative session.
- 2 Q. Do you recall when the last time you gave
- 3 any testimony before the legislature?
- 4 A. It was this past legislative session,
- 5 which was in January. And that -- I guess the
- 6 last time was fairly close to the end of
- 7 session, which was in April of this past year.
- 8 Q. Is the legislative session -- what is it,
- 9 January through what?
- 10 A. Typically it's through April or May. It
- 11 just depends on when they -- how much business
- 12 they have and when they want to adjourn. This
- 13 past year ended in April.
- 14 Q. The testimony that you gave in April, was
- 15 that related to the Advisory Commission?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. What was that related to?
- 18 A. It was related to legislation that we
- 19 sponsored, but -- that was filed within the
- 20 legislature, but it was not related to the
- 21 Rules Commission.
- 22 Q. When you say "we," are you referring to
- 23 the AOC?
- 24 A. Yes, I'm sorry, the AOC.
- 25 Q. What type of legislation does the AOC

- 1 Commission that will testify if requested;
- 2 however, that request does not -- I don't
- 3 believe in the past couple of legislative
- 4 sessions that they've requested any testimony
- 5 from any member of the Advisory Commission.
- 6 Q. And we'll come back, as I said, a little
- 7 bit in a moment about -- we'll go more in depth
- 8 about the Advisory Commission.
- 9 When did you first hear about the lawsuit
- 10 that -- the reason you're here today to
- 11 testify?
- 12 A. I don't recall the exact date, but it was
- 13 after it had been filed and when the Attorney
- 14 General's Office had notified our general
- 15 counsel about it. Our general counsel had
- 16 notified me and others within our office.
- 17 Q. So who would that have been? Would that
- 18 --
- 19 A. John Coke.
- 20 Q. Okay. So you think that was fairly close
- 21 in time after the lawsuit was filed?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. When -- do you recall -- or let me ask:
- 24 Are you aware that there's a preliminary
- 25 injunction that was issued in this case?

Page 17 Page 19

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. When did you first become aware of the
- 3 preliminary injunction?
- 4 A. I became aware when it was filed after --
- 5 when the AG's Office had sent it to notify our
- 6 office.
- 7 Q. Did Director Long notify you of the
- 8 preliminary injunction?
- 9 A. No. General Counsel John Coke did.
- 10 Q. And what was your understanding of the
- 11 preliminary injunction at that point?
- 12 A. At that point it was that basically we
- 13 needed to have a -- a public option for the
- 14 next commission meeting that would occur, that
- 15 we need to either make that available via
- 16 livestream or in person.
- 17 Q. And by "public option" you mean public
- 18 access, right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the
- 21 preliminary injunction?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And was that when you were first notified
- 24 of it when you got a copy?
- 25 **A. Yes.**

1 A. Yes.

4

5

17

25

- 2 Q. Did the preliminary injunction apply to
- 3 Director Long?
 - MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
 - THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if it
- 6 applies to her directly or it just with her
- 7 connection as far as her role as the director
- 8 of the Administrative Office of the Courts and
- 9 how it's -- our Advisor Commission is overseen.
- 10 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 11 Q. Did the preliminary --
- 12 A. -- in our office.
- 13 Q. I'm sorry, go ahead.
- 14 A. Just within our office.
- 15 Q. Did the preliminary injunction apply to
- 16 AOC employees?
 - MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
- 18 THE WITNESS: As an employee of the
- 19 AOC, we would follow the preliminary injunction
- 20 requirements.
- 21 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 22 Q. Did the preliminary injunction apply to
- 23 the Tennessee Supreme Court justices?
- 24 MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
 - THE WITNESS: I'm -- I'm not sure.

Page 18

- 1 Q. Who provided you a copy of the
- 2 preliminary injunction?
- 3 A. I believe that was our General Counsel
- 4 John Coke.
- 5 Q. What was your understanding of the
- 6 preliminary injunction in terms of who it
- 7 applied to?
- 8 A. My understanding is that it would apply
- 9 to the Commission as a whole, as well as our
- 10 office and the parties to the -- to the
- 11 lawsuit.
- 12 Q. Director Long, would it apply to Director
- 13 Long?

18

- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. So you would agree then it was a fairly
- broad preliminary injunction in terms of who it
- 17 applied to?
 - MR. STAHL: Object to the form.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Could you restate that?
- 20 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 21 Q. Yeah. I think you said, and I don't want
- 22 to put words in your mouth, that -- you said --
- 23 well, let me just ask you this way: The
- 24 preliminary injunction applied to the members
- 25 of the Commission, right?

1 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:

2 Q. Did the Tennessee preliminary -- excuse

3 me, let me strike that question.

4 Did the preliminary injunction apply to

- 5 Director Long's attorneys?
- 6 A. As far as the Attorney General's Office
- 7 that who represents her, is that?
- 8 Q. Just in general, just attorneys?
- 9 A. I'm not sure if I can answer that.
- 10 Q. Okay. When's the last time you've read
- 11 that preliminary injunction?
- 12 A. I -- I did review it this week.
- 13 Q. What other materials did you review in
- 14 preparation for this deposition?
- 15 A. Just the preliminary injunction and the
- 16 original filing of -- of the lawsuit.
- 17 Q. Did you review the first amended
- 18 complaint?
- 19 A. Yes, uh-huh.
- 20 Q. Okay. All right. Are you familiar --
- 21 let's go ahead and deal with the Advisory
- 22 Commission.
- Are you familiar with the Advisory
- 24 Commission on the rules of practice and
- 25 procedure created by TCA 16-3-601?

- 1 A. Yes. sir.
- 2 Q. And are commission members typically
- 3 listed on the AOC website?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Describe your role with the Advisory 5
- 6 Commission.
- 7 A. Sure. My role is the AOC liaison to the
- 8 Advisory Commission. It's -- primarily it's
- 9 logistical responsibilities. Like I had said
- 10 earlier, mostly so that there is a staff member
- 11 of the AOC that is aware of the commission that
- 12 can assist them in just various scheduling
- 13 needs or other types of needs for the
- 14 Commission, as well as making sure that that --
- 15 the ultimate rules package gets filed and is
- sought -- seen through the legislative process. 16
- 17 Q. Are you considered a member of the
- Advisory Commission? 18
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. So explain -- and you kind of talked
- 21 about it -- what does a liaison do to the
- 22 Advisory Commission? Which is what you are,
- 23 right?
- 24 A. Yes, yes. Because the Advisory
- 25 Commission is attached to the Commission of the

And you used the term "logistical needs." 1

Page 23

Page 24

- 2 Is that kind of like -- is it fair to say
- 3 that's kind of the administrative support?
- 4 Yes, that's what I was going to say,
- 5 logistical administrative can be
- 6 interchangeable.
- 7 Okay. What is your understanding --
- 8 let's back up.
- 9 How long have you served as a liaison to
- 10 the Advisory Commission?
- 11 A. I was trying to think back on that and
- 12 it -- it was either sometime in 2016 or 2017
- 13 that I became the liaison for the -- the AOC
- 14 with the Advisory Commission.
- 15 And you started with the AOC in 2015?
- 16 Uh-huh.
- Q. Do you recall --17
- 18 Α. Yes. Sorry, I didn't mean to --
- 19 Q. No, no, you're fine.
- 20 Do you recall who the -- when you joined
- 21 in 2015, do you recall who the liaison at that
- 22 time was for the AOC -- excuse me, for the
- 23 Advisory Commission?
- 24 Yes, her name is Jeana Hendrix, and she
 - was Assistant General Counsel with the AOC at

Page 22

Page 21

- Administrative Office of the Courts for the 1
- 2 logistical needs and is appointed by the
- 3 Tennessee Supreme Court, the liaison role just
- 4 ensures that their work is -- that they are
- 5 able to do their work as far as having meeting
- 6 space and just other, you know, requests from
- 7 the Commission to be supportive of the chair
- 8 and the reporter and just to be in
- 9 communication with them throughout the rules
- 10 package process and then ultimately to take
- 11 that and make sure it gets approved through the
- 12 legislature.

15

- 13 Do logistical needs include providing
- 14 public access to any meetings?
- It -- it includes what is required or
- 16 what's needed for the Commission.
- 17 If meetings were to be open, let's say
- 18 for example, would a logistical need that you
- 19 would provide in making sure that the public is
- 20 notified of a meeting?
- 21 I would be sure that that -- that the --
- 22 whoever within our office that would need to be
- 23 involved with that, that that would occur, yes.
- 24 Q. So it would occur through the AOC, right?
- 25 Α. Yes.

1 the time.

- 2 Q. Do you recall when you joined in 2015
- 3 when Jeana Hendrix was the liaison, do you know
- 4 if any Advisory Commission meetings were open
- 5 to the public?
- 6 I don't recall specifically, but there
- 7 were open meetings at that time, I believe.
- 8 But I wasn't involved then, so I couldn't say
- 9 definitively.
- 10 So when you joined, you recall that there
- 11 were open Advisory Commission meetings?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 And explain, how do you recall that?
- 14 What do you recall about those open meetings?
- I don't recall specifics, it's -- I just 15
- 16 recall my involvement as far as when the rules
- 17 package was completed that I would then take
- 18 it, you know, to the legislator -- to the
- 19 legislature for that approval process. So I
- would sit in on meetings here and there just to 20
- 21 have an understanding of the particular rules
- 22 package for that year.
- 23 So even before you became a liaison to
- 24 the Advisory Commission you sat in on Advisory
- 25 Commission meetings?

- 1 Α. Yes.
- 2 Q. What years were -- were those?
- 3 Α. 2015, 2016.
- 4 Were -- and you say they were open to the Q.
- 5 public. Were they open to the public via
- 6 livestreaming or in person, how did that work?
- 7 I don't recall specifically which method,
- 8 because I was not the liaison at the time.
- 9 Well, I mean, do you recall people from
- 10 the public sitting around a conference room?
- 11 I'm just trying to understand, do you recall
- 12 anything like that?
- 13 I'm sorry, I just don't remember. Α.
- 14 Assuming that the -- well, you say those
- 15 meetings were open to the public, right?
- 16 As far as I can recall, there were -- was
- 17 an open option for the meetings.
- 18 Q. Do you ever recall seeing a public
- 19 meeting notice in advance of one of those
- 20 meetings that you attended?
- A. I do recall some being on our website, 21
- 22 but I couldn't tell you specifically which
- 23 meeting.
- 24 Q. Sure. Would -- would Jeana Hendrix be
- 25 the AOC person responsible for generating that

- at that point during those first years of your 1
- 2 AOC employment?
- 3 I'm sorry, I just don't remember off the

Page 27

Page 28

- 4 top of my head.
- 5 So when you became the liaison, did
- 6 Ms. Hendrix move on to something else? What 7
 - did she do?
- 8 There was just a shift within our office Α.
- 9 of various roles and duties. And at the time
- 10 I -- because I had taken on the legislative
- 11 roles that there was just a -- a change made to
- 12 put me in that liaison role and move her to
- 13 other roles. But I couldn't say specifically
- 14 what her roles were at that time.
- 15 So let's fast forward a little bit. You
- 16 go on the Advisory Commission. What year was
- 17 that again, please?
- 18 It was either 2016 or 2017. I'm sorry I
- 19 don't remember exactly.
- 20 When you started in -- as the liaison on
- 21 behalf of the AOC for the Advisory Commission,
- 22 were meetings open at this point to the public?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Q. Were you responsible for putting out any
- 25 advanced public meeting notices?

- public meeting notice at that point? 1
- 2 A. I do not know if she specifically was the
- 3 one responsible or if there was another person
- 4 in the office at the time. I just couldn't
- 5 tell you definitively.
- 6 But definitively it would have been some
- 7 AOC employee, right?
- 8 A. I believe it would have, but because it
- 9 wasn't me at the time, I -- I can't tell you
- 10 for sure.
- 11 Q. Was it announced at the meetings that you
- 12 were at that were open to the public that it
- 13 was open to the public? I mean, was there some
- 14 kind of communication on the record, do you
- 15 recall?
- 16 Α. I don't recall, I'm sorry.
- 17 Okay. Who was the chief justice in --
- 18 during this time period that you recall these
- 19 open meetings, do you know? Do you recall who
- 20 the chief justice was?
- 21 Justice Lee was the chief justice when I
- 22 had started the AOC. And she was the chief
- 23 justice for the first year, so that was there.
- 24 I don't know if that answers your question.
- 25 Okay. Did you recall who the chair was

- 1 It varied because of the various
- 2 different people who worked in our office at
- 3 the time. However, I did notify the
- 4 communications division or if there was another
- 5 -- I think it had been a paralegal at the time
- that had posted notices before. It just kind
- 7 of varied based on the people at the office and
- 8 what roles they were in, but I -- I would -- I
- 9 do recall requesting, you know, the notice to
- 10 be put on our website prior to meeting, yes.
- 11 Q. You did that as a liaison?
- 12 I would -- I told -- I would be sure to
- 13 relay that information to those in our office
- 14 who would post that information.
- 15 Q. And would -- who was the director of the
- AOC at that point? 16
- It was Deborah Taylor Tate. 17
- 18 Q. And did you report directly to Ms. Tate?
- 19 At that time I reported to our general
- 20 counsel, who then was Rachel Harmon. And then
- 21 that was my direct -- my direct report was to
- 22 her, so...
- 23 Q. So who assigned you to make sure that
- 24 public meeting notices got posted? Was that
- Harmon or someone else?

- 1 A. It -- it was our General Counsel Rachel
- 2 Harmon that made the changes of who would be
- 3 the liaison to the Commission.
- 4 Q. Do you recall in those public meeting
- 5 notices that was posted then was there ever a
- 6 name of the AOC employee that was provided for
- 7 the public to contact?
- 8 A. In the public meeting notices, them
- 9 specifically I cannot recall that; however, on
- 10 our website we do have and have consistently
- had the AOC liaison name on the commission page 11
- 12 on our website.
- Q. On the commission page of members? 13
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. In terms of public meeting
- 16 notices, if one went out, let's say, five or
- ten years ago, would it still be on the AOC 17
- website? 18
- 19 A. That is more of an IT question. But if
- 20 it -- if there were records kept of it, then
- yes, there would be a -- a record of the ones 21
- 22 that were posted.
- 23 Q. Do you know how the Commission is
- 24 appointed, the members?
- 25 A. I do. I know that they are appointed by

- 1 purely administrative. Just to be sure that
- 2 the reporter has any information as far as
- 3 meeting space or Zoom links, access to the
- 4 meeting for the members just to be sure that
- 5 that reporter has the information that they
- 6 need.
- 7 Q. Are there minutes -- are they ever posted
- 8 publicly from the meetings?
- 9 Not to my knowledge.
- 10 Where are they kept?
- 11 They are housed within the Tennessee
- Supreme Court building and they are -- there's 12
- 13 electronic records. And I'm not sure if there
- 14 are paper records still or not, but that was
- 15 something that has -- a duty that's been within
- 16 the Tennessee Supreme Court building with the
- Appellate Court clerk's office, I believe. 17
- 18 When you say there are electronic records
- 19 of minutes of the Advisory Commission, what do
- 20 vou mean?
- 21 Just the meeting records which would be
- 22 their agendas, minutes, any attachments any
- 23 proposals from the members. Those would be
- 24 included in the -- in the records that -- for
- 25 each Commission meeting.

Page 30

1 the Tennessee Supreme Court.

- 2 And are there attorneys in private
- 3 practice that are members of the Advisory
- 4 Commission?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Are there government attorneys that are
- 7 members of the Advisory Commission?
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 Q. Are there law school faculty
- 10 attorneys/attorneys that are members of the
- 11 Advisory Commission?
- 12 Currently not members, but there --
- 13 there's a reporter.
- 14 Q. What is the reporter's role on the
- 15 Advisory Commission?
- 16 The reporter keeps the official records
- 17 of the Advisory Commission's business.
- 18 And so, you as the liaison, try to help
- 19 me distinguish your role from the reporter's
- 20 role.
- 21 It -- I do not keep the minutes or the --
- 22 any record of what occurs in those meetings,
- 23 that is up to the reporter. That's within the 24 reporter's role.
- 25 My role is -- compared to the reporter is

Page 32

- 1 Have minutes been kept for every meeting
- 2 since you've been liaison?
- A. Yes. 3
- 4 Q. Is that a requirement in the statute or
- 5 is that just practice?
- 6 I cannot recall if that is a statutory
- 7 requirement, but it has been the practice.
- 8 Q. Okay. So even prior to you being a
- 9 liaison, the meetings that you did attend, did
- 10 you observe someone keeping minutes?
- 11 Yes, the -- there has always been a
- 12 reporter of the Advisory Commission keeping
- 13 minutes and other documentation.
- 14 Q. How is the reporter selected?
- 15 The reporter is selected by the Tennessee
- Supreme Court. 16
- 17 Do the members -- like for example, let's
- 18 say there's a meeting, do they look back at the
- 19 proposed minutes and then approve them or how
- 20 does that work? How do the minutes get
- 21 approved?
- 22 The Commission approves the minutes from
- 23 the prior meeting at wherever their current
- 24 meeting is. So if they meet in June, they are
- 25 approving the minutes of the March meeting.

Page 36

- 1 Q. Okay. Are there members of the judiciary
- 2 that are on the Commission?
- 3 A. They are not members, voting members, but
- 4 they are liaisons for their particular court.
- 5 Q. What's a voting member?
- 6 A. They are not voting members.
- 7 Q. I understand. I'm just saying what is a
- 8 voting member?
- 9 A. Oh, a voting member is the official
- 10 members appointed by the Tennessee Supreme
- 11 Court pursuant to their ability via statute to
- 12 do that.
- 13 Q. When the Tennessee Supreme Court appoints
- 14 someone, do they -- in an order, for example,
- 15 do they say that they're a member or do they
- 16 say that they're a voting member?
- 17 A. I don't recall. I would have to look at
- 18 one of their orders to -- to say that
- 19 specifically. I'm not sure.
- 20 Q. Does the statute make a distinction
- 21 between a member of the Advisory Commission and
- 22 a voting member?
- 23 A. I -- I do not know.
- 24 Q. And does the statute provide for the term
- 25 of those members that are appointed? Are you

- 1 depending on the members' availability 2 sometimes.
- 3 But as far as I can recall back to 2017,
- 4 2016, it was in that March, June, September
- 5 vicinity. But like I said, it may be February,
- 6 May. You know, just kind of depending.
- 7 Q. In 2022 did the Advisory Commission meet 8 in March?
- 9 A. As far as I recall, yes. However, I
- 10 would need to look to make sure that wasn't a
- 11 meeting that, you know, got cancelled or that
- 12 they didn't have.
- 13 Q. Did the Advisory Commission -- let's ask
- 14 it a different way.
- 15 Did they have quarterly meetings in the
- 16 calendar year 2022?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Did you attend all four of those 2022
- 19 meetings?
- 20 A. I believe I did. But to confirm, I would
- 21 have to look at my calendar to be sure I didn't
- 22 miss one. But I believe I was at all four of
- 23 those. I'm typically at the meetings unless
- 24 I'm scheduled out of town or there's another
- 25 conflict. But I do try to make those priority.

