	Case 3:22-cv-00737-CRB Document 9	9 Filed 10/28/22 Page 1 of 4	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	TYLER BURSCH, LLP Robert Tyler (STATE BAR NO. 179572) rtyler@tylerbursch.com Nada Higuera (STATE BAR NO. 299819) nhiguera@tylerbursch.com 25026 Las Brisas Road Murrieta, California 92562 Telephone: 951-600-2733 Facsimile: 951-600-2733 Facsimile: 951-600-4996 LIBERTY JUSTICE CENTER Daniel Suhr, pro hac vice admitted dsuhr@libertyjusticecenter.org M.E. Buck Dougherty III, pro hac vice admitted bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org James McQuaid, pro hac vice admitted jmcquaid@libertyjusticecenter.org 440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, Illinois 60654 Telephone: 312-637-2280		
	Facsimile: 312-263-7702 Attorneys for Plaintiff Justin Hart		
14 15	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT	
16	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
18	JUSTIN HART,		
19	Plaintiff,	Case No. 3:22-cv-00737-CRB	
20	v.		
21	META PLATFORMS, INC., f/k/a Facebook,	NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF	
22	Inc.; TWITTER, INC.; VIVEK MURTHY in his official capacity as United States Surgeon	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO RULE 15	
23	General; and JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR. in his		
24	official capacity as President of the United States,	Judge: Hon. Charles C. Breyer Date: December 16, 2022	
25	Defendants.	Time: 10:00 AM Ctrm: Courtroom 6	
26	Derendants.	Ctrm: Courtroom 6	
27		Action Filed: August 31, 2021 Trial Date: None	
28			
	Case No. 3:22-cv-00737-CRB       1         NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND       COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO RULE 15		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

25

Plaintiff, Justin Hart, respectfully submits this Notice of Supplemental Authority in support of his Rule 15 motion to amend his complaint filed on October 20, 2022 ("Motion"). ECF No. 98. Plaintiff's Motion included his proposed First Amended Complaint (ECF No. 98-1) and supporting exhibits (ECF No. 98-2). Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint is based on information obtained in response to his FOIA requests and claim in this case, as well as publicly available information and contemporaneous FOIA responses in other similar pending cases (the "New Information"). See ECF No. 98, p. 3.

8 One of these other similar cases is State of Missouri v. Biden, Case No. 3:22-cv-01213-9 TAD-KDM, in the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana, Monroe Division. On October 21, 2022, one day after Plaintiff filed his Motion in this case, 10 that court issued a 28-page Memorandum Order Regarding Witness Depositions ("Order"). A copy of the Order and Supplemental Authority is attached as *Exhibit 1*.

13 In the Order, District Judge Terry A. Doughty explained that plaintiffs' claims involve allegations of collusion between the federal government and private social media 14 15 companies to suppress disfavored views and content on social media platforms by labeling such content "dis-information," "mis-information," and "mal-information." *Exhibit 1*, p. 1. 16 17 The court further determined that expedited discovery and depositions were appropriate 18 for 10 witnesses. Three of the witnesses to be deposed as set forth in the Order are either 19 parties in this case or play a prominent role in the New Information and other factual evidence put forth by Plaintiff in his Motion and First Amended Complaint. See generally 20 21 Exhibit 1.

22 The three individuals and witnesses relevant to this case with Judge Doughty's analysis 23 as to why they should submit to depositions and expedited discovery in *State of Missouri v*. 24 *Biden* are as follows:

## 1. Jennifer Psaki – Former White House Press Secretary

26 The court noted that Psaki had made a series of public statements at press conferences 27 in her former role as Press Secretary. Judge Doughty found that Psaki had publicly spoken of pressuring social media companies to censor disfavored views related to COVID-19 Case No. 3:22-cv-00737-CRB 28 NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO RULE 15

misinformation. In ordering her to submit to a deposition, the Court found that "Psaki has
made a number of statements that are relevant to the Government's involvement in a
number of social-media platforms' efforts to censor its users across the board for sharing
information related to COVID-19." *Exhibit 1*, p. 18. Psaki's involvement in Defendants'
violation of Plaintiff's First Amendment rights in this case is discussed in paragraphs 5761 and 79 of the proposed Amended Complaint, ECF No. 98-1.

## 2. Dr. Vivek Murthy - Surgeon General

The court found that Dr. Murthy, a named defendant in this case, had publicly criticized "tech companies" by asserting that they are responsible for COVID-19 deaths due to their failure to censor "misinformation." And that Dr. Murthy also engaged in communications with high-level Facebook executives about the "demand" for greater censorship of COVID-19 "misinformation." Judge Doughty determined that Dr. Murthy's actions went beyond the scope of his rank as Surgeon General. In ordering his deposition, the court found that "Dr. Murthy made public statements about how the [social] media companies' failure to censor its users related in COVID-19 deaths." *Exhibit 1*, p. 22-24.

## 3. Carol Y. Crawford – CDC's Chief of the Digital Media Branch

The court addressed Crawford's organization of "Be on the Lookout" ("BOLO") meetings, which were essentially meetings that attempted to "quell the spread of misinformation" related to COVID-19. In ordering her deposition, the court found that "Crawford organized meetings and engaged in a number of communications with social-media officials, and the contents of those meetings and communications are highly important for the issues presented by this case." *Exhibit 1*, p. 24-25. Crawford's involvement in Defendants' violation of Plaintiff's First Amendment rights is discussed in paragraphs 34-37, 41, 46, and 71 of the proposed Amended Complaint, ECF No. 98-1, and Exhibits 1, 2, 4, 7, and 10 thereto (ECF No. 98-2).

Case No. 3:22-cv-00737-CRB 3 NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO RULE 15

	Case 3:22-cv-00737-CRB Document 99 Filed 10/28/22 Page 4 of 4	
1		
2	Dated: October 28, 2022 Respectfully submitted,	
3	s/ Daniel Suhr	
4	Daniel Suhr, pro hac vice admitted	
5	dsuhr@libertyjusticecenter.org M.E. Buck Dougherty III, pro hac vice admitted	
6	bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org James McQuaid, pro hac vice admitted	
7	jmcquaid@libertyjusticecenter.org LIBERTY JUSTICE CENTER	
8	440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200 Chicago, Illinois 60654	
9	Telephone: 312-637-2280	
10	Facsimile: 312-263-7702	
11 12	Robert Tyler (STATE BAR NO. 179572)	
12	rtyler@tylerbursch.com	
13	Nada Higuera (STATE BAR NO. 299819) nhiguera@tylerbursch.com	
15	TYLER BURSCH, LLP 25026 Las Brisas Road	
16	Murrieta, California 92562 Telephone: 951-600-2733	
17	Facsimile: 951-600-4996	
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff Justin Hart	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	Case No. 3:22-cv-00737-CRB 4	
	NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO RULE 15	
1		