	)
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA	IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE
COUNTY OF WAKE WAKE CO., C TAMIKA WALKER KELLY, KRISTY	<b>2: 24</b> SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION 20 CVS 8346 <b>.5.C.</b>
MOORE, AMANDA HOWELL, KATE	State and the second
MEININGER, ELIZABETH MEININGER,	
JOHN SHERRY, and RIVCA RACHEL SANOGUEIRA,	
Plaintiffs,	
v.	
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA and NORTH CAROLINA STATE EDUCATION ASSISTANCE AUTHORITY, Defendants,	ORDER ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO AMEND
PHILIP E. BERGER, in his official capacity	TO AMEND
as President Pro Tempore of the North Carolina Senate, and TIMOTHY K.	
MOORE, in his official capacity as Speaker	
of the North Carolina House of	
<i>Representatives</i> , Intervenor-Legislative Defendants,	
JANET