- 1 aware of how that works?
- 2 A. I would need to brush up on the statute
- 3 language exactly, I can't recall.
- 4 Q. Okay. And so, does the Advisory
- 5 Commission have regular meetings?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And during your experience as liaison,
- 8 what's been the typical cadence of meetings
- 9 each year?
- 10 A. It's quarterly.
- 11 Q. And was it quarterly in 2015 and 2016
- 12 prior to you becoming liaison?
- 13 A. As far as I can recall, yes.
- 14 Q. What -- and you say quarterly. Can you
- 15 explain what that means?
- 16 A. Sure. At least recently and I do believe
- 17 prior, say the Commission has a meeting, for
- 18 example, March, June, September and December
- 19 each year.
- 20 Q. And as the -- do you know how long that's
- 21 been in practice, that March, June, September,
- 22 December cadence?
- 23 A. It has varied slightly over the years.
- 24 Sometimes it will be February rather than March
- 25 and sometimes it will be May rather than June,

- 1 Q. And to the best of your recollection, in
- 2 2022 were the four meetings in March, June,
- 3 September and December?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And were the dates in 2022, was it the
- 6 second Friday in March, June, September and
- 7 December when they met?
- 8 A. Those were the dates -- that second
- 9 Friday of the month was the date set by the
- 10 chair. And unless there was some conflict,
- 11 those would have been the dates that they met.
- 12 Q. So that -- you recall that would have
- 13 been the case in 2022?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And was the chair in 2022 Mr. Bulso?
- 16 A. Yes, Gina Bulso.
- 17 Q. And in 2023, which is the year we're in
- 18 --
- 19 A. Uh-huh.
- 20 Q. -- had there been quarterly meetings of
- 21 the Advisory Commission?
- 22 A. There were -- I do know there was a March
- 23 and a June meeting. And then I was out on
- 24 maternity leave starting August.
- 25 Q. Did you attend the March 2023 Advisory

- Commission meeting? 1
- 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 Q. And was it open or closed to the public?
- 4 Α. It was closed.
- 5 And then the -- did you attend the
- 6 June 2023 Advisory Commission meeting?
- 7 Α.
- 8 Q. Was it open or closed to the public?
- 9 Α. It was open.
- 10 Q. Why was it open?
- 11 It was open due to the preliminary
- 12 injunction order.
- 13 Q. And do you recall seeing a public meeting
- 14 notice in advance of that June meeting?
- 15 There was a public meeting notice that
- 16 posted on our website, the AOC website.
- 17 Is that public meeting notice still to
- 18 the best of your recollection posted?
- 19 I believe it should still be there, yes.
- 20 Is that -- did that public meeting
- 21 notice, is that something that you kind of
- 22 oversaw or how did that take place?
- 23 A. I did inform our communications division
- 24 to post -- to one, create the link for the
- 25 livestreaming for that meeting, as well as

with any of the Advisory Commission members 1

Page 39

- 2 about that?
- 3 Α. No.
- 4 Q. Is there -- are you aware of another
- 5 meeting in 2023 besides March and June? Is
- 6 there one upcoming that you're aware of?
- 7 There is a December meeting upcoming. I
- 8 believe it's December 8th.
- 9 And does that follow that second Friday
- 10 cadence, quarterly cadence?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Is there a public meeting notice of the
- 13 December upcoming meeting on the AOC website?
- 14 Yes, I believe that it has been posted.
- 15 Have you seen that or you just heard
- 16 that? How do you know?
- 17 A. I did check it because I will be back
- 18 from maternity leave for that meeting, and so I
- 19 wanted to check to see if there was one up --
- 20 if it had been put on the website, and it is on
- 21 there.

2

- 22 Q. Did you actually oversee that while you
- 23 were on maternity leave or did you just check
- 24 it just to make sure?
- A. I did not facilitate that -- the creation 25

Page 38

- posted on the website. 1
- 2 Q. Were any of the 2022 quarterly meetings
- 3 open to the public?
- 4 Α. They were not.
- 5 Q. Okay. And when did you go on maternity
- 6 leave?
- 7 Α. It was August 21st of this year.
- 8 Q. So was there a September Advisory
- 9 Commission meeting of 2023?
- 10 A. I believe there was one scheduled, but I
- 11 was not -- I was on leave when it was scheduled
- 12 to occur.
- 13 Q. Do you know if that meeting occurred or
- 14 not?
- Α. I believe it did not occur. 15
- 16 And do you know why it didn't occur?
- 17 Α. I don't.
- 18 Did anyone inform you that -- that it
- 19 didn't occur because they weren't able to get
- 20 out a public meeting notice in time?
- 21 Α. No.
- 22 Okay. You've not had any discussion with
- 23 anyone at the AOC about that?
- 24 Α. No, I have not.
- 25 How about have you had any discussion

- Page 40 of that, but I was aware that it had happened 1
- and I checked it to be sure it was posted. 3 Do you know who facilitated that public
- 4 meeting notice at the AOC office?
- 5 It was both our General Counsel John Coke
- 6 and Charlie Baldwin, who has assumed my role
- 7 essentially while I've been out on leave.
- 8 Q. Let's kind of backtrack a little bit.
- 9 So I think you said 2015 to 2016 you sat
- 10 in on some meetings?
- 11 A. Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. And the -- your recollection, they were
- 13 open to the public?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 At what point did those Advisory
- 16 Commission meetings become closed to the
- 17 public?
- 18 A. I believe it was 2018.
- 19 Q. I'm sorry?
- 20 2018. It was after I had taken over as
- 21 liaison. There was -- meetings were open to
- 22 the public, as far as I can recall. And there
- 23 was a meeting that we had that there was a
- who was there and became unruly and combative

member of the public who had attended in person

- 1 with the Commission. And after that, the --
- 2 the Tennessee Supreme Court took the matter up
- 3 for discussion and then the meetings were
- 4 closed after that incident.
- 5 Q. And what -- where was this particular
- 6 meeting in 2018?
- 7 A. I wish I could recall the exact date. I
- 8 do believe it was 2018 and the meeting was at
- 9 the Administrative Office of the Courts, it was
- 10 in our conference room. And members of the
- 11 public would come periodically, sometimes we
- 12 didn't have any and sometimes some would
- 13 request to come.
- 14 And that particular meeting there was a
- member of the public who attended, and he was
- 16 interested in a topic that was being discussed
- 17 by the Commission. And during that discussion,
- 18 he was speaking kind of out of term, you know,
- 19 without being called on or outside of the
- 20 public comment period that was allowed and
- 21 essentially became very assertive with the
- 22 members and -- and the meeting was stopped and
- 23 he was asked to leave.
- 24 Q. Do you recall how many members of the
- 25 public were at that particular meeting in 2018?

- 1 Q. Were there four quarterly meetings in
- 2 2018?
- 3 A. Yes. As far as I remember there were.

Page 43

- 4 Q. And you were at this meeting in 2018?
- 5 A. I was at that meeting, yes.
- 6 Q. Who was the chief justice of the Supreme
- 7 Court at that time in 2018?
- 8 A. It was Justice Jeff Bivins at that time.
- 9 Q. So did the Chairman Wade ask this person
- 10 that was being verbal -- verbally combative to
- 11 leave? Did he -- did the person leave?
- 12 A. I don't recall who exactly asked him to
- 13 leave; however, he was asked to leave. We did
- 14 have to have several people help escort him
- 15 out. And I can't remember if security was
- 16 called at that meeting or not. I -- I do
- 17 believe that building security was made aware.
- 18 Q. Do you recall if any formal charges,
- 19 criminal charges were brought against this
- 20 person?
- 21 A. I -- I do not believe that there were
- 22 formal criminal charges.
- 23 Q. So the person that was verbally combative
- 24 was never prosecuted to the best of your
- 25 recollection?

Page 42

- 1 A. I believe it was just that gentleman and
- 2 his son.
- 3 Q. Do you recall his name?
- 4 A. I don't. I'm sorry.
- 5 Q. When you say "combative," do you mean --
- 6 what do you mean? Was it verbal combativeness
- 7 --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- or physical?
- 10 A. It was verbal. He did leave his chair --
- or, you know, get up from his chair while he
- 12 was having this discussion, which kind of
- 13 escalated the -- the tone that was going on in
- 14 there in his interaction with the members. So
- 15 it -- yeah, it just became more of an
- 16 aggressive action on his part. Clearly he was
- 17 upset with a topic that was being discussed.
- 18 Q. Do you recall the topic?
- 19 **A. No.**
- 20 Q. Do you recall who the chair was at that
- 21 time at that meeting?
- 22 A. I believe the chair was Allen Wade then.
- 23 Q. Is Mr. Wade currently a member on the
- 24 Advisory Commission?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 A. Correct, I do not believe that he was.

- 2 Q. And so, I guess, was there a member of
- 3 the Tennessee Supreme Court that was attending
- 4 that particular meeting?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And who was that?
- 7 A. It was Justice Holly Kirby.
- 8 Q. So Justice Kirby was the Supreme Court
- 9 liaison on the Commission in 2018?
- 10 A. She was.
- 11 Q. Justice Kirby is now the Chief Justice of
- 12 the Supreme Court?
- 13 A. Yes, she is.
- 14 Q. So you said something about the -- the
- 15 justices at that point, they made the call,
- 16 they made the decision to close meetings.
- 17 Explain what -- explain what happened after
- 18 that.

24

- 19 A. After the meeting where the person got
- 20 combative -- and Justice Kirby was in
- 21 attendance in that meeting, so she had seen it
- 22 firsthand, the -- as far as I am aware, she
- 23 took that matter back to the Supreme Court for

discussion, and we at the AOC were told that

25 the meetings would no longer be open after

- that. And that was really my interaction with 1
- 2 that. They were -- I was informed that they
- 3 would be closed.
- 4 Q. How were you told? How were the members
- 5 of the Commission told that from now on they
- 6 were going to be closed, the meetings?
- 7 A. I don't recall exactly. I do know that
- 8 if our General Counsel Rachel Harmon at the
- 9 time had told me that there was no need to put
- public notice out because they were going to be 10
- 11 closed the next meeting after that incident.
- 12 And I cannot recall if Justice Kirby told the
- 13 members directly or if a member of our office
- 14 told them that we -- that they would be closed.
- 15 I just don't remember exactly.
- 16 But that decision would have come from
- 17 either the justices or the AOC office to the
- 18 Advisory Commission?
- 19 A. One of the two, yes, would have told
- 20 either the Commission as a whole or the chair
- 21 and the chair would have relayed that to the
- 22 Commission.
- 23 Q. So the Chair, Mr. Wade, didn't make that
- 24 decision?
- 25 A. No.

1 but they don't -- they don't have a vote. So

Page 47

- 2 sometimes the terminology "member," "voting
- 3 member" would be used just to distinguish,
- 4 okay, we're having votes and the voting members
- 5 would be the ones participating; however,
- 6 the -- like for example, the judicial members
- 7 are members of the court -- of the Commission,
- 8 they just don't vote on the matters that they
- 9 are -- that's presented within that commission.
- 10 Q. I -- I may not -- you may have answered
- 11 the question, I'm just not quite clear. Do you
- 12 know definitively when this voting member
- 13 versus member, when that became part of the
- 14 culture of the Advisory Commission?
- 15 No. I wouldn't say that there is a
- 16 culture of that, it's more just a -- the
- 17 Commission looks toward the judicial members
- 18 just for insight into various proposals or just
- 19 kind of on-the-ground experience within the
- 20 courtroom.
- 21 Q. Okay. That distinction is not made on
- 22 the AOC website, though, it list members,
- 23 right?

1

- 24 A. It lists members, and I believe it lists
- 25 the -- I know it lists the judge members, but I

Page 46

- Q. Did -- was it reported, do you recall, 1
- 2 that meetings were going to be closed and
- 3 formally in the minutes?
- 4 A. I do not recall. I would have to look
- 5 back at the minutes to see if they were -- if
- 6 there was any mention.
- 7 Q. Where are the minutes kept?
- 8 Like I had said earlier, they're housed
- 9 within the Tennessee Supreme Court building
- 10 overseen by the Appellate Court Clerk's Office,
- 11 so there is -- whether they're electronic or
- 12 paper filed.
- 13 Q. And the clerk is James Hivner, I believe,
- 14 right?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- And Mr. Hivner is a member of the 16 Q.
- 17 **Advisory Commission?**
- 18 Yes. I just couldn't recall if he was a
- 19 voting member or not voting member. He is on
- 20 the Commission.
- 21 Did they have a distinction between
- 22 voting members and members when you first
- 23 became liaison?
- 24 I'm not sure if there was a formal Α.
- 25 distinction, but the judge liaisons are members

- believe it says for that the court, the various
- 2 courts that they're members -- that they
- 3 represent on the Commission.
- 4 Q. Does it say courts or does it just say
- 5 judicial liaisons?
- 6 It may just say judicial liaisons, but I
- 7 believe their titles have what their judge --
- 8 which court they're on.
- 9 Does it make a distinction on the AOC Q.
- 10 website between voting members and members?
- 11 And if you don't know, that's okay.
- 12 I should know, but I do not recall.
- Did you create the list of members that 13
- 14 are on -- that's on the website?
- 15 Α.

- 16 Did someone that you oversee or supervise
- 17 create that document?
- There is an employee within our office 18
- 19 that maintains and creates all the rosters for
- 20 the various court commissions; however, that
- 21 person -- I do not oversee that person.
- So up until that point of 2018 when the 23 one individual became verbally combative, had
- 24 there been any other problems with the Advisory
- 25 Commission meetings being open to the public

- 1 that you saw?
- 2 A. Not that I experienced, no.
- 3 Q. Was there any discussion at that point in
- 4 2018 when that incident happened about having
- 5 the public not physically be present but to
- 6 view it by any type of livestreaming?
- 7 A. I was not privy to those discussions or
- 8 involvement of them.
- 9 Q. Was the Advisory Commission doing
- 10 livestreaming in 2018?
- 11 A. I do not believe so. We did have option
- 12 for members to join virtually if needed;
- 13 however, majority of the people then would
- 14 come -- would travel to the AOC office here in
- 15 Nashville and majority were in person.
- 16 Q. So those meetings where everybody got
- 17 together at the AOC office --
- 18 **A. Uh-huh.**
- 19 Q. -- was that in 2017?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. 2015?
- 22 A. As far as I can recall.
- 23 Q. 2016?
- 24 A. That was the norm prior to 2020.
- 25 Q. Okay. That -- I was going to go into

1 A. No I'm okay.

2

(WHEREUPON, an off-the-record

Page 51

- 3 discussion was held.)
- 4 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 5 Q. How is that livestreaming working with
- 6 Advisory Commission meetings kind of post
- 7 COVID?
- 8 A. Livestreaming or the virtual meetings
- 9 with the members?
- 10 Q. Why don't you -- I'm using the term. Why
- 11 don't you tell me what do you understand by
- 12 virtual meetings? What does that mean?
- 13 A. So since 2020 we've had meetings via
- 14 Zoom. So our office would generate the Zoom
- 15 link and send it to the members. The Zoom link
- 16 typically had not been something that's been
- 17 given out other than to the members.
- 18 Q. Right.
- 19 A. And that's all that was generated was the
- 20 Zoom link sent out to the members. Post the
- 21 preliminary injunction, we did generate a
- 22 livestreaming link for this past June -- at
- 23 least when I -- before I went on leave it was
- 24 for the June meeting, which was the meeting --
- 25 the only meeting it would apply to post the

Page 50

- 1 that.
- 2 A. Uh-huh.
- 3 Q. So it -- did that coincide with COVID
- 4 when the Advisory Commission meetings then went
- 5 by virtual?
- 6 A. Yes, when all the COVID restrictions
- 7 occurred and we were still having meetings.
- 8 they were a hundred percent virtual because of
- 9 what had occurred in 2020. But that was when
- 10 it shifted to a hundred percent virtual. Prior
- 11 to that, they were in person.
- 12 Q. So now from COVID on, the Advisory
- 13 Commission itself, they meet virtually, right?
- 14 A. It has continued to be virtual since the
- 15 **2020 meetings**, yes.
- 16 Q. Was there ever discussion about let's go
- 17 back to open meetings virtually since we
- 18 wouldn't have a problem with someone
- 19 interrupting?
- 20 A. There were not any discussions prior to
- 21 this lawsuit within the Commission that I
- 22 recall.
- 23 Q. That issue never came up?
- 24 A. It just didn't come up, correct.
- 25 Q. If we need to take a break --

preliminary injunction based on the timing,

2 **so...**

- 3 Q. So to the best of your knowledge, post
- 4 preliminary injunction, there's only been one
- 5 meeting that's been open to the public by
- 6 livestreaming and that was in June?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And public meeting notice to the best of
- 9 your recollection has already been posted in
- 10 advance of the December meeting; is that right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And so, that will be the second post
- 13 preliminary injunction meeting that will be
- 14 open to the public?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And you will be in attendance at the
- 17 December one?
- 18 A. That is the plan.
- 19 Q. In terms of your office, the AOC and what
- 20 you do with providing administrative support,
- 21 was there any additional labor or work or cost
- 22 associated with providing the Zoom link to the
- 23 public for the June meeting?
- 24 A. The livestreaming link --
- 25 Q. Yes.

- A. -- to the public? As far as cost, no. 1
- 2 We did need to enlist a member of our
- 3 communications division to create that because
- 4 the way that the livestreaming is set up is
- 5 outside of my division or the Advisory
- 6 Commission, so the communications division
- 7 within our office sets all that up. And I --
- 8 one of the employees there I had contacted to
- 9 create a livestreaming link for it.
- 10 To the best of your recollection,
- 11 providing that livestreaming link to the
- 12 public, did that -- is that going to cost the
- 13 AOC more funds than if they did not provide
- 14 livestreaming to the public?
- 15 To my knowledge, no. However, it does
- 16 require the use of a communications division
- 17 employee that was previously not involved with
- 18 the commission meetings.
- 19 So that -- and you do that as the -- in
- 20 vour role as the liaison?
- 21 A. I do communicate with the communications
- 22 department -- division employee.
- 23 Okay. What goes on in the Advisory
- 24 Commission meeting? What's the purpose of the
- 25 Advisory Commission?

1 procedure?

- 2 Α. Yes, occasionally.
- 3 Q. So is it fair to say that those are the
- 4 five categories of proposed rules that the
- 5 Advisory Commission discusses?
- 6 A. Yes, those are the five.
- 7 Q. Are there any more other than those five?
- Α. 8 No.
- 9 Q. Okay. Let's -- walk me through, in
- 10 general, how this happens in terms of the
- 11 proposed rules -- and my understanding, and you
- 12 can tell us, at some point there's a -- there's
- 13 a public comment period and then at some point
- 14 there's -- but you've referred to the rules
- 15 package?
- 16 A. Uh-huh.
- 17 And at some point the legislature votes
- 18 on it. So can you just kind of roughly explain
- 19 that process?
- 20 A. Sure. It typically goes for a calendar
- 21 year, so there is -- the June meeting would be
- 22 the last meeting that rules -- proposed rules
- 23 would be sent to the Supreme Court for
- 24 consideration. So from the September meetings
- 25 to the June meetings would be your -- your year

Page 54

- The purpose is to discuss rule -- court
- 2 rule proposals and/or needs and make
- 3 recommendations of possible changes to the
- 4 court.

1

- 5 Q. Do they -- does the Advisory Commission
- 6 discuss and make potential rule recommendations
- 7 regarding the criminal rules and procedure in
- Tennessee? 8
- 9 A. Yes. If that is a topic that comes up
- 10 and is requested or -- by a member of the
- 11 public or another member of the government or
- 12 member of the Commission, they would discuss
- 13 the rules of criminal procedure and make
- 14 recommendations as to changes if there are any
- 15 to the Court.
- 16 What about proposed recommendations to
- 17 the civil rules of procedure, does that come
- 18 up?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Q. What about the rules of appellate
- procedure? 21
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Q. What about the rules of evidence?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 And what about the juvenile rules of

- of what would be considered in a rules package
- 2 for -- that would be sent to the Supreme Court.
- 3 Q. And that's because the Tennessee
- government cycle is July through June; is that 5 the reason?

1

- 6 It probably was based on that at some
- 7 point, but it does also coincide with being
- 8 able to have public comment and then having a
- 9 rules package for January enough time to be --
- 10 for the consideration in there. So that is --
- 11 for the rules I think may be more the reason
- 12 why it goes -- the September meeting would be
- 13 the start of the new package and June would be
- 14 the end.
- 15 So and that's because the general
- 16 assembly comes in January, right?
- 17 Α. Correct.
- 18 Q. Okay. Go ahead, I didn't mean to
- 19 interrupt.
- 20 A. No, no problem.
- 21 So once the rule -- Advisory Commission
- 22 has settled on proposals, they -- that is
- 23 compiled, is sent to the attorneys for the
- 24 Supreme Court, who are also liaisons on the --
- 25 on the Commission, they will make sure that

everything is cohesive and together. They send that to the Supreme Court for consideration as far as the recommendations.

The Supreme Court will take the recommendations and they may add or subtract or whatnot, but they will then put out those recommendations for public comment. And there's always a public comment period that -it varies, but it's -- typically it's not less than 60 days. There's always a comment period for the public.

And then the Supreme Court gets those comments back. They take all that into consideration, and they file an order of proposed rules for that -- we call it the rules package. I mean, that may not -- it's not more of an internal term, it's not an official term. But they issue the order of the proposed rules that -- based on the recommendations and public comment.

21 And then I take certified copies of those 22 orders plus the proposed amendments to the 23 rules, I file them with the -- on behalf of the Supreme Court, but I file them with the clerks 24 25 at the House and the Senate that -- which is

1 write it? Does a reporter write it? How does

2 that work?

3 A. It -- it's really ongoing. So there may 4 be rules that they approved to recommend to the

5 Court in the September prior. So it -- and

6 those will not come up again in June, it's just

7 they're -- they are -- the reporter kind of

8 keeps a record -- well, keeps a record of what

9 officially is recommended by the Commission.

That's all compiled by the reporter in 10

11 conjunction with the Supreme Court liaisons.

12 And it's really between them of how the form --

13 the format of how that gets to the Court.

14 So and then do you all send that -- when

15 I saw "you all," does the Advisory Commission,

16 either the reporter or you or the chair, does 17

that get transmitted to the justices in June? 18 A. It's -- the reporter puts it together as

19

far as I know or, like I said, works with the 20 Supreme Court liaison, the Supreme Court

21 attorneys or the liaisons, and they determine

22 how it gets relayed to the Court.

23 Q. And then the Supreme Court around

24 September through maybe November, that's when

25 the public comment period is?

required by statute to do so. And you have to

2 do it from when they gavel in -- between when

3 they gavel in and January 31st. So typically 4

is a couple weeks that you can file them. And 5 then those certified copies of the orders and

the amendments are considered via rule 6

7 resolution, which is -- which I make sure is

8 written up and filed by the legislature. 9 Q. And you -- you've answered exactly like I

10 asked you to, which was general. I just now

11 want to kind of go back and unpack that just a

12 little bit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

13 Α. Uh-huh.

14 Q. So the June meeting, as I understand it,

15 you've said that's kind of the last of the term

16 of the Advisory Commission meetings; is that 17 right?

18 Α. Yes.

19 Q. And that's when the final proposed rule

20 recommendations to the extent there are any --

21 Α. Right.

22 Q. -- that's when they're made?

23 Α. Yes.

24 Q. How are they made in June? Does the

25 Advisory Commission have like a list? Do you 1 A. It varies every year, but typically, yes,

2 it would be -- they -- they typically take it

3 into consideration from that June meeting

4 through August. And then in the past it's been

5 some where between September and November that

6 they'll put out the rules for comment.

7 Q. So there's a lag period between June and

8 then whenever they start the public comment

9 period?

10 A. It's a review period for the Court.

11 That's the time that they take to review the

12 recommendations.

13 Q. So it is a -- there is a lag period

14 between that time?

15 A. And you can call it that, but I don't

know that it's necessarily a lag period. It's 16

17 just part of the process.

18 Q. Well, the Supreme Court is not -- doesn't

send out public comment notices in June, right? 19

20 Α. No.

21 Q. And you said typically that public

22 comment period lasts, you said, 60 days?

23 A. It's 60 days minimum. I've not ever seen

24 it less than that.

25 Is that -- do you know if that's by Page 60

1 statute or just custom in practice?

- A. I can't recall if it's statute or within
- 3 the rules themselves, but it's definitely
- 4 practice within the Court.
- 5 Q. So let's say this September to November,
- 6 roughly, comment period, when comments come
- 7 back about the proposed rules, what does the
- 8 Supreme Court do? Do they send it back to the
- 9 Advisory Commission or do they act on it? How
- 10 does that work?

2

- 11 A. I mean, I can't speak definitively for
- 12 the Court. I can just say that sometimes
- 13 they -- I mean, they take the recommendations
- 14 or comments by the public into consideration.
- 15 They have in the past sent rules back to the
- 16 Commission, and they've also made changes
- 17 themselves to the recommendations for
- 18 consideration by the legislature. It just
- 19 varies.
- 20 Q. Without consulting the Advisory
- 21 Commission?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And so, after the public comment period,
- 24 is it Tennessee Supreme Court or is it you that
- 25 then takes the rules package to the general

1 send those rule resolution drafts to the member

Page 63

Page 64

61..64

- 2 of the legislature who will sponsor those
- 3 resolutions. And it varies kind of year to
- 4 year, but typically it's the chair of the
- 5 Judiciary Committee that I would go through and
- 6 then they -- they take those drafts from there
- 7 and consult with their legislative legal
- 8 services attorneys for official drafting.
- 9 Q. So you typically submit the rules package
- 10 to the chair on the Judicial Committee?
- 11 A. It's a rule resolution --
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. -- that must be filed. It's -- the way
- 14 that the legislature approves the rules is via
- 15 --
- 16 Q. I see.
- 17 A. -- resolution. So they have to have that
- 18 drafted and then filed officially within the
- 19 rule -- resolution filing process so that it's
- 20 in the -- in the system to -- to be acted upon.
- 21 Q. So is it fair to say the rules
- 22 resolution, that's just more of a summary of
- 23 the entire rules package?
- 24 A. It -- there is a separate resolution for
- 25 every category of court rule that is being

- 1 assembly?
- 2 A. I facilitate it on behalf of the Supreme
- 3 Court. So I essentially represent their
- 4 requirement to do so. I will be the one to
- 5 physically bring it over and file and make sure
- 6 it goes through the process. But it is a
- 7 requirement that the Court -- the Supreme Court
- 8 does that, but I'm their --
- 9 Q. And when you say "requirement," you mean
- 10 a statutory requirement?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. So when you -- when do you typically
- 13 submit the rules package to the general
- 14 assembly? Is that around January when they --
- 15 A. It's almost always in January.
- 16 Q. Right, when they begin their term?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. So once you get the rules package to the
- 19 general assembly in January, is there anything
- 20 else that you do?
- 21 A. I will -- I file the -- the orders and
- 22 the certified copies with the clerks, and then
- 23 I draft the res -- rule resolutions for
- 24 whichever resolution -- whichever rules that
- 25 are being proposed to be amended. And I will

- 1 amended.
- 2 Q. And you typically do provide the
- 3 Judiciary Committee chair with the rules
- 4 resolution?
- 5 A. Yes, it's typically the -- either the
- 6 Senate judiciary chair or the House, civil or
- 7 criminal, it -- obviously criminal rules will
- 8 go through the Criminal Justice Committee --
- 9 Q. Oh, okay.
- 10 A. -- civil rules will go through the Civil
- 11 Justice Committee. So I just facilitate to
- 12 make sure whichever particular rule package
- 13 amendments we have that they go to the correct
- 14 judiciary chair in the House.
- 15 Q. Is there a committee for every -- all
- 16 five different proposed rules; criminal, civil,
- 17 appellate, evidence and juvenile?
- 18 A. There are -- the way the legislature is
- 19 currently set up, there are just two -- there's
- 20 a Criminal and a Civil Judiciary Committee.
- 21 And the clerk of the House and the clerk of the
- 22 Senate determine which committee the rules
- 23 get -- rule resolutions get sent to.
- However, we know just from past
- 25 experience, obviously, civil ones will go to

- 1 civil and criminal would go to the Criminal
- 2 Committee. So we just be sure to talk to those
- 3 chairs prior so that they're aware of the rule
- 4 resolutions.
- 5 Q. How long does that process take from
- 6 January through -- does that take through March
- 7 or April? What does that look like for you?
- 8 A. It just depends on when the legislature
- 9 schedules the rule resolutions to be heard.
- 10 They are scheduled to be heard in the
- 11 committees, and so it's really just dependent
- 12 on the chair of the committee and when they
- 13 want to schedule it. So we could hear them in
- 14 January or we could hear them closer to the end
- 15 of session. It just depends on preference of
- 16 the chair.

1

- 17 THE REPORTER: And could we take a 18 quick restroom break?
- 19 MR. DOUGHERTY: Sure.
- 20 (Short break.)
- 21 BY MR. DOUGHERTY:
- 22 Q. We're back on the record.
- 23 Are you aware of Federal Advisory
- 24 Committee meetings that are similar to the
- 25 Tennessee Advisory Commission?

- 1 A. Yes.
 - 2 Q. And so, are you saying that other state
 - 3 AOC offices and employees come together at
 - 4 these conferences?
 - 5 A. What do you mean other state?
 - 6 Q. Well, I guess what I'm trying to ask is:
 - 7 Do you have an opportunity as the Tennessee AOC
 - 8 departmental government liaison, are there
 - 9 other states that have equivalent jobs that
 - 10 you're able to communicate with to see what
 - 11 they do?
 - 12 A. I do not know. And no, typically we do
 - 13 not confirm with other state AOCs.
 - 14 Q. Do you have any interaction with the
 - 15 federal AOC?
 - 16 **A. No.**
 - 17 Q. Does the Advisory Commission members, do
 - 18 they have opportunities to do conferences with
 - 19 other either state judicial conferences or
 - 20 federal advisory?
 - 21 A. Not that I'm aware of.
 - 22 Q. Okay. Do you personally take a role in
 - 23 making rule recommendations or is your role
 - 24 just to provide administrative support to the
 - 25 Advisory Commission?

Page 66

- A. I can't say that I'm very familiar with them.
- 2 them.3 Q. Have you become familiar with the Federal
- 4 Advisory Committees from this lawsuit?
- 5 A. Only what's referenced in the lawsuit. I
- 6 have not looked it up separately.
- 7 Q. And that's never something the Federal
- 8 Advisory Committee that's ever come up in
- 9 Advisory Commission meetings?
- 10 A. Not that I recall.
- 11 Q. Do you go to conferences in your position
- 12 with the AOC to other either state AOC
- 13 conferences or federal AOC conferences?
- 14 A. I go to the AOC's judicial conferences, 15 yes.
- 16 Q. Is that -- is that a state -- on the
- 17 state or what is that?
- 18 A. On the state level. There are various
- 19 conferences for the different levels of judges,
- 20 and I attend those.
- 21 Q. How often do those usually take place?
- 22 A. It varies per judicial conference, but
- 23 it's either two or three times a year.
- 24 Q. You talking about the Tennessee judicial
- 25 conference?

Page 68

- 1 A. I do not make rule recommendations, it's purely administrative.
- 3 Q. And you may have said, but how does that
- 4 happen? Let's say a rule comes and someone
- 5 wants to change Rule 12 of Civil Procedure, do
- 6 the members debate it, talk about it, does
- 7 someone write a paper about it? What does that
- 8 look like?
- 9 A. It varies on how it comes up. It can
- 10 come up via a member or a request from a
- 11 legislator or another member of the public. It
- 12 really varies. But the Commission will
- 13 typically add it to the agenda for the -- for
- 14 the next meeting, whatever meeting would be in
- 15 closest proximity to that request. And the
- 16 Commission members discuss it and decide if it
- 17 warrants further discussion or reference to a
- 18 subcommittee within the Commission or -- or
- 19 they just don't want -- don't deem it necessary
- 20 to discuss further.
- 21 Q. How would a member of the public make a
- 22 suggestion to get on the agenda of the Advisory
- 23 Commission?
- 24 A. They could do that in various ways by
- 25 either e-mailing the AOC. They could e-mail

- 1 the contact, you know, me or another person via
- 2 the names on the website or they could reach
- 3 out directly to the reporter or the chair. It
- 4 just depends on -- and it's varied in the past.
- 5 We have had requests from members of the public
- 6 before for discussion of items.
- 7 Q. Do members of the public know they have
- 8 that option? I mean, is that something that
- 9 the AOC regularly broadcasts to the public?
- 10 A. Other than the public access to the page
- 11 on the website, I don't know that there's
- 12 anything specific.
- 13 Q. Has there ever been anything on the AOC
- 14 website that announces to the public that if
- 15 they want to make a suggestion proposed rule
- 16 change, they could do so?
- 17 A. I don't know if that's ever been
- 18 something that's been on our website. I can't
- 19 say that it was or wasn't.
- 20 Q. So it's not something that affirmatively
- 21 the AOC reaches out to the public, it just kind
- 22 of comes up occasionally?
- 23 A. The page is open to the public. And so
- 24 if a member of the public had a question,
- 25 they're always free to reach out to contacts

1 supposed to be preserved now that there was

Page 71

Page 72

69..72

- 2 litigation?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. To the best of your recollection and
- 5 knowledge that has it actually taken place,
- 6 everything's been preserved?
- 7 A. As far as I know, yes.
- 8 Q. Did you participate personally in -- with
- 9 Director Long or in her answer that was filed
- 10 in this lawsuit?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Have you ever seen her answer that was
- 13 filed in this lawsuit?
- 14 A. I do believe I saw it after it was filed,
- 15 but I don't recall exactly.
- 16 Q. Do you recall seeing Director Harmon's
- 17 two declarations that were filed early when the
- 18 lawsuit was filed?
- 19 A. I do believe I saw them, but I don't
- 20 recall the details.
- 21 Q. Did you assist in preparing those
- 22 declarations --
- 23 **A. No.**
- 24 Q. -- for Ms. Harmon?
- 25 And you said no?

- 1 provided on that page.
- 2 Q. And is your contact provided on the page?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. That's pre injunction?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. What about reimbursements of Advisory
- 7 Commission members, is that something that you
- 8 provide administrative support for for
- 9 expenses?
- 10 A. I have in the past; however, recently I
- 11 don't recall anyone requesting a reimbursement
- 12 for mileage or anything like that because our
- 13 meetings happen virtual.
- 14 Q. And that's been going on pre preliminary
- 15 injunction?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Do you recall getting the litigation hold
- 18 letter when this lawsuit was filed by either
- 19 Director Long or someone within the AOC?
- 20 A. I don't remember exactly if I received a
- 21 litigation hold letter or if it was just our
- 22 director and I was just informed of the pending
- 23 litigation.
- 24 Q. And so, was it your understanding that
- 25 all records and e-mails and everything was

- 1 A. Correct, no.
- 2 Q. Do you provide -- in your role with the
- 3 AOC, do you provide legal advice to the
- 4 justices of the Supreme Court?
- 5 A. In various capacities I have in the past
- 6 on various topics.
- 7 Q. But what are those topics and capacities?
- 8 A. It -- majority is with legislative
- 9 topics.
- 10 Q. Related to the Advisory Commission rules
- 11 package?
- 12 A. No. Just other legislative duties that I
- 13 provide.
- 14 Q. Could you give me an example? Is there
- 15 something you could give me an example?
- 16 A. Sure. Just the legislation that is
- 17 either filed, proposed statutory amendment that
- 18 may affect court process and I will talk to the
- 19 Court about that. And there are lots of times
- 20 it's legal in nature but not related to the
- 21 Advisory Commission, just other proposals that
- 22 get filed by members of legislature.
- 23 Q. So that's more in your capacity as
- 24 intergovernmental affairs director?
- 25 A. That's correct.

Do you know if deputy Harmon provides 1 2 legal advice to the justices of the Supreme

3 Court?

4 Α. I believe she does, yes.

5 Do you know in what capacity? 6

MR. STAHL: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: No.

BY MR. DOUGHERTY:

9 Do you know if Director Long provides

10 legal advice to the justices?

11 A. I can't -- I can't answer that 12

definitively. 13 Q. So you don't know; is that right?

14 I don't know.

> MR. DOUGHERTY: I think I'll pass the witness, Mike.

> > MR. STAHL: Okay.

17 18 19

20

22

23

24

15

16

7

8

EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MR. STAHL:

21 Ms. Young, just a few questions.

> Prior to the closing of the meetings and the virtual meetings that occurred when COVID started in 2020, I think you mentioned that

25 most of the meetings occurred in person and 1 Q. Okay. In your experience prior to 2020

2 when the meetings went virtual and they were

3 still in person and you mentioned that there

4 was the one incident with the member of the

5 public who had come in, how did that member of

6 the public come into the AOC offices? Is there

7 a security area that they need to request

8 permission to come through in order to go to

9 the offices or are members of the public just

10 able to walk in?

11 A. No, the -- that member of the public did

12 have to check in in our -- the security kiosk

13 that's in the lobby of our building. We --

14 sometimes we would know if a member of the

15 public was going to attend because they would

16 reach out prior and request to attend so we

17 could give the information to the security

18 desk, but sometimes they'd just show up. And

19 so they would say, we're here for commission

20 meeting, we would basically verify that, allow

21 them to come up and then they would go through

22 our second -- our own security -- our own doors

23 to our -- to the AOC and they would be allowed

24 into the meeting.

25 Okay. When the meetings were in person

Page 74

- they occurred in a conference room at the AOC 1
- 2 offices; is that right?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- How big is that conference room? 4 Q.
- 5 A. I'm not good with measurement. I would
- 6 say there's a -- it's fairly large. There's a
- 7 large conference table that seats roughly 20 or
- 8 so. It can probably -- I do believe it can
- 9 accommodate about 50 people com -- maybe not so
- 10 comfortably, but that could be in there.
- 11 Q. And how many members of the Committee and
- other people like yourself from the AOC are 12
- 13 typically present at or were typically present
- 14 when the meetings were held in person?
- 15 A. We would have roughly ten members of the
- 16 Commission. Probably more than that before --
- 17 before COVID we had good attendance. I would
- 18 say majority of the members would be in

19 attendance.

20

21

And then as far as members of the AOC, it would -- myself, possibly a member of our tech

22 division to just handle any technology needs in

23 there. But that would be it, typically. There

24 weren't a lot of members of the AO -- other

25 employees of the AOC that would attend.

- 1 and when you attended, were they always held in 2 the same conference room or were they held in
- 3 different rooms?
- 4 Α. Always the same conference room.
- 5 Is that the biggest conference room Q.
- 6 that's available?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You mentioned a member of the IT
- 9 department for the AOC sometimes being at these
- 10 meetings to facilitate IT needs. I'm wondering
- 11 in your -- in the AOC's role as administrative
- 12 support for the Advisory Commission meeting, if
- 13 a member was to show up in person prior to
- 14 2020, were they given a computer? Were they
- 15 expected to provide their own? Would they be
- 16 provided with writing supplies if they wanted
- 17 to take notes? What did that look like?
- 18 Just the member of the public that --
- 19 Q. No, a member of the Committee.
- 20 Oh, anybody. They would typically bring
- 21 their own if they kept information on a
- 22 computer or whatnot, but the AOC would
- 23 typically provide copies of the agenda and any
- 24 documents that would be considered in that

1 copies available, but members, in my

- 2 experience, usually brought either on a
- 3 computer they would just keep electronic
- 4 documents of how they kept up with it or they
- 5 would bring their own that they already printed
- 6 out and reviewed prior to the meeting.
- 7 Q. Okay. Now that the meetings are virtual,
- 8 does the AOC provide any computers or hardware,
- 9 tech support to facilitate those meetings?
- 10 A. To facilitate the meetings we'll provide
- 11 the links and whatnot. But as far as any
- 12 hardware to anybody, no, we don't provide that.
- 13 Q. Okay. You mentioned that the -- that
- 14 there's a portion of the Rules Committee
- 15 process where there is a public comment period.
- 16 I think you mentioned that you've never seen it
- 17 to where that comment period was less than
- 18 60 days but it could be more; is that right?
- 19 A. Yes, in my experience it has been
- 20 60 days. It could be more. I won't say it's
- 21 never been less than 60 days, but in my
- 22 experience it's been 60 days. That's been the
- 23 minimum.
- 24 Q. Are you aware of any public comment that
- 25 has found its way to the Supreme Court during

- 1 A. Yes. I mean, prior to 2020 there have --
- 2 there were members of the public that
- 3 required -- that requested to attend. I don't
- 4 know I can give you a specific example, but it
- 5 has happened.
- 6 Q. Since 2020 has any member of the public
- 7 contacted you about attending a meeting?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Do you make any decisions about rules
- 10 Advisory Commission, policies or actions of the
- 11 Committee?
- 12 **A. No.**
- 13 Q. Have you ever witnessed the Committee
- 14 requesting someone come and speak to them in
- 15 any capacity?
- 16 A. Yes. I've witnessed a legislator asking
- 17 to come and address the Committee, the
- 18 Commission. That has happened in the past.
- 19 Q. You also mentioned that there are
- 20 subcommittees as part of the Rules Commission;
- 21 is that right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. Are they standing committees or are they
- 24 committees as necessary?
- 25 A. They have been standing committees;

Page 78

- 1 that public comment period on any Rules
- 2 Committee recommendations?
 - MR. DOUGHERTY: Object to the form.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Yes, there have been 5 public comments.
- 6 BY MR. STAHL:
- 7 Q. How were those comments provided to the
- 8 Supreme Court during that period as far as, you
- 9 know?

3

- 10 A. They are -- there is a form that you can
- 11 fill out on the AOC -- the Court website as far
- 12 as the -- when that public comment notice goes
- 13 out, there is an ability to file a -- a
- 14 comment. And that is also within that
- 15 Appellate Court clerk's office, but there is a
- 16 form on the website that you can enter your
- 17 comments or, I believe, you can upload a
- 18 document as well if you already have comments
- 19 pre -- you know, written on a Word document or
- 20 whatnot. But then the Appellate Court clerk's
- 21 office compiles those.
- 22 Q. Okay. Since your -- you've taken up this
- 23 role as liaison for the rules Advisory
- 24 Commission, has a member of the public ever
- 25 contacted you about attending a meeting?

Page 80

- 1 however, it is up to the chair whether or not
- 2 to dissolve a committee or create one as
- 3 needed. Create a new one.
- 4 Q. Do you know what the standing committees
- 5 are -- subcommittees, I'm sorry?
- 6 A. I can't recall all of them, but they
- 7 basically breakdown into categories. So there
- 8 is civil, criminal, appellate, evidence. I
- 9 don't believe there's a juvenile one at the
- 10 moment.
- 11 Q. Have you witnessed any meetings between
- 12 the members of those subcommittees?
- 13 A. No, I have not attended any of those
- 14 subcommittee meetings.
- 15 Q. Do members of the subcommittee meet at
- 16 the AOC offices as far as you know?
- 17 A. Since subcommittees were created, to my
- 18 knowledge, they have all been virtual meetings.
- 19 Q. Since they've been created have any of
- 20 those subcommittees requested AOC technical
- 21 support to conduct those virtual meetings?
- 22 A. I do not know.
- 23 MR. STAHL: I think that's all I've
- 24 got.
- 25 ///

Page 81 Page 83 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE **EXAMINATION** 1 2 BY MR. DOUGHERTY: 3 STATE OF TENNESSEE 3 Q. Brief follow-up. 4 COUNTY OF SUMNER 4 5 I, MICHELLE CESSNA, Licensed Court Reporter, Does providing livestreaming access of 6 with offices in Nashville. Tennessee, hereby certify 5 Advisory Commission meetings to the public that I reported the foregoing deposition of MICHELLE 6 elevate any crowding problems from in-person 8 CONSIGLIO-YOUNG by machine shorthand to the best of 7 9 my skills and abilities, and thereafter the same was attendance? 10 reduced to typewritten form by me. 8 It could. It just depends, I suppose. 11 I further certify that I am not related to 9 But livestreaming would eliminate the need of any of the parties named herein, nor their counsel, 12 and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in the 10 someone attending in person. 14 outcome of the proceedings. 11 I mean, if there's a small conference 15 I further certify that in order for this 12 room and you can only fit 60 people in, for document to be considered a true and correct copy, it 13 example, of the public, it would be better if 16 must bear my original signature and that any unauthorized reproduction in whole or in part and/or 14 you had unlimited amount of people, which they 17 transfer of this document is not authorized, will not 15 could do that through livestreaming, right? be considered authentic, and will be in violation of 16 MR. STAHL: Object to the form. Tennessee Code Annotated 39-14-104, Theft of 18 Services. THE WITNESS: The livestreaming does 17 19 18 give that option. 20 19 BY MR. DOUGHERTY: 21 20 Q. Okay. 21 MR. DOUGHERTY: That's all I've got. 2.3 22 MR. STAHL: Great. Okay. Lexitas Legal 23 Licensed Court Reporter (TN) THE REPORTER: Do you want to order Notary Public State of Tennessee 24 this? 25 MR. DOUGHERTY: Yeah. LCR #864 - Expires: 6/30/2024 THE REPORTER: Do you want it regular 1 2 delivery or sooner? 3 MR. DOUGHERTY: Can I get it before? 4 (WHEREUPON, an off-the-record 5 discussion was held.) 6 MR. DOUGHERTY: What about Tuesday or 7 Wednesday, the 27th or 28th? 8 THE REPORTER: Yeah. 9 MR. STAHL: Yeah, we'll take a copy. 10 Same order. And she's going to waive 11 signature. Thank you. 12 FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT 13 (Proceeding concluded at 11:16 a.m. CST) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 6 32:12 33:21 34:4 35:7,13 49:14,17 52:19 53:13 36:21,25 37:6 38:8 39:1 66:12,13 67:3,7,15 68:25 40:15 42:24 45:18 46:17 69:9,13,21 70:19 72:3 **11** 9:13 **60** 57:10 60:22,23 77:18, 47:14 48:24 49:9 50:4,12 74:1,12,20,25 75:6,23 20,21,22 81:12 **11:16** 82:13 76:9,22 77:8 78:11 51:6 53:5,23,25 54:5 80:16,20 55:5 56:21 58:16,25 **12** 68:5 8 59:15 61:9,20 65:23,25 AOC's 66:14 76:11 **16-3-601** 20:25 66:4,8,9 67:17,20,25 8 9:15 68:22 70:6 72:10,21 **AOCS** 67:13 76:12 78:23 79:10 81:5 2 8th 39:8 Appeals 10:15 advocacy 15:10 appellate 31:17 46:10 **20** 74:7 Α advocating 15:11 54:20 64:17 78:15,20 2005 10:24 80:8 **affairs** 7:4,7,10 8:8,17 **a.m.** 82:13 2011 11:2,5 11:24 72:24 applied 18:7,17,24 ability 33:11 78:13 **affect** 72:18 **2013** 10:9 applies 19:6 access 17:18 22:14 31:3 affirmatively 69:20 **2015** 7:20,22 8:20 9:24 **apply** 18:8,12 19:2,15,22 69:10 81:4 23:15,21 24:2 25:3 34:11 20:4 51:25 **AG's** 17:5 40:9 49:21 accommodate 74:9 appointed 22:2 29:24,25 agenda 68:13,22 76:23 **2016** 23:12 25:3 27:18 33:10,25 act 61:9 34:11 35:4 40:9 49:23 agendas 31:22 appoints 33:13 acted 63:20 **2017** 23:12 27:18 35:3 aggressive 42:16 approval 24:19 **action** 42:16 49:19 agree 18:15 approve 32:19 actions 79:10 **2018** 8:4 40:18,20 41:6,8, **ahead** 6:19 10:19 19:13 25 43:2,4,7 44:9 48:22 approved 12:9 22:11 add 12:11 57:5 68:13 20:21 56:18 49:4,10 32:21 59:4 adding 15:6 Allen 42:22 2019 8:4,5,9,20 **Approvement** 7:15 additional 52:21 allowed 41:20 75:23 **2020** 49:24 50:9,15 51:13 approves 32:22 63:14 address 79:17 amended 20:17 62:25 73:24 75:1 76:14 79:1,6 approving 32:25 64:1 adjourn 14:12 **2022** 35:7,16,18 36:2,5, approximately 8:12 amendment 72:17 13.15 38:2 administrative 6:4,25 **April** 14:7,10,13,14 15:9 7:5,11,13 19:8 22:1 23:3, 2023 36:17.25 37:6 38:9 amendments 57:22 65:7 5 31:1 41:9 52:20 67:24 39:5 58:6 64:13 68:2 70:8 76:11 area 75:7 21st 38:7 **amount** 81:14 admission 11:4 assembly 56:16 62:1, 27th 82:7 and/or 54:2 14,19 admissions 11:10 28th 82:7 announced 26:11 assertive 41:21 admitted 11:8 announces 69:14 assigned 28:23 advance 25:19 37:14 3 answers 26:24 52:10 assist 21:12 71:21 anticipate 6:14 **3** 9:13 advanced 27:25 assistant 7:23 8:19 10:4 AO 74:24 23:25 31st 58:3 advice 72:3 73:2,10 AOC 7:2,19,20 8:6,24 assumed 40:6 Advisor 19:9 9:24 12:7 14:23,24,25 5 Assuming 25:14 **advisory** 12:2,6,21 15:4,11,12 19:16,19 14:15 15:17,20,23,25 21:3,7,11 22:24 23:13, attached 21:25 **50** 74:9 16:5,8 20:21,23 21:5,8, 15,22,25 25:25 26:7,22 attachments 31:22 18,22,24 23:10,14,23 27:2,21 28:16 29:6,11,17 24:4,11,24 27:16,21 37:16 38:23 39:13 40:4

30:3,7,11,15,17 31:19

44:24 45:17 47:22 48:9

attend 13:7 32:9 35:18 36:25 37:5 66:20 74:25 75:15,16 79:3

attendance 44:21 52:16 74:17,19 81:7

attended 25:20 40:24 41:15 76:1 80:13

attending 44:3 78:25 79:7 81:10

attorney 5:24 10:1,4,13 16:13 20:6

attorney/liaison 12:7

attorneys 20:5,8 30:2,6 56:23 59:21 63:8

attorneys/attorneys 30:10

August 36:24 38:7 60:4

authority 11:15

availability 35:1

aware 12:12 16:24 17:2, 4 21:11 34:1 39:4,6 40:1 43:17 44:22 65:3,23 67:21 77:24

В

back 10:8 16:6 23:8,11 32:18 35:3 39:17 44:23 46:5 50:17 57:13 58:11 61:7,8,15 65:22

backtrack 40:8

Baldwin 9:18 40:6

Bar 11:4,14

Bars 11:8

based 28:7 52:1 56:6 57:19

basically 17:12 75:20 80:7

basis 13:2

begin 62:16

behalf 13:7 15:11 27:21 57:23 62:2

big 74:4

biggest 76:5

bit 7:6 11:23 16:7 27:15 40:8 58:12

Bivins 43:8

body 12:23 13:15,21

Boston 10:23

branch 12:17

break 6:14,17,20 50:25 65:18,20

breakdown 80:7

bring 62:5 76:20 77:5

broad 18:16

broadcasts 69:9

brought 43:19 77:2

brush 34:2

building 31:12,16 43:17 46:9 75:13

Bulso 36:15,16

business 14:11 30:17

C

cadence 34:8,22 39:10

calendar 35:16,21 55:20

call 44:15 57:15 60:15

called 5:3 41:19 43:16

cancelled 35:11

capacities 72:5,7

capacity 10:3 72:23 73:5 79:15

carry 12:13

case 16:25 36:13

categories 55:4 80:7

category 63:25

caveat 6:18

certified 57:21 58:5 62:22

chair 15:25 22:7 26:25 36:10,15 42:10,11,20,22 45:20,21,23 59:16 63:4, 10 64:3,6,14 65:12,16 69:3 80:1

Chairman 43:9

chairs 65:3

change 27:11 68:5 69:16

changing 12:11

charges 43:18,19,22

Charlie 9:18 40:6

check 39:17,19,23 75:12

checked 40:2

chief 26:17,20,21,22 43:6 44:11

civil 54:17 64:6,10,16,20, 25 65:1 68:5 80:8

clear 47:11

clerk 46:13 64:21

clerk's 31:17 46:10 78:15,20

clerked 10:14

clerks 57:24 62:22

close 14:6 16:20 44:16

closed 37:3,4,8 40:16 41:4 45:3,6,11,14 46:2

closer 65:14

closest 68:15

closing 73:22

cohesive 57:1

coincide 50:3 56:7

Coke 6:3 16:19 17:9 18:4 40:5

College 11:2

combative 40:25 42:5 43:10.23 44:20 48:23

combativeness 42:6

comfortably 74:10

comment 41:20 55:13 56:8 57:7,8,10,20 59:25 60:6,8,19,22 61:6,23 77:15,17,24 78:1,12,14

comments 57:13 61:6, 14 78:5,7,17,18

commission 12:2,6,13, 22 14:15,21 15:18,20,23 16:1,5,8 17:14 18:9,25

19:9 20:22.24 21:2.6.8. 11,14,18,22,25 22:7,16 23:10,14,23 24:4,11,24, 25 27:16,21 29:3,11,13, 23 30:4,7,11,15 31:19,25 32:12,22 33:2,21 34:5,17 35:7,13 36:21 37:1,6 38:9 39:1 40:16 41:1.17 42:24 44:9 45:5.18.20.22 46:17,20 47:7,9,14,17 48:3,25 49:9 50:4,13,21 51:6 53:6,18,24,25 54:5, 12 55:5 56:21,25 58:16, 25 59:9,15 61:9,16,21 65:25 66:9 67:17,25 68:12,16,18,23 70:7 72:10,21 74:16 75:19 76:12 78:24 79:10,18,20 81:5

Commission's 30:17

commissions 48:20

committee 13:8,10,18 63:5,10 64:3,8,11,15,20, 22 65:2,12,24 66:8 74:11 76:19 77:14 78:2 79:11, 13,17 80:2

committees 13:25 65:11 66:4 79:23,24,25 80:4

communicate 53:21 67:10

communication 22:9 26:14

communications 28:4 37:23 53:3,6,16,21

compared 30:25

compiled 56:23 59:10

compiles 78:21

complaint 20:18

completed 24:17

computer 76:14,22 77:3

computers 77:8

concluded 82:13

conduct 80:21

conference 25:10 41:10 66:22,25 74:1,4,7 76:2,4, 5 81:11

conferences 66:11,13, 14,19 67:4,18,19

confirm 35:20 67:13

conflict 35:25 36:10

conjunction 59:11

connection 19:7

consideration 55:24 56:10 57:2,14 60:3 61:14,18

considered 21:17 56:1 58:6 76:24

Consiglio-young 5:2,

consistently 29:10

consult 63:7

consulting 61:20

contact 29:7 69:1 70:2

contacted 53:8 78:25 79:7

contacts 69:25

continued 50:14

convicted 11:17

copies 57:21 58:5 62:22 76:23 77:1

copy 17:20,24 18:1 82:9

correct 8:11 9:3,22,23 10:10 11:7 12:20,24 15:15 17:19 44:1 50:24 52:7 56:17 61:22 62:17 64:13 70:5,16 72:1,25 74:3 79:22

correlates 12:5

cost 52:21 53:1,12

counsel 5:23,25 6:3 7:23 8:20,21,25 16:15 17:9 18:3 23:25 28:20 29:1 40:5 45:8

couple 9:11 16:3 58:4

court 7:15 10:14 11:10 12:4 13:7 19:23 22:3 30:1 31:12,16,17 32:16 33:4,11,13 41:2 43:7 44:3,8,12,23 46:9,10 47:7 48:1,8,20 54:1,4,15 55:23 56:2,24 57:2,4,12,

24 59:5,11,13,20,22,23 60:10,18 61:4,8,12,24 62:3,7 63:25 72:4,18,19 73:3 77:25 78:8,11,15,20

courtroom 47:20

courts 6:4 7:1,5,11,13 19:8 22:1 41:9 48:2.4

COVID 50:3,6,12 51:7 73:23 74:17

create 37:24 48:13,17 53:3,9 80:2,3

created 8:8 20:25 80:17, 19

creates 48:19

creation 39:25

crime 11:18

criminal 10:5,15 43:19, 22 54:7,13 64:7,8,16,20 65:1 80:8

crowding 81:6

CST 82:13

culture 47:14,16

current 8:10 32:23

custom 61:1

cycle 56:4

D

daily 13:7

date 11:3 16:12 36:9 41:7

dates 36:5,8,11

David 8:22

day 6:15,16

day-to-day 13:2

days 57:10 60:22,23 77:18,20,21,22

deal 20:21

deals 7:16

debate 68:6

Deborah 28:17

December 34:18,22 36:3,7 39:7,8,13 52:10,

17

decide 68:16

decision 44:16 45:16,24

decisions 79:9

declarations 71:17,22

deem 15:8 68:19

definitively 24:9 26:5,6 47:12 61:11 73:12

degree 10:21

delivery 82:2

department 53:22 76:9

departmental 67:8

dependent 65:11

depending 13:17 35:1,6

depends 14:11 65:8,15 69:4 81:8

DEPONENT 82:12

deposition 5:14 6:7

20:14

depth 16:7

deputy 9:1,21 73:1

Describe 21:5

desk 75:18

detail 11:25

details 71:20

determine 59:21 64:22

direct 28:21

directly 9:4,5,8,14,20,23 10:17 19:6 28:18 45:13 69:3

director 7:3 8:7,16 9:2,5, 21 17:7 18:12 19:3,7 20:5 28:15 70:19,22 71:9,16 72:24 73:9

disciplined 11:14

discuss 54:1,6,12 68:16, 20

discussed 41:16 42:17

discusses 55:5

discussion 38:22,25 41:3,17 42:12 44:24 49:3 50:16 51:3 68:17 69:6 82:5

discussions 49:7 50:20

dissolve 80:2

distinction 33:20 46:21, 25 47:21 48:9

distinguish 30:19 47:3

division 7:3,4,7,10,15,16 8:8 10:5 28:4 37:23 53:3, 5,6,16,22 74:22

document 48:17 78:18,

documentation 32:13

documents 76:24 77:4

doors 75:22

DOUGHERTY 5:7 18:20 19:10,21 20:1 51:4 65:19,21 73:8,15 78:3 81:2,19,21,25 82:3,6

draft 62:23

drafted 63:18

drafting 63:8

drafts 63:1,6

due 37:11

duly 5:4

duties 27:9 72:12

duty 31:15

Ε

e-mail 68:25

e-mailing 68:25

e-mails 70:25

earlier 21:10 46:8

early 71:17

education 10:20

electronic 31:13,18 46:11 77:3

elevate 81:6

eliminate 81:9

employee 19:18 26:7 29:6 48:18 53:17,22

employees 9:7 19:16 53:8 67:3 74:25

employment 27:2

end 14:6 56:14 65:14

ended 14:13

enlist 53:2

ensures 22:4

enter 78:16

entire 63:23

equivalent 67:9

escalated 42:13

escort 43:14

essentially 40:7 41:21 62:3

Etheridge 9:19

everything's 71:6

evidence 54:23 64:17 80:8

exact 16:12 41:7

EXAMINATION 5:6 73:19 81:1

excuse 20:2 23:22

expected 76:15

expenses 70:9

experience 34:7 47:19 64:25 75:1 77:2,19,22

experienced 49:2

explain 7:6 21:20 24:13 34:15 44:17 55:18

extensive 13:24

extent 58:20

F

facilitate 12:21 39:25 62:2 64:11 76:10 77:9,10

facilitated 40:3

faculty 30:9

fair 23:2 55:3 63:21

fairly 14:6 16:20 18:15 74:6

familiar 20:20,23 66:1,3

fast 27:15

February 34:24 35:5

federal 65:23 66:3,7,13 67:15,20

file 15:6 57:14,23,24 58:4 62:5,21 78:13

filed 13:23 14:19 16:13, 21 17:4 21:15 46:12 58:8 63:13,18 70:18 71:9,13, 14,17,18 72:17,22

filing 20:16 63:19

fill 78:11

final 58:19

fine 6:23 23:19

firsthand 44:22

fit 81:12

follow 19:19 39:9

follow-up 81:3

form 18:18 19:4,17,24 59:12 73:6 78:3,10,16 81:16

formal 43:18,22 46:24

formally 11:13 46:3

format 59:13

forward 27:15

found 77:25

free 69:25

Friday 36:6,9 39:9

funds 53:13

G

gave 14:2,14 15:16

gavel 58:2,3

general 5:25 6:3 7:23 8:20,21,24 10:4 16:14,15 17:9 18:3 20:8 23:25 28:19 29:1 40:5 45:8 55:10 56:15 58:10 61:25 62:13,19

General's 5:25 10:1,13 16:14 20:6

generate 51:14,21

generated 51:19

generating 25:25

gentleman 42:1

Gina 36:16

give 6:9,10 9:10 72:14,15 75:17 79:4 81:18

giving 6:7

good 5:8,9 10:20 74:5,17

gosh 8:3

government 30:6 54:11 56:4 67:8

governmental 8:16

graduated 10:23 11:1

Great 81:22

guess 10:9 14:5 44:2 67:6

Н

habits 6:8

Haines 8:22

Half 6:16

handle 74:22

happen 68:4 70:13

happened 40:1 44:17 49:4 79:5,18

hardware 77:8,12

Harmon 8:23 9:1,21 28:20,25 29:2 45:8 71:24 73:1

Harmon's 71:16

head 27:4

heads 6:9

hear 16:9 65:13.14

heard 39:15 65:9.10

held 51:3 74:14 76:1,2 82:5

Hendrix 23:24 24:3 25:24 27:6

Hivner 46:13,16

hold 11:11 70:17.21

Holly 44:7

House 57:25 64:6,14,21

housed 31:11 46:8

hundred 50:8,10

1

important 6:6,10

in-person 81:6

incident 41:4 45:11 49:4 75:4

include 22:13

included 31:24

includes 22:15

individual 48:23

inform 37:23 38:18

information 28:13,14 31:2,5 75:17 76:21

informed 45:2 70:22

injunction 16:25 17:3,8, 11,21 18:2,6,16,24 19:2, 15,19,22 20:4,11,15 37:12 51:21 52:1,4,13 70:4,15

insight 47:18

interaction 42:14 45:1 67:14

interchangeable 23:6

interest 13:18

interested 41:16

intergovernmental 7:4, 7 8:7 11:24 72:24

internal 57:17

interrupt 56:19

interrupting 50:19

involved 22:23 24:8 53:17

involvement 24:16 49:8

issue 50:23 57:18

issued 16:25

items 69:6

.I

James 46:13

January 7:20,22 14:5,9 56:9,16 58:3 62:14,15,19 65:6,14

JD 10:25

Jeana 23:24 24:3 25:24

Jeff 43:8

jobs 67:9

John 6:3 16:19 17:9 18:4 40:5

join 49:12

joined 8:24 23:20 24:2, 10

judge 7:14 10:15 15:7 46:25 47:25 48:7

judges 15:6,12 66:19

judicial 47:6,17 48:5,6 63:10 66:14,22,24 67:19

judiciary 33:1 63:5 64:3, 6.14.20

July 56:4

June 32:24 34:18,21,25 35:4 36:2,6,23 37:6,14 39:5 51:22,24 52:6,23 55:21,25 56:4,13 58:14, 24 59:6,17 60:3,7,19

justice 26:17,20,21,23 43:6,8 44:7,8,11,20 45:12 64:8,11

justices 19:23 44:15 45:17 59:17 72:4 73:2,10

juvenile 7:12,17 54:25 64:17 80:9

Κ

keeping 32:10,12

kind 6:9 8:2 11:25 12:1, 21 15:10 21:20 23:2,3 26:14 28:6 35:6 37:21 40:8 41:18 42:12 47:19 51:6 55:18 58:11,15 59:7 63:3 69:21

kiosk 75:12

Kirby 44:7,8,11,20 45:12

knowledge 31:9 52:3 53:15 71:5 80:18

L

labor 52:21

laq 60:7,13,16

language 34:3

large 74:6,7

lasts 60:22

law 10:17 11:2 30:9

lawsuit 11:20 16:9,21 18:11 20:16 50:21 66:4,5 70:18 71:10,13,18

leave 36:24 38:6,11 39:18,23 40:7 41:23 42:10 43:11,13 51:23

Lee 26:21

legal 63:7 72:3,20 73:2,

legislation 14:18,25 15:5,7 72:16

legislative 7:10,24 12:4, 9,14,17,23 13:4,10,15, 21,25 14:1,4,8 16:3 21:16 27:10 63:7 72:8,12

legislator 24:18 68:11 79:16

legislators 13:9,19

legislature 14:3,20 15:17,24 22:12 24:19 55:17 58:8 61:18 63:2,14 64:18 65:8 72:22

legislature's 13:6

letter 70:18,21

level 66:18

levels 66:19

liaison 7:24 21:7,21 22:3 23:9,13,21 24:3,23 25:8 27:5,12,20 28:11 29:3,11 30:18 32:2,9 34:7,12 40:21 44:9 46:23 53:20

59:20 67:8 78:23

liaisons 12:10 33:4 46:25 48:5,6 56:24 59:11,21

licensing 11:15

link 37:24 51:15,20,22 52:22,24 53:9,11

links 31:3 77:11

list 47:22 48:13 58:25

listed 21:3

lists 47:24,25

litigation 70:17,21,23 71:2

livestream 17:16

livestreaming 25:6 37:25 49:6,10 51:5,8,22 52:6,24 53:4,9,11,14 81:4,9,15,17

lobby 75:13

logistical 21:9 22:2,13, 18 23:1,5

long 9:6 10:6 17:7 18:12, 13 19:3 23:9 34:20 65:5 70:19 71:9 73:9

Long's 20:5

longer 44:25

looked 66:6

lot 15:3 74:24

lots 72:19

Lynch 9:18

M

made 12:10 27:11 29:2 43:17 44:15,16 47:21 58:22,24 61:16

maintains 48:19

majority 49:13,15 72:8 74:18

make 17:15 22:11 28:23 33:20 35:10,25 39:24 45:23 48:9 54:2,6,13 56:25 58:7 62:5 64:12 68:1,21 69:15 79:9

making 15:13 21:14 22:19 67:23

March 32:25 34:18,21,24 35:4,8 36:2,6,22,25 39:5 65:6

materials 20:13

maternity 36:24 38:5 39:18,23

matter 41:2 44:23

matters 7:12,17 47:8

means 34:15

measurement 74:5

meet 32:24 35:7 50:13 80:15

meeting 13:19 17:14
22:5,20 25:19,23 26:1
27:25 28:10,24 29:4,8,15
31:3,4,21,25 32:1,18,23,
24,25 34:17 35:11 36:23
37:1,6,13,14,15,17,20,25
38:9,13,20 39:5,7,12,13,
18 40:4,23 41:6,8,14,22,
25 42:21 43:4,5,16 44:4,
19,21 45:11 51:24,25
52:5,8,10,13,23 53:24
55:21,22 56:12 58:14
60:3 68:14 75:20,24
76:12,25 77:6 78:25 79:7

meetings 13:1,8,11 22:14,17 24:4,7,11,14, 20,25 25:15,17,20 26:11, 19 27:22 30:22 31:8 32:9 34:5,8 35:15,19,23 36:2, 20 38:2 40:10,16,21 41:3 43:1 44:16,25 45:6 46:2 48:25 49:16 50:4,7,15,17 51:6,8,12,13 53:18 55:24,25 58:16 65:24 66:9 70:13 73:22,23,25 74:14 75:2,25 76:10 77:7,9,10 80:11,14,18,21 81:5

member 16:5 21:10,17 33:5,8,9,15,16,21,22 40:24 41:15 42:23 44:2 45:13 46:16,19 47:2,3, 12,13 53:2 54:10,11,12 63:1 68:10,11,21 69:24 74:21 75:4,5,11,14 76:8, 13,18,19 78:24 79:6

members 15:22 18:24

21:2 29:13,24 30:3,7,10, 12 31:4,23 32:17 33:1,3, 6,10,25 39:1 41:10,22,24 42:14 45:4,13 46:22,25 47:4,6,7,17,22,24,25 48:2,10,13 49:12 51:9, 15,17,20 67:17 68:6,16 69:5,7 70:7 72:22 74:11, 15,18,20,24 75:9 77:1 79:2 80:12,15

members' 35:1

memory 15:21

mention 46:6

mentioned 6:6 73:24 75:3 76:8 77:13,16 79:19

met 36:7,11

method 25:7

Michelle 5:2,12 9:5

Mike 73:16

mileage 70:12

minimum 60:23 77:23

minutes 30:21 31:7,19, 22 32:1,10,13,19,20,22, 25 46:3,5,7

moment 16:7 80:10

month 36:9

morning 5:8,9

mouth 18:22

move 27:6,12

Ν

names 9:11,17 69:2

Nashville 49:15

nature 72:20

necessarily 60:16

needed 17:13 22:16 49:12 80:3

newly 8:8

nod 6:8

nodding 6:9

nonverbal 6:9

norm 49:24

notes 76:17

notice 25:19 26:1 28:9 37:14,15,17,21 38:20 39:12 40:4 45:10 52:8 78:12

notices 27:25 28:6,24 29:5,8,16 60:19

notified 16:14,16 17:23 22:20

notify 17:5,7 28:3

November 11:5 59:24 60:5 61:5

number 13:16

0

oath 5:18

Object 18:18 19:4,17,24 73:6 78:3 81:16

observe 32:10

occasionally 55:2 69:22

occur 13:25 17:14 22:23, 24 38:12,15,16,19

occurred 38:13 50:7,9 73:23,25 74:1

occurs 30:22

off-the-record 51:2

office 5:25 6:4,25 7:5,11, 13 10:2,13 12:10 16:14, 16 17:5,6 18:10 19:8,12, 14 20:6 22:1,22 26:4 27:8 28:2,7,13 31:17 40:4 41:9 45:13,17 46:10 48:18 49:14,17 51:14 52:19 53:7 78:15,21

offices 67:3 74:2 75:6,9 80:16

official 30:16 33:9 57:17 63:8

officially 59:9 63:18

on-the-ground 47:19

ongoing 59:3

online 13:23

open 22:17 24:4,7,11,14 25:4,5,15,17 26:12,13,19

27:22 37:3,8,9,10,11 38:3 40:13,21 44:25 48:25 50:17 52:5,14 69:23

opportunities 67:18

opportunity 67:7

option 17:13,17 25:17 49:11 69:8 81:18

order 33:14 37:12 57:14, 18 75:8 81:23 82:10

orders 33:18 57:22 58:5 62:21

original 20:16

oversaw 37:22

oversee 7:9 12:3 39:22 48:16,21

overseen 19:9 46:10

Ρ

package 12:8,22 21:15 22:10 24:17,22 55:15 56:1,9,13 57:16 61:25 62:13,18 63:9,23 64:12 72:11

panel 7:14

paper 31:14 46:12 68:7

paralegal 28:5

part 42:16 47:13 60:17 79:20

participate 71:8

participating 47:5

parties 18:10

party 11:20

pass 73:15

past 14:4,7,13 15:6 16:3 51:22 60:4 61:15 64:24 69:4 70:10 72:5 79:18

pending 70:22

people 25:9 28:2,7 43:14 49:13 74:9,12 81:12,14

percent 50:8,10

period 26:18 41:20 55:13 57:8,10 59:25 60:7,9,10,13,16,22 61:6,

23 77:15,17 78:1,8

periodically 41:11

permission 75:8

person 17:16 25:6,25 26:3 40:24 43:9,11,20,23 44:19 48:21 49:15 50:11 69:1 73:25 74:14 75:3,25 76:13 81:10

personally 67:22 71:8

physical 42:9

physically 49:5 62:5

pick 6:11

place 37:22 66:21 71:5

plan 52:18

point 8:21 17:11,12 26:1 27:1,22 28:16 40:15 44:15 48:22 49:3 55:12, 13,17 56:7

policies 79:10

portion 77:14

position 7:2,18 8:1,5,10, 15 10:12 11:24 66:11

positions 15:7

possibly 74:21

post 28:14 37:24 51:6, 20,25 52:3,12

posted 28:6,24 29:5,22 31:7 37:16,18 38:1 39:14 40:2 52:9

potential 54:6

practice 10:11 20:24 30:3 32:5,7 34:21 61:1,4

pre 70:4,14 78:19

preference 65:15

preliminary 16:24 17:3, 8,11,21 18:2,6,16,24 19:2,11,15,19,22 20:2,4, 11,15 37:11 51:21 52:1, 4,13 70:14

preparation 20:14

prepared 5:20

preparing 71:21

present 49:5 74:13

presented 47:9 preserved 71:1,6 pretty 13:24

previously 53:17

primarily 21:8

printed 77:5

prior 28:10 32:8,23 34:12,17 49:24 50:10,20 59:5 65:3 73:22 75:1,16 76:13 77:6 79:1

priority 35:25

private 10:11 30:2

privy 49:7

problem 50:18 56:20

problems 48:24 81:6

procedure 20:25 54:7, 13,17,21 55:1 68:5

procedures 15:4

proceeding 82:13

process 12:4,9,14 21:16 22:10 24:19 55:19 60:17 62:6 63:19 65:5 72:18 77:15

Program 7:15

programs 7:9

promoted 7:25 8:3

proposals 31:23 47:18 54:2 56:22 72:21

proposed 32:19 54:16 55:4,11,22 57:15,18,22 58:19 61:7 62:25 64:16 69:15 72:17

prosecuted 43:24

provide 22:19 33:24 53:13 64:2 67:24 70:8 72:2,3,13 76:15,23 77:8, 10.12

provided 18:1 29:6 70:1, 2 76:16 78:7

providing 22:13 52:20, 22 53:11 81:4

proximity 68:15

public 17:13,17 22:14,19 24:5 25:5,10,15,18 26:1,

12,13 27:22,25 28:24 29:4,7,8,15 37:3,8,13,15, 17,20 38:3,20 39:12 40:3,13,17,22,24 41:11, 15,20,25 45:10 48:25 49:5 52:5,8,14,23 53:1, 12,14 54:11 55:13 56:8 57:7,8,11,19 59:25 60:8, 19,21 61:14,23 68:11,21 69:5,7,9,10,14,21,23,24 75:5,6,9,11,15 76:18 77:15,24 78:1,5,12,24 79:2,6 81:5,13

publicly 31:8
purely 31:1 68:2

purpose 53:24 54:1

oui posc 55.2+ 5+.

pursuant 33:11

put 18:22 27:12 28:10 39:20 45:9 57:6 60:6

puts 59:18

putting 27:24

Q

quarterly 34:10,11,14 35:15 36:20 38:2 39:10 43:1

question 6:19 20:3 26:24 29:19 47:11 69:24

questions 5:7,21 73:20,

quick 65:18

R

Rachel 8:23 28:20 29:1 45:8

ranks 8:2

reach 69:2,25 75:16

reaches 69:21

read 20:10

reason 16:10 56:5,11

recall 14:2 15:16 16:12, 23 23:17,20,21 24:2,6, 10,13,14,15,16 25:7,9, 11,16,18,21 26:15,16,18, 19,25 28:9 29:4,9 32:6 33:17 34:3,13 35:3,9 36:12 37:13 40:22 41:7, 24 42:3,18,20 43:12,18 45:7,12 46:1,4,18 48:12 49:22 50:22 61:2 66:10 70:11,17 71:15,16,20 80:6

received 70:20

recent 15:20

recently 34:16 70:10

recollection 36:1 37:18 40:12 43:25 52:9 53:10 71:4

recommend 59:4

recommendations

54:3,6,14,16 57:3,5,7,19 58:20 60:12 61:13,17 67:23 68:1 78:2

recommended 59:9

record 5:11 6:2 13:24 26:14 29:21 30:22 59:8 65:22

records 29:20 30:16 31:13,14,18,21,24 70:25

reference 68:17

referenced 66:5

referred 55:14

referring 14:22

regular 34:5 82:1

regularly 69:9

reimbursement 70:11

reimbursements 70:6

related 14:15,17,18,20 72:10,20

relates 12:1

relay 28:13

relayed 45:21 59:22

remember 25:13 27:3, 19 43:3,15 45:15 70:20

report 9:4,5,8,14,15,20, 21,23 12:16 28:18,21

reported 28:19 46:1

reporter 22:8 30:13,16, 23,25 31:2,5 32:12,14,15

59:1,7,10,16,18 65:17 69:3 81:23 82:1,8

reporter's 30:14,19,24

represent 48:3 62:3

represented 5:23,24

represents 20:7

request 13:12 15:13 16:2 41:13 68:10,15 75:7,16

requested 13:9,10 16:1, 4 54:10 76:25 79:3 80:20

requesting 15:7 28:9 70:11 79:14

requests 22:6 69:5

require 53:16

required 12:14 22:15 58:1 79:3

requirement 32:4,7 62:4,7,9,10

requirements 19:20

res 62:23

resolution 58:7 62:24 63:1,11,17,19,22,24 64:4

resolutions 62:23 63:3 64:23 65:4,9

responsibilities 21:9

responsible 25:25 26:3 27:24

restate 18:19

restrictions 50:6

restroom 65:18

review 20:12,13,17 60:10,11

reviewed 77:6

Robert 10:15

role 7:21 8:12 10:6,7 12:2,5 15:10 19:7 21:5,7 22:3 27:12 30:14,19,20, 24,25 40:6 53:20 67:22, 23 72:2 76:11 78:23

roles 27:9,11,13,14 28:8

room 25:10 41:10 74:1,4 76:2,4,5 81:12

rooms 76:3

rosters 48:19

roughly 9:12 55:18 61:6 74:7,15

rule 54:1,2,6 56:21 58:6, 19 62:23 63:1,11,19,25 64:12,23 65:3,9 67:23 68:1,4,5 69:15

rules 12:8,12,22 14:21 20:24 21:15 22:9 24:16, 21 54:7,13,17,20,23,25 55:4,11,14,22 56:1,9,11 57:15,18,23 59:4 60:6 61:3,7,15,25 62:13,18,24 63:9,14,21,23 64:3,7,10, 16,22 72:10 77:14 78:1, 23 79:9,20

S

SAITH 82:12

sat 24:24 40:9

schedule 65:13

scheduled 35:24 38:10, 11 65:10

schedules 65:9

scheduling 21:12

school 10:18 30:9

seats 74:7

security 43:15,17 75:7, 12,17,22

segue 10:20

selected 32:14,15

Senate 57:25 64:6,22

send 51:15 57:1 59:14 60:19 61:8 63:1

sense 12:10

separate 63:24

separately 66:6

September 34:18,21 35:4 36:3,6 38:8 55:24 56:12 59:5,24 60:5 61:5

served 23:9

services 63:8

session 13:4,6 14:1,4,7, 8 65:15

sessions 13:3 16:4

set 36:9 53:4 64:19

sets 53:7

settled 56:22

share 6:2

shift 27:8

shifted 50:10

short 65:20

shortly 8:23

show 75:18 76:13

signature 82:11

similar 65:24

sir 5:19,22 21:1

sit 24:20

sitting 25:10

slightly 34:23

small 81:11

son 42:2

sooner 82:2

sought 21:16

space 22:6 31:3

speak 61:11 79:14

speaking 41:18

specific 69:12 79:4

specifically 24:6 25:7, 22 26:2 27:13 29:9 33:19

specifics 24:15

sponsor 15:1 63:2

sponsored 14:19

Stacy 9:18

staff 12:6 21:10

Stahl 6:1 18:18 19:4,17, 24 73:6,17,20 78:6 80:23 81:16,22 82:9

standing 79:23,25 80:4

start 7:18 56:13 60:8

started 8:22 10:17 23:15 26:22 27:20 73:24

starting 36:24

state 5:10 11:8,14 66:12, 16,17,18 67:2,5,13,19

statements 6:10

states 67:9

statute 12:15 32:4 33:11, 20,24 34:2 58:1 61:1,2

statutory 32:6 62:10 72:17

Stephanie 9:19

stipulation 6:18

stopped 41:22

strike 20:3

subcommittee 68:18

80:14.15

subcommittees 79:20

80:5,12,17,20

submit 62:13 63:9

subtract 57:5

suggestion 68:22 69:15

summary 63:22

supervise 48:16

supervisors 9:14,16

supervisors' 9:17

supplies 76:16

support 23:3 52:20 67:24 70:8 76:12 77:9 80:21

supportive 22:7

suppose 81:8

supposed 71:1

Supreme 19:23 22:3 30:1 31:12,16 32:16 33:10,13 41:2 43:6 44:3, 8,12,23 46:9 55:23 56:2, 24 57:2,4,12,24 59:11, 20,23 60:18 61:8,24 62:2,7 72:4 73:2 77:25 78:8

sworn 5:4

system 7:14 12:4 13:7 63:20

Т

table 74:7

takes 10:8 61:25

talk 13:1 65:2 68:6 72:18

talked 15:5 21:20

talking 66:24

Tate 28:17,18

Taylor 28:17

TCA 20:25

tech 74:21 77:9

technical 80:20

technology 74:22

ten 10:9 29:17 74:15

Tennessee 11:1,6,12,14 13:21 19:23 20:2 22:3 30:1 31:11,16 32:15 33:10,13 41:2 44:3 46:9 54:8 56:3 61:24 65:25 66:24 67:7

term 23:1 33:24 41:18 51:10 57:17 58:15 62:16

terminology 47:2

terms 18:6,16 29:15 52:19 55:10

testified 5:4 15:9

testify 13:4 16:1,11

testimony 13:9,12,14 14:3,14 15:17,19,23 16:4

time 6:13,17 14:2,6 15:16 16:21 20:10 23:22 24:1,7 25:8 26:4,9,18 27:9,14 28:3,5,19 38:20 42:21 43:7,8 45:9 56:9 60:11.14

times 15:3 66:23 72:19

timing 52:1

title 7:21

titles 48:7

today 5:15,21 16:10

told 11:23 28:12 44:24 45:4,5,9,12,14,19

tone 42:13

top 27:4

topic 15:8 41:16 42:17, 18 54:9

topics 13:16 15:3 72:6,7,

total 9:13

town 35:24

transcripts 13:20

transmitted 59:17

travel 49:14

Tuesday 82:6

type 9:25 14:25 49:6

types 21:13

typical 34:8

typically 14:10 15:25 21:2 35:23 51:16 55:20 57:9 58:3 60:1,2,21 62:12 63:4,9 64:2,5 67:12 68:13 74:13,23 76:20,23,25

U

uh-huh 20:19 23:16 36:19 40:11 49:18 50:2 55:16 58:13

ultimate 21:15

ultimately 22:10

undergraduate 10:21

understand 5:17 6:12 12:20 25:11 33:7 51:11 58:14

understanding 17:10 18:5,8 23:7 24:21 55:11 70:24

University 10:23 11:1

unlimited 81:14

unpack 58:11

unruly 40:25

upcoming 39:6,7,13

upload 78:17

upset 42:17

V

varied 28:1,7 34:23 69:4

varies 13:17 15:2 57:9 60:1 61:19 63:3 66:22 68:9,12

verbal 6:10 42:6,10 43:10

verbally 43:10,23 48:23

verify 75:20

versus 47:13

vicinity 35:5

view 49:6

virtual 50:5,8,10,14 51:8, 12 70:13 73:23 75:2 77:7 80:18,21

virtually 49:12 50:13,17

vote 47:1,8

votes 47:4 55:17

voting 33:3,5,6,8,9,16,22 46:19,22 47:2,4,12 48:10

W

Wade 42:22,23 43:9 45:23

waive 82:10

walk 55:9 75:10

wanted 39:19 76:16

warrants 68:17

ways 68:24

website 21:3 25:21 28:10 29:10,12,18 37:16 38:1 39:13,20 47:22 48:10,14 69:2,11,14,18 78:11,16

Wedemeyer 10:15

Wednesday 82:7

week 20:12

weeks 58:4

whatnot 57:6 76:22 77:11 78:20

When's 20:10

whichever 62:24 64:12

witnessed 79:13,16

80:11

wondering 76:10

Word 78:19

words 18:22

work 6:24,25 9:25 22:4,5 25:6 32:20 52:21 59:2 61:10

worked 10:1 28:2

working 51:5

works 15:14 34:1 59:19

write 59:1 68:7

writing 76:16

written 58:8 78:19

wrong 12:20

Υ

year 8:3 10:22 14:7,13 15:6 24:22 26:23 27:16 34:9,19 35:16 36:17 38:7 55:21,25 60:1 63:3,4 66:23

years 8:13 10:7,9 25:2 27:1 29:17 34:23

Young 73:21

Ζ

Zoom 31:3 51:14,15,20 52:22

Exhibit

4

May 20, 2016

Public Meeting Notice: Advisory Commission on the Rules of Practice and Procedure

ADVISORY COMMISSION ON THE RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

Date / Time: May 20, 2016 - 9:00am - 11:00am

Event Type:

Public Meeting Notices

A meeting of the Advisory Commission on the Rules of Practice and Procedure will be held on Friday, May 20, 2016, at 9:00 a.m. (CDT) at the Administrative Office of the Courts, 511 Union Street, Suite 600, Nashville, Tennessee. Members of the public are invited to attend.

For additional information on attending the meeting, or if you require an accommodation and/or have special needs because of a qualified disability, please contact:

Jeana Hendrix Assistant General Counsel (615) 741-2687

jeana.hendrix@tncourts.gov.

Administrative Office of the Courts 511 Union Street Suite 600 Nashville, TN 37219 See map: Google Maps

Calendar

Exhibit

5

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

DAN McCALEB, Executive Editor of THE CENTER SQUARE,

Plaintiff,

No. 3:22-cv-00439

v.

MICHELLE LONG, in her official capacity as DIRECTOR of TENNESSEE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS.

Defendant.

Judge Richardson

Magistrate Judge Frensley

[PROPOSED] PERMANENT INJUNCTION

Plaintiff Dan McCaleb, Executive Editor of The Center Square, having filed a First Amended Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief (ECF No. 19) against Defendant Michelle Long, in her official capacity as Director of the Tennessee Administrative Office of the Courts ("AOC"), and this Court having granted Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, entered Final Judgment, and issued this Permanent Injunction;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and all parties to this action.
- 2. Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint seeks a constitutional right of access under the First Amendment to State court rulemaking meetings of the Tennessee Advisory Commission on the Rules of Practice and Procedure ("Advisory

Commission"), created by the enabling statute, Tenn. Code Ann. § 16-3-601(a).

- 3. Specifically, Plaintiff seeks a permanent injunction ordering Defendant Long and the AOC to provide him with access to Advisory Commission meetings so he can assign reporters to report on meetings.
- 4. In support of his First Amendment right of public access claim to Advisory Commission meetings, Plaintiff relies upon the "experience and logic" test in favor of open access. *Detroit Free Press v. Ashcroft*, 303 F.3d 681, 683 (6th Cir. 2002).
- 5. The "experience and logic" test was first articulated by the United States Supreme Court in *Richmond Newspapers, Inc. v. Virginia*, 448 U.S. 555 (1980), later adopted in the majority opinion in *Globe Newspaper Co. v. Superior Ct. for Norfolk Cty.*, 457 U.S. 596, 603-06 (1982), and reaffirmed in *Press–Enterprise Co. v. Superior Court*, 464 U.S. 501, 104 (1984) (*Press–Enterprise I*) and *Press–Enterprise Co. v. Superior Court*, 478 U.S. 1 (1986) (*Press–Enterprise II*).
- 6. To further support his claim for open meetings under the "experience and logic" test, Plaintiff identifies the Tennessee Advisory Commission's federal analogue, i.e., the Judicial Conference's Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure (also called the "Standing Committee"). These Standing Committee meetings on proposed federal court rules and procedures have been open to the public and press for at least 35 years. See 28 U.S.C. § 2073(c)(1).
- 7. Under State law, the Tennessee Supreme Court appoints members to its Advisory Commission, whose duty is to make recommendations on proposed rules of practice and procedure in Tennessee courts. *See* Tenn. Code Ann. § 16-3-601(a).

- 8. Advisory Commission members appointed by the Tennessee Supreme Court are comprised of members of the Bench State court judges and Bar attorneys employed in private practice, by State government, or law school faculty.
 - 9. The Advisory Commission's meeting cadence is typically quarterly.
- 10. The Advisory Commission has authority to employ legal and clerical assistance, subject to Defendant Long's approval as AOC Director, to efficiently discharge its rulemaking duties. *See* Tenn. Code Ann. § 16- 3-601(d).
 - 11. The AOC provides administrative support to the Advisory Commission.
- 12. This administrative support by the AOC includes posting public meeting notices on its website of past Advisory Commission meetings that were open to the public and providing public access to such meetings at the AOC in Nashville.
- 13. AOC employee Michelle Consiglio-Young is currently providing administrative support to the Advisory Commission and attends its meetings as AOC liaison and Staff Contact.
- 14. On March 22, 2023, this Court concluded that Plaintiff was likely to succeed on the merits of his First Amendment claim and issued a Memorandum Opinion partially granting Plaintiff's request for a preliminary injunction and ordering the AOC under Director Long's direction to open Advisory Commission meetings to the public either by livestreaming or by allowing in-person attendance. *See* ECF No. 39, PageID ##1100-01.
- 15. The Court enters this Permanent Injunction to supplant the preliminary injunction previously issued by the Court on March 22, 2023.

- 16. Under the *Richmond Newspapers*' "experience and logic" test, this Court declares that the First Amendment right of access attaches to meetings of the Advisory Commission, created by Tenn. Code Ann. § 16-3-601(a).
- 17. Therefore, any and all Advisory Commission meetings shall be open to the public and press by livestreaming.
- 18. The AOC under Director Long's direction, as well as under the direction of any subsequent AOC Directors, shall provide public livestreaming access to any and all Advisory Commission meetings.
- 19. The AOC under Director Long's direction, as well as under the direction of any subsequent AOC Directors, shall post on its website a public meeting notice at least 30 days in advance of any and all Advisory Commission meetings.
- 20. The public meeting notice shall provide the date and time of the upcoming Advisory Commission meeting, as well as livestreaming credentials.
- 21. The public meeting notice shall further provide the name, email address, and telephone number of an assigned AOC employee for the public to contact if there are questions regarding the upcoming Advisory Commission meeting.
- 22. Under the standard articulated in *Globe Newspaper*, any action by the AOC that curtails the public's First Amendment right of access to meetings shall be supported by a showing "that denial is necessitated by a compelling governmental interest, and is narrowly tailored to serve that interest." *See* 457 U.S. at 606-07.
- 23. Moreover, "the [AOC's compelling] interest is to be articulated along with findings specific enough that a reviewing court can determine whether the closure

order was properly entered." See Press-Enter. II, 478 U.S. at 10.

- 24. Any such closure order by the AOC of a meeting in whole or in part is subject to challenge by Plaintiff McCaleb or a member of the public.
- 25. Within seven (7) days of entry by the Court, Defendant Long shall post a file-stamped copy of this Permanent Injunction on the AOC website, and it shall remain publicly posted in perpetuity.
- 26. Within seven (7) days of entry by the Court, Defendant Long shall send file-stamped copies of this Permanent Injunction via certified mail as follows:

Advisory Commission on the Rules of Practice and Procedure Attn.: Gino Bulso, Chair 155 Franklin Road, Suite 400 Brentwood, Tennessee 37027

Tennessee Supreme Court Attn.: Chief Justice Holly M. Kirby 401 7th Ave N Nashville, TN 37219

27. Any and all notices directed to Plaintiff McCaleb regarding this Permanent Injunction shall be sent as follows:

M. E. Buck Dougherty III
James McQuaid
LIBERTY JUSTICE CENTER
440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200
Chicago, Illinois 60654
bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org
jmcquaid@libertyjusticecenter.org

28. Any and all notices directed to Defendant Long regarding this Permanent Injunction shall be sent as follows:

Andrew C. Coulam Michael M. Stahl Robert W. Wilson OFFICE OF TENNESSEE ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 20207 Nashville, Tennessee 37202 andrew.coulam@ag.tn.gov michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov robert.wilson@ag.tn.gov

29. This Court retains jurisdiction over this action and the parties for the purpose of enforcing this Permanent Injunction and for granting such additional relief as may be necessary or appropriate.

It is so ORDERED , this day of	·
	United States District Court Judge
	United States District Court Judg

ENTRY CONSENTED TO:

/s/ M. E. Buck Dougherty III

M. E. Buck Dougherty III, TN BPR #022474
James McQuaid, Admitted pro hac vice
LIBERTY JUSTICE CENTER
440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200
Chicago, Illinois 60654
312-637-2280-telephone
312-263-7702-facsimile
bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org
jmcquaid@libertyjusticecenter.org

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Dan McCaleb, Executive Editor of The Center Square

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, counsel for Plaintiff Dan McCaleb, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served on the following counsel of record, via the Court's ECF system by email on this 15th day of December 2023:

JONATHAN SKRMETTI

Office of the Attorney General & Reporter Public Interest Division P.O. Box 20207 Nashville, Tennessee 37202-0207

Andrew C. Coulam Michael M. Stahl Robert W. Wison andrew.coulam@ag.tn.gov michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov robert.wilson@ag.tn.gov

Attorneys for Defendant AOC Director Michelle Long

Donna Green Cody Brandon Liz Evan donna.green@ag.tn.gov cody.brandon@ag.tn.gov liz.evan@ag.tn.gov

Attorneys for Non-Party Tennessee Supreme Court Justices

/s/ M.E. Buck Dougherty III

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

DAN McCALEB, Executive Editor of THE CENTER SQUARE,))
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No. 3:22-cv-00439
MICHELLE LONG, in her official capacity as DIRECTOR of the) Judge Richardson
TENNESSEE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS,) Magistrate Judge Frensley)
Defendant.))

RULE 56.01 STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff Dan McCaleb, Executive Editor of The Center Square, files this Rule 56.01 Statement pursuant to LR 56.01(b) in support of his Motion for Summary Judgment. This Rule 56.01 Statement is being submitted to comply with the Local Rules and provides the Court with a concise statement of the material facts as to which Plaintiff McCaleb contends there is no genuine issue for trial.

1. Defendant Michelle Long is the Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts ("AOC"). Deposition of Michelle Long ("Long Depo."), ECF No. 74-2 at p. 8, Lines 20-24.

Response

2. Defendant Long oversees the AOC. https://www.tncourts.gov/administration (last visited Dec. 13, 2023).

Response

3. The AOC provides administrative support to the Advisory Commission. Long Depo., ECF No. 74-2 at p. 61, Lines 2-5.

Response

4. After the incident in 2018 with the verbally combative man at an Advisory Commission meeting, then General Counsel Rachel Harmon told Michelle Consiglio-Young that there was no need to put public notice out because meetings were going to be closed after that incident. Deposition of Michelle Consiglio-Young, ECF No. 74-3 at p. 45, Lines 4-15.

Response

5. The AOC posted on its website a public meeting notice in advance of an Advisory Commission meeting that was going to be held on May 20, 2016. Public Meeting Notice, ECF No. 74-4.

Response

6. The federal Standing Committee and each of the Advisory Committees typically meet twice per year. https://www.uscourts.gov/rules-policies/about-rulemaking-process/open-meetings-and-hearings-rules-committee (last visited Dec. 13, 2023).

Response

7. Committee meetings are open to the public, except when a committee — in open session and with a majority present — determines that it is in the public interest to have all or part of the meeting closed and states the reason.

https://www.uscourts.gov/rules-policies/about-rulemaking-process/open-meetings-and-hearings-rules-committee (last visited Dec. 13, 2023).

Response

8. A video of the June 9, 2023, Advisory Commission meeting that was livestreamed to the public is on the TN Courts' YouTube channel. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TCCkGHybsxg.

Response

9. A video of the December 8, 2023, Advisory Commission meeting that was livestreamed to the public is on the TN Court's YouTube channel. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XHY3DFF3V2E

Response

December 15, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ M. E. Buck Dougherty III

M. E. Buck Dougherty III, TN BPR #022474
James McQuaid, *Pro Hac Vice*LIBERTY JUSTICE CENTER
440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200
Chicago, Illinois 60654
312-637-2280-telephone
312-263-7702-facsimile
bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org
jmcquaid@libertyjusticecenter.org

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Dan McCaleb, Executive Editor of The Center Square

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, counsel for Plaintiff, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served on the following counsel of record, via the Court's ECF system by email on this 15th day of December 2023:

JONATHAN SKRMETTI

Office of the Attorney General & Reporter Public Interest Division P.O. Box 20207 Nashville, Tennessee 37202-0207

Andrew C. Coulam Michael M. Stahl Robert W. Wison andrew.coulam@ag.tn.gov michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov robert.wilson@ag.tn.gov

Attorneys for Defendant AOC Director Michelle Long

Donna Green Cody Brandon Liz Evan donna.green@ag.tn.gov cody.brandon@ag.tn.gov liz.evan@ag.tn.gov

Attorneys for Non-Party Tennessee Supreme Court Justices

/s/ M.E. Buck Dougherty III

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

DAN McCALEB, Executive Editor of THE CENTER SQUARE,))
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No. 3:22-cv-00439
MICHELLE LONG, in her official capacity as DIRECTOR of the) Judge Richardson
TENNESSEE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS,) Magistrate Judge Frensley)
Defendant.)

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Respectfully submitted,

M. E. Buck Dougherty III, TN BPR #022474
James McQuaid, *Pro Hac Vice*LIBERTY JUSTICE CENTER
440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200
Chicago, Illinois 60654
312-637-2280-telephone
312-263-7702-facsimile
bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org
jmcquaid@libertyjusticecenter.org

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Dan McCaleb, Executive Editor of The Center Square

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TAB	LE OF AUTHORITIESiv
INTI	RODUCTION
STA'	TEMENT OF FACTS
A.	Plaintiff McCaleb is an experienced journalist who seeks access to Tennessee Advisory Commission state court rulemaking meetings so he can assign reporters to report on meetings
В.	Defendant Long is AOC Director, and the office she oversees provides administrative support to the Advisory Commission
C.	Michelle Consiglio-Young is the AOC liaison to the Advisory Commission 3
D.	In the past the AOC posted public notice of Advisory Commission meetings until 2018 when a member of the public breached decorum by verbally disrupting a meeting
Ε.	After this meeting decorum breach, the AOC instituted a practice of no longer posting public notice of Advisory Commission meetings, and Defendant Long has continued this practice as Director
F.	In contrast to the AOC's practice of restricting access to Tennessee Advisory Commission meetings, similar federal court rulemaking meetings have been open to the public for at least 35 years
G.	To comply with this Court's Preliminary Injunction, the AOC posted public notice in advance of the June and December 2023 meetings and provided virtual livestreaming access to these meetings
LEG	AL STANDARD
ARG	UMENT
I.	judgment because there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact that the First Amendment attaches to Advisory Commission meetings, requiring the AOC to provide public access to meetings
	A. The Eleventh Amendment is not a bar under <i>Ex parte Young</i>

	B. The First Amendment attaches under <i>Richmond Newspapers</i> , and the AOC's practice of restricting access to meetings limits the stock of information on state court rulemaking from which	
	members of the public may draw	16
	1. Under the "experience" prong, the public's right of access for at least 35 years to similar federal court rulemaking meetings counsels that the First Amendment attaches to meetings	18
	2. Under the "logic" prong, as depicted by the June and December 2023 videos, public access plays a significant positive role in the functioning of meetings.	20
II.	The AOC's practice of restricting public access to Advisory Commission meetings in the interest of maintaining meeting decorum is not a compelling governmental interest that is narrowly tailored to overcome strict scrutiny.	24
CONCLI	USION	25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	
Allied Artists Picture Corp. v. Rhodes, 679 F. 2d 656 (6th Cir. 1982)	16
Branzburg v. Hayes, 408 U.S. 665 (1972)	17
Detroit Free Press v. Ashcroft, 303 F.3d 681 (6th Cir. 2002)	17, 18
Diaz v. Mich. Dep't of Corr., 703 F.3d 956 (6th Cir. 2013)	15
Ex parte Young, 209 U.S. 123 (1908)	15
First Nat'l Bank of Bos. v. Bellotti, 435 U.S. 765 (1978)	17
Globe Newspaper Co. v. Superior Court, 457 U.S. 596 (1982)	18, 23, 24
Green v. Throckmorton, 681 F.3d 853 (6th Cir. 2012	13
In re Search of Fair Fin., 692 F.3d 424 (6th Cir. 2012)	18, 23
Press-Enterprise Co. v. Superior Court of Ca., 464 U.S. 501 (1984)	23
Press-Enterprise Company v. Superior Court, 478 U.S. 1 (1986)	18, 19
Richmond Newspapers, Inc. v. Virginia, 448 U.S. 555 (1980)	17, 18, 22
Russell v. Lundergan-Grimes, 784 F.3d 1037 (6th Cir. 2015)	15
Sandmann v. N.Y. Times Co., 78 F.4th 319 (6th Cir. 2023)	13, 20
Scott v. Harris, 550 U.S. 372 (2007)	13
SunAmerica Hous. Fund 1050 v. Pathway of Pontiac, Inc., 33 F.4th 872 (6th Cir. 2022)	13
Swint v. Chambers County Comm'n, 514 U.S. 35 (1995)	20

Top Flight Entm't, Ltd. v. Schuette, 729 F. 3d 623 (6th Cir. 2013)	16
Statutes	
28 U.S.C. § 2073	11, 19
42 U.S.C. §1983	14
Tenn. Code Ann. §16-3-601	
Tenn. Code Ann. §16-3-803	3
Rules	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 56	13

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff Dan McCaleb, Executive Editor of The Center Square, files this Memorandum of Law in support of his Motion for Summary Judgment against Defendant Michelle Long, in her official capacity as Director of the Tennessee Administrative Office of the Courts ("AOC"). The Court should grant Plaintiff's Motion and enter a permanent injunction, ordering Defendant Long to provide public access to meetings of the Advisory Commission on the Rules of Practice & Procedure ("Advisory Commission"), created by Tenn. Code Ann. §16-3-601.

Defendant Long oversees the AOC, which provides administrative support to the Advisory Commission. This support includes posting public notice of meetings on the AOC's website. In the past the AOC posted public notice on its website of upcoming Advisory Commission meetings. But a member of the public verbally disrupted a 2018 meeting. After this incident, the AOC instituted a practice of no longer posting public notice of Advisory Commission meetings, and Defendant Long has continued this practice during her tenure as Director of the AOC.

But the AOC's practice of not posting public notice to Advisory Commission meetings limits the stock of information on the state court rulemaking process from which members of the public may draw. And the First Amendment attaches to meetings under the *Richmond Newspapers*' "experience and logic" test.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. Plaintiff McCaleb is an experienced journalist who seeks access to Tennessee Advisory Commission state court rulemaking meetings so he can assign reporters to report on meetings.

Plaintiff McCaleb is an experienced journalist and the Executive Editor of The Center Square. *See* Deposition of Dan McCaleb ("McCaleb Depo."), ECF No. 74-1 at p. 11, Lines 4-22. In addition to his duties as Executive Editor, McCaleb also serves as Vice-President of News and Content for the Franklin News Foundation, a 501 (c)(3) nonprofit that publishes The Center Square. *Id.* at p. 18, Line 20 through p. 19, Line 4. The Center Square is an online news organization that focuses on government news and publishes "in the neighborhood of 70 stories a day." *Id.* at p. 22, Lines 6-13.

As an experienced journalist, McCaleb believes in open government. *Id.* at p. 11, Lines 16-18. During his 30-plus-year career, he has "attended a lot of government meetings." *Id.* at p. 15, Lines 11-18. And when he learned that Tennessee Advisory Commission meetings were closed to the public and press, he asked, "[W]hat are they hiding?" *Id.* at p. 11, Lines 16-22. McCaleb would assign reporters to report on Advisory Commission meetings if they were open to the public. *Id.* at p. 45, Lines 18-21.

B. Defendant Long is AOC Director, and the office she oversees provides administrative support to the Advisory Commission.

Defendant Long is the Director of the AOC. See Deposition of Michelle Long ("Long Depo."), ECF No. 74-2 at p. 8, Lines 20-24. Defendant Long "oversees the AOC." As AOC Director, Defendant Long is "the chief administrative officer of the state court system." Tenn. Code Ann. §16-3-803(a).

The Advisory Commission was established to recommend rules of practice and procedure in Tennessee state courts. Tenn. Code Ann. §16-3-601(a). The AOC provides administrative support to the Advisory Commission. Long Depo., ECF No. 74-2 at p. 61, Lines 2-5. And according to Defendant Long, the Advisory Commission makes specific recommendations on court rules as follows:

Q. All right. What is your understanding of the function of the Advisory Commission?

A. To recommend rule changes for practice and procedure for the various courts, criminal, civil, juvenile, appellate court, and rules of evidence.

Id. at p. 60, Line 21 through p. 61, Line 1.

C. Michelle Consiglio-Young is the AOC liaison to the Advisory Commission.

Michelle Consiglio-Young joined the AOC in 2015 and has been its liaison to the Advisory Commission since 2018. *See* Deposition of Michelle Consiglio-Young ("Consiglio-Young Depo."), ECF No. 74-3 at p. 7, Lines 18-20; p. 11, Line 25 through

¹ See https://www.tncourts.gov/administration (last visited Dec. 13, 2023).

p. 12, Line 7. The Advisory Commission's meeting cadence is quarterly. *Id.* at p. 34, Lines 4-10. The names of the appointed bench-bar individuals who comprise the current Advisory Commission may be found on the AOC's public website, and Consiglio-Young is listed as "AOC Staff Contact."

D. In the past the AOC posted public notice of Advisory Commission meetings until 2018 when a member of the public breached decorum by verbally disrupting a meeting.

When a past Advisory Commission meeting was open to the public, the AOC posted on its website advance notice inviting the public to attend the meeting.³ See May 20, 2016, Public Meeting Notice, ECF No. 74-4. A public meeting notice dating back over a decade to June of 2012, inviting the public to attend an Advisory Commission meeting, remains posted on the AOC's website.⁴

But in 2018, Consiglio-Young described an incident as follows:

Q. At what point did those Advisory Commission meetings become closed to the public?

A. I believe it was 2018.

Q. I'm sorry?

² See https://tncourts.gov/boards-commissions/boards-commissions/advisory-commission-rules-practice-procedure (last visited Dec. 12, 2023).

³ See https://www.tncourts.gov/calendar/public-meeting-notices/2016/05/20/advisory-commission-rules-practice-and-procedure (last visited Dec. 12, 2023).

⁴ See https://www.tncourts.gov/calendar/public-meeting-notices/2012/06/01/advisory-commission-rules-practice-and-procedure-meeting (last visited Dec. 12, 2023).

A. 2018. It was after I had taken over as liaison. There was -- meetings were open to the public, as far as I can recall. And there was a meeting that we had that there was a member of the public who had attended in person who was there and became unruly and combative with the Commission. And after that, the -- the Tennessee Supreme Court took the matter up for discussion and then the meetings were closed after that incident.

Q. And what -- where was this particular meeting in 2018?

A. I wish I could recall the exact date. I do believe it was 2018 and the meeting was at the Administrative Office of the Courts, it was in our conference room. And members of the public would come periodically, sometimes we didn't have any and sometimes some would request to come.

And that particular meeting there was a member of the public who attended, and he was interested in a topic that was being discussed by the Commission. And during that discussion, he was speaking kind of out of term [sic], you know, without being called on or outside of the public comment period that was allowed and essentially became very assertive with the members and -- and the meeting was stopped and he was asked to leave.

Q. Do you recall how many members of the public were at that particular meeting in 2018?

- A. I believe it was just that gentleman and his son.
- Q. Do you recall his name?
- A. I don't. I'm sorry.

- Q. When you say "combative," do you mean -- what do you mean? Was it verbal combativeness --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- or physical?

A. It was verbal. He did leave his chair -- or, you know, get up from his chair while he was having this discussion, which kind of escalated the -- the tone that was going on in there in his interaction with the members. So it -- yeah, it just became more of an aggressive action on his part. Clearly he was upset with a topic that was being discussed.

- Q. Do you recall the topic?
- A. No.
- Q. Do you recall who the chair was at that time at that meeting?
- A. I believe the chair was Allen Wade then.
- Q. Is Mr. Wade currently a member on the Advisory Commission?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Were there four quarterly meetings in 2018?
- A. Yes. As far as I remember there were.
- Q. And you were at this meeting in 2018?
- A. I was at that meeting, yes.
- Q. Who was the chief justice of the Supreme Court at that time in 2018?
- A. It was Justice Jeff Bivins at that time.

- Q. So did the Chairman Wade ask this person that was being verbal -- verbally combative to leave? Did he -- did the person leave?
- A. I don't recall who exactly asked him to leave; however, he was asked to leave. We did have to have several people help escort him out. And I can't remember if security was called at that meeting or not. I -- I do believe that building security was made aware.
- Q. Do you recall if any formal charges, criminal charges were brought against this person?
 - A. I -- I do not believe that there were formal criminal charges.
- Q. So the person that was verbally combative was never prosecuted to the best of your recollection?
 - A. Correct, I do not believe that he was.
- Q. And so, I guess, was there a member of the Tennessee Supreme Court that was attending that particular meeting?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And who was that?
 - A. It was Justice Holly Kirby.
 - Q. So Justice Kirby was the Supreme Court liaison on the Commission in 2018?
 - A. She was.
 - Q. Justice Kirby is now the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court?
 - A. Yes, she is.

Consiglio-Young Depo., ECF No. 74-3, at p. 40, Line 15 through p. 44, Line 13.

E. After this meeting decorum breach, the AOC instituted a practice of no longer posting public notice of Advisory Commission meetings, and Defendant Long has continued this practice as Director.

And Consiglio-Young further testified as follows:

Q. So you said something about the -- the justices at that point, they made the call, they made the decision to close meetings. Explain what -- explain what happened after that.

A. After the meeting where the person got combative -- and Justice Kirby was in attendance in that meeting, so she had seen it firsthand, the -- as far as I am aware, she took that matter back to the Supreme Court for discussion, and we at the AOC were told that the meetings would no longer be open after that. And that was really my interaction with that. They were -- I was informed that they would be closed.

Q. How were you told? How were the members of the Commission told that from now on they were going to be closed, the meetings?

A. I don't recall exactly. I do know that if our General Counsel Rachel Harmon at the time had told me that there was no need to put public notice out because they were going to be closed the next meeting after that incident. And I cannot recall if Justice Kirby told the members directly or if a member of our office told them that we -- that they would be closed. I just don't remember exactly.

Q. But that decision would have come from either the justices or the AOC office to the Advisory Commission?

A. One of the two, yes, would have told either the Commission as a whole or the chair and the chair would have relayed that to the Commission.

Q. So the Chair, Mr. Wade, didn't make that decision?

A. No.

Id. at p. 44, Line 14 through p. 45, Line 25.

Defendant Long was aware of the AOC's practice instituted in or around 2018 of no longer posting public notice of Advisory Commission meetings, and she has continued this practice during her tenure as AOC Director, testifying as follows:

Q. And so are meetings -- is it your understanding that Advisory Commission meetings are open or closed?

A. For this particular commission, I understand the history has been that at one point they were open and at one point they were closed.

Q. And at what point is it your understanding on the history were they open?

A. It predates me. I want to say maybe 2017, 2018, but I am not certain.

Q. What is your understanding of history wise when they became closed?

A. I don't know why they became closed.

Q. I didn't say "why," I said what is your understanding of the process of getting closed and why they became closed?

A. I don't know.

Long Depo., ECF No. 74-2, p. 106, Lines 8-25.

F. In contrast to the AOC's practice of restricting access to Tennessee Advisory Commission meetings, similar federal court rulemaking meetings have been open to the public for at least 35 years.

The federal Judicial Conference's Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure, ("Standing Committee") and its five Advisory Committees "carry on a continuous study of the operation and effect" of the federal rules as directed by the Rules Enabling Act.⁵ These Advisory Committees on Criminal, Civil, Bankruptcy, Appellate, and Evidence Rules meet and evaluate proposed recommendations to the federal rules of practice and procedure.⁶

"Each meeting must be preceded by notice of the time and place, published in the Federal Register and on the judiciary's rulemaking website, sufficiently in advance to permit interested persons to attend." The Standing Committee and each of the Advisory Committees typically meet twice per year. Committee meetings are open to the public, except when a committee — in open session and with a majority present — determines that it is in the public interest to have all or part of the meeting closed and states the reason. A calendar of upcoming scheduled meetings

⁵ See https://www.uscourts.gov/rules-policies/about-rulemaking-process/how-rulemaking-process-works (last visited Dec. 13, 2023).

⁶ *Id*.

⁷ *Id*.

⁸ See https://www.uscourts.gov/rules-policies/about-rulemaking-process/open-meetings-and-hearings-rules-committee (last visited Dec. 13, 2023).

⁹ *Id*.

through November of 2024 are posted on a public website.¹⁰ Rules Committee meetings and hearings are open to the public and held in a hybrid format "with remote attendance options whenever possible."¹¹

The federal court rulemaking meetings have been open to the public for at least 35 years when Congress enacted a reform statute known as the Judicial Improvements and Access to Justice Act of 1988, § 401(a), 28 U.S.C. § 2073 (c)(1). 12 And five years prior to the enactment of the statute, the Standing Committee instituted a number of internal changes in 1983 including making the records of the rules Committees available to the public, documenting all changes made by the Committees at various stages of the process, and conducting public hearings on proposed amendments. 13 The statute requires that "[e]ach meeting for the transaction of business under this chapter by any committee appointed under this section shall be open to the public." U.S.C. § 2073 (c)(1).

 $^{^{10}}$ *Id*.

¹¹ *Id*.

¹² The effective date of the reform statute was December 1, 1988. *See* Judicial Improvements and Access to Justice Act of 1988, § 407, *available at* https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/STATUTE-102/pdf/STATUTE-102-Pg4642.pdf.

¹³ Peter G. McCabe, Renewal of the Federal Rulemaking Process, 44 Am. U. L. Rev. 1655, n.43 (1995), available at https://www.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/mccabearticle_1.pdf.

G. To comply with this Court's Preliminary Injunction, the AOC posted public notice in advance of the June and December 2023 meetings and provided virtual livestreaming access to these meetings.

In compliance with this Court's Preliminary Injunction (ECF No. 40), the AOC posted a public meeting notice on its website in advance of the June 2023 meeting and provided virtual livestreaming access to the public. A video of the June 9, 2023, meeting is on the TN Courts' YouTube channel. Approximately six months after this meeting was livestreamed, there were 95 views of the video. And the AOC posted a public meeting notice on its website in advance of the December 2023 meeting and provided virtual livestreaming access to the public. A video of the December 8, 2023, meeting is on the TN Courts' YouTube channel. Approximately five days after livestreaming the meeting, there were 62 views of the video.

¹⁴ See https://www.tncourts.gov/calendar/public-meeting-notices/2023/06/09/advisory-commission-rules-practice-procedure (last visited Dec. 13, 2023).

¹⁵ See https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TCCkGHybsxg (last visited Dec. 13, 2023).

¹⁶ See id.

¹⁷ See https://www.tncourts.gov/calendar/public-meeting-notices/2023/12/08/advisory-commission-rules-practice-and-procedure (last visited Dec. 13, 2023).

 $^{^{18}}$ See https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XHY3DFF3V2E (last visited Dec. 13, 2023).

LEGAL STANDARD

Rule 56 provides that a court "shall grant summary judgment if the movant shows that there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact and the movant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a).

To properly support a motion, the party must cite to the record, which includes "depositions, documents, electronically stored information, affidavits or declarations, stipulations (including those made for purposes of the motion only), admissions, interrogatory answers, or other materials." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c)(1)(A). In analyzing the motion, a court must draw all reasonable inferences in favor of the nonmoving party. *SunAmerica Hous. Fund 1050 v. Pathway of Pontiac, Inc.*, 33 F.4th 872, 878 (6th Cir. 2022).

"[W]here, as here, there is 'a videotape capturing the events in question,' the court must 'view[] the facts in the light depicted by the videotape." Sandmann v. N.Y. Times Co., 78 F.4th 319, 328-29 (6th Cir. 2023) (quoting Green v. Throckmorton, 681 F.3d 853, 859 (6th Cir. 2012) (quoting Scott v. Harris, 550 U.S. 372, 378, 381 (2007)) (second alteration in Green).

ARGUMENT

I. The Court should grant Plaintiff McCaleb's motion for summary judgment because there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact that the First Amendment attaches to Advisory Commission meetings, requiring the AOC to provide public access to meetings.

The Court should grant Plaintiff McCaleb's motion for summary judgment because there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact that the First Amendment attaches to Advisory Commission meetings, requiring the AOC to provide public access to meetings. McCaleb is entitled to a permanent injunction ordering Defendant Long to provide access to Advisory Commission meetings.

Defendant Long oversees the AOC. The AOC provides administrative support to the Advisory Commission. This includes responsibility for posting on the AOC's website public notice of Advisory Commission meetings. Moreover, Consiglio-Young testified that the AOC instituted a practice of not posting public notice of Advisory Commission meetings after the 2018 incident. Defendant Long has continued this practice during her tenure as AOC Director. This practice of restricting access to meetings limits the stock of information on the state court rulemaking process from which members of the public may draw. The First Amendment attaches to meetings under *Richmond Newspapers*' "experience and logic" test. See 42 U.S.C. §1983.

First, under the "experience" prong, the public's right of access for at least 35 years to similar federal court rulemaking meetings counsels that the First Amendment attaches to Tennessee Advisory Commission meetings.

Second, under the "logic" prong, as depicted by the June and December 2023 videos, public access plays a significant positive role in the functioning of meetings.

A. The Eleventh Amendment is not a bar under *Ex parte Young*.

The Eleventh Amendment does not bar McCaleb's First Amendment right of access claim under the *Ex parte Young* doctrine and thus does not strip the Court of subject-matter jurisdiction.

"The Judicial power of the United States shall not be construed to extend to any suit in law or equity, commenced or prosecuted against one of the United States by Citizens of another State, or by Citizens or Subjects of any Foreign State." U.S. Const. amend. XI. And a suit against an official in her official capacity "is a suit against the State itself." Russell v. Lundergan-Grimes, 784 F.3d 1037, 1046 (6th Cir. 2015). But under the exception in Ex parte Young, 209 U.S. 123 (1908), Eleventh Amendment immunity does not bar claims for injunctive relief against an individual state official in her official capacity. "In order to fall within the Ex parte Young exception, a claim must seek prospective relief to end a continuing violation of federal law." Diaz v. Mich. Dep't of Corr., 703 F.3d 956, 964 (6th Cir. 2013) (internal citations omitted).

It is appropriate under *Young* to enjoin a particular state official "when there is a realistic possibility the official will take legal or administrative actions against the plaintiff's interests." *Russell v. Lundergan-Grimes*, 784 F.3d 1037, 1048 (6th Cir. 2015). The *Ex parte Young* doctrine does not require a causal connection between the deprivation and some specific action that a defendant took. Rather, "[a] plaintiff must allege facts showing how a state official is connected to, or has responsibility for, the alleged constitutional violations." *Top Flight Entm't*, *Ltd. v. Schuette*, 729 F.

3d 623, 634 (6th Cir. 2013). This requirement is satisfied where a state official has "some connection" to the unconstitutional legislation or other challenged action.

Allied Artists Picture Corp. v. Rhodes, 679 F. 2d 656, 665 n.5 (6th Cir. 1982).

Here, *Ex parte Young* applies, and the Eleventh Amendment does not bar McCaleb's First Amendment right of access claim. McCaleb is seeking access to Advisory Commission meetings so he can assign reporters to report on meetings. As discussed above, Defendant Long oversees the AOC as its Director, and the AOC provides administrative support to the Advisory Commission. This support includes responsibility for posting public notice of Advisory Commission meetings.

Indeed, at times in the past, the AOC posted on its website public notice of meetings. The AOC hosted meetings in one of its conference rooms at its headquarters in Nashville, inviting the public to attend. Then the AOC stopped posting public notice of meetings after the 2018 meeting decorum breach that Consiglio-Young described.

There is no dispute that the AOC is the governmental body responsible for posting notice of Advisory Commission meetings and providing the public with virtual livestreaming access as evidenced by the fact that *the AOC did precisely that* to comply with this Court's Preliminary Injunction.

B. The First Amendment attaches under *Richmond Newspapers*, and the AOC's practice of restricting access to meetings limits the stock of information on state court rulemaking from which members of the public may draw.

The First Amendment right of access attaches to Tennessee Advisory

Commission meetings under *Richmond Newspapers* and its progeny, and the AOC's

practice of restricting access limits the stock of information on the state court rulemaking process from which members of the public may draw.

The U.S. Supreme Court said, "People in an open society do not demand infallibility from their institutions, but it is difficult for them to accept what they are prohibited from observing." *Richmond Newspapers, Inc. v. Virginia*, 448 U.S. 555, 572 (1980). And it emphasized a core purpose of the First Amendment relates "to the functioning of government." *Id. at* 575. It has further recognized that, "without some protection for seeking out the news, freedom of the press could be eviscerated." *Branzburg* v. *Hayes*, 408 U.S. 665, 681 (1972). Moreover, the Supreme Court held that the First Amendment "goes beyond protection of the press and the self-expression of individuals to prohibit government from limiting the stock of information from which members of the public may draw." *First Nat'l Bank of Bos. v. Bellotti*, 435 U.S. 765, 783 (1978).

To determine whether the First Amendment right of access attaches to a proceeding in question — in this case Advisory Commission meetings — courts apply the two-part "experience and logic" test discussed in the concurrence in *Richmond Newspapers. See* 448 U.S. at 589; *see also Detroit Free Press v. Ashcroft*, 303 F.3d 681, 683 (6th Cir. 2002). The Sixth Circuit explained that the "experience and logic" test has broad and general application and has been extended to various non-adversarial proceedings. For example, the test has been applied "to determine whether there is a right of access to civil trials, administrative hearings,

deportation proceedings, and municipal planning meetings." In re Search of Fair Fin., 692 F.3d 424, 429 (6th Cir. 2012) (emphasis added).

First, a court looks to a similar proceeding to see if it historically has been open to the public because "a tradition of accessibility implies the favorable judgment of experience." *Richmond Newspapers*, 448 U.S. at 589. Second, a court determines "whether public access plays a significant positive role in the functioning of the particular process in question." *Press-Enterprise Company v. Superior Court*, 478 U.S. 1, 8-9 (1986) ("*Press-Enter. II*"); *Globe Newspaper Co. v. Superior Court*, 457 U.S. 596, 605 (1982); *see also Richmond Newspapers* 448 U.S. at 589.

1. Under the "experience" prong, the public's right of access for at least 35 years to similar federal court rulemaking meetings counsels that the First Amendment attaches to meetings.

Under the "experience" prong, the public's right of access for at least 35 years to similar federal court rulemaking meetings counsels that the First Amendment attaches to meetings.

The Sixth Circuit is not rigid or formulaic in determining an adequate passage of time to confer tradition under the "experience" prong and has noted that "a brief historical tradition might be sufficient to establish a First Amendment right of access where the beneficial effects of access to that process are overwhelming and uncontradicted." *Detroit Free Press*, 303 F.3d at 701. In looking at similar proceedings, courts "should look to proceedings that are similar in *form and substance*." *Id.* at 702. (emphasis added). "Substantively, [courts] look to other proceedings that have the same effect" when deciding if the First Amendment

attaches to the proceeding in question. *Id*. As the Sixth Circuit explained in paraphrasing the Supreme Court, it's the "walk, talk, and squawk" approach when making a comparative analysis. *Id*.

History. In terms of history, as discussed 35 years ago Congress enacted the Judicial Improvements and Access to Justice Act of 1988, § 401(a), 28 U.S.C. § 2073(c)(1). The relevant provision of the statute provided public access to various Advisory Committee meetings, the federal analogue to Tennessee's Advisory Commission. In other words, federal court rulemaking meetings have been open to the public pursuant to statute for at least 35 years. And as further discussed, before Congress formally enacted the Access to Justice statute, in 1983 the Standing Committee instituted several internal changes that had the effect of enhancing public access to federal court rulemaking. Those internal changes adopted in 1983 include making the records of the federal rules Committees available to the public, documenting all changes made by the Committees at various stages of the process, and conducting public hearings on proposed amendments. Thus, Standing Committee hearings on proposed federal court rule changes have been open to the public for 40 years.

Form. In terms of form, the Advisory Committees are comprised of members of both the bench and bar, just like Tennessee's Advisory Commission. The Supreme Court has noted this particular "bench-bar" distinction in one case explaining that its "rulemaking authority is constrained by §§ 2073 and 2074, which require, among other things, that meetings of bench-bar committees established to recommend

rules ordinarily be open to the public, § 2073(c)(1), and that any proposed rule be submitted to Congress before the rule takes effect, § 2074(a)." Swint v. Chambers County Comm'n, 514 U.S. 35, 48 (1995).

Substance. In terms of substance, the federal Advisory Committees are also virtually identical to Tennessee's Advisory Commission in the areas of court rules considered. For example, both the Advisory Committees and Tennessee's Advisory Commission meet to make recommendations on proposed changes to the practice and procedure of Criminal, Civil, Appellate, and Evidence Rules. The only difference is the federal Advisory Committees also consider proposed changes to the Bankruptcy Rules because that practice area is governed by federal law, and the Tennessee Advisory Commission makes recommendations regarding Juvenile Rules because that practice area is governed by state law.

2. Under the "logic" prong, as depicted by the June and December 2023 videos, public access plays a significant positive role in the functioning of meetings.

Under the "logic" prong, as depicted by the June and December 2023 videos, public access plays a significant positive role in the functioning of meetings.

The Sixth Circuit recently granted summary judgment in favor of news organizations in a high-profile defamation case that had First Amendment implications by viewing the facts in the light depicted by video. See generally Sandmann, 78 F.4th 319. And this Court should do so here as well under the "logic" prong of Richmond Newspapers by analyzing video on the TN Courts' YouTube channel of the June and December 2023 Advisory Commission meetings.

June 9, 2023, Advisory Commission Meeting. 19 All of the members participated via Zoom and the meeting was approximately 52 minutes in length. Chairman Bulso began the meeting by welcoming and recognizing new members recently appointed by the Tennessee Supreme Court to the Advisory Commission. 20 This included a new member from the bench, Judge Jennifer Smith of Davidson County, and at least one new member from the bar, Donald Capparella of Nashville. 21 Chairman Bulso then turned to Supreme Court Justice Sharon Lee, who gave some remarks. 22 Roll was called, and Chairman Bulso determined there was a quorum. 23

The first order of business was for the members to approve the minutes of the previous two meetings. A vote was taken, and the minutes were approved.²⁴ The first action item was regarding discussion on proposed amendments to Rule 43.01 of the Tennessee Rules of Civil Procedure.²⁵

The members engaged in thoughtful, open, and candid discussion on the work the various subcommittees had been involved in, and the meeting overall was conducted in a professional manner and proper decorum was displayed at all times.

¹⁹ See https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TCCkGHybsxg.

²⁰ See id. beginning at :23.

 $^{^{21}}$ *Id*.

 $^{^{22}}$ See id. beginning at 1:05.

²³ See id. beginning at 2:10.

²⁴ See id. beginning at 3:01.

 $^{^{25}}$ See id. beginning at 3:51.

December 8, 2023, Advisory Commission Meeting. 26 All of the members participated via Zoom, and the meeting was approximately 1 hour and 17 minutes in length. Chairman Bulso conducted the meeting in similar professional fashion as the June meeting. The first action item was a proposal to amend Rule 22 of the Tennessee Appellate Rules of Procedure, and a spirited discussion ensued, with various members weighing in with frank, candid, and thoughtful comments. 27

Here, as depicted by the June and December 2023 videos, public access plays a significant positive role in the functioning of Tennessee's Advisory Commission meetings.

First, public access acts as a check on the actions of the state judiciary by assuring citizens that state court proceedings will be conducted fairly and properly. See Richmond Newspapers, 448 U.S. at 569 (noting that public access assures that proceedings are conducted fairly and discourages decisions based on secret bias or partiality). In an area such as making recommendations on state court rules, the public and press serve as perhaps the only check on abusive government practices regarding proposed court rules of practice and procedure. For example, the criminal rules of procedure are of significant consequence in the administration of justice. And this check encourages the promulgation of better and more enlightened court rules.

²⁶ See https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XHY3DFF3V2E.

 $^{^{27}}$ See id. beginning at 6:44.

Second, public access helps ensure that "the individual citizen can effectively participate in and contribute to our republican system of self-government." *Globe Newspaper*, 457 U.S. at 604. "[A] major purpose of [the First Amendment] was to protect the free discussion of governmental affairs." *Id.* Public access to Advisory Commission meetings helps inform the public of the affairs of state government including its judiciary and the state court rulemaking process.

Third, openness enhances transparency and the public's perception of integrity and fairness. Confidence in the state court system increases with open access to meetings because the perception is "that established procedures are being followed and that deviations will become known." See Press-Enterprise Co. v. Superior Court of Ca., 464 U.S. 501, 508 (1984).

Fourth, unlike a situation involving sensitive information like in *In re Search of Fair Finance*, 692 F.3d at 433, there are no potential harms from opening Advisory Commission meetings because state court rules of practice and procedure are contained within the public domain.

Finally, videos of the two Advisory Commission meetings that were livestreamed to the public in June and December reflect members engaging in candid and open dialogue in their attempt to fashion better state court rules. Just like members in open federal court rulemaking meetings have been doing for nearly 40 years.

II. The AOC's practice of restricting public access to Advisory Commission meetings in the interest of maintaining meeting decorum is not a compelling governmental interest that is narrowly tailored to overcome strict scrutiny.

The AOC's practice of restricting public access to Advisory Commission meetings in the interest of maintaining meeting decorum is not a compelling governmental interest that is narrowly tailored to overcome strict scrutiny.

Under *Globe Newspaper*, strict scrutiny applies to a government action that curtails a First Amendment right of access, and it must be supported by a showing "that denial is necessitated by a compelling governmental interest, and is narrowly tailored to serve that interest." 457 U.S. at 606-07.

To be sure, proper etiquette and decorum are important when it comes to the public observing a government function such as an Advisory Commission meeting. But maintaining proper meeting decorum is not a compelling governmental interest here, particularly since Consiglio-Young testified that the 2018 incident did not involve any physical acts toward members. Instead, that meeting decorum breach by a member of the public was entirely "verbal."

Moreover, while removing the individual from the AOC's conference room in 2018 may have been appropriate, completely closing meetings to the public was not a narrowly tailored response. And now with the AOC's utilization of Zoom and livestreaming, which allows the public to observe *virtually*, a potential meeting decorum breach is not even an issue.

CONCLUSION

For these reasons, Plaintiff McCaleb requests that the Court grant his Motion for Summary Judgment and enter a permanent injunction, ordering Defendant Long and the AOC to provide public access to meetings of the Advisory Commission.

December 15, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ M. E. Buck Dougherty III
M. E. Buck Dougherty III, TN BPR #022474
James McQuaid, Pro Hac Vice
LIBERTY JUSTICE CENTER
440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200
Chicago, Illinois 60654
312-637-2280-telephone
312-263-7702-facsimile
bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org
jmcquaid@libertyjusticecenter.org

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Dan McCaleb, Executive Editor of The Center Square

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, counsel for Plaintiff Dan McCaleb, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served on the following counsel of record, via the Court's ECF system by email on this 15th day of December 2023:

JONATHAN SKRMETTI

Office of the Attorney General & Reporter Public Interest Division P.O. Box 20207 Nashville, Tennessee 37202-0207

Andrew C. Coulam Michael M. Stahl Robert W. Wison andrew.coulam@ag.tn.gov michael.stahl@ag.tn.gov robert.wilson@ag.tn.gov

Attorneys for Defendant AOC Director Michelle Long

Donna Green Cody Brandon Liz Evan donna.green@ag.tn.gov cody.brandon@ag.tn.gov liz.evan@ag.tn.gov

Attorneys for Non-Party Tennessee Supreme Court Justices

/s/ M.E. Buck Dougherty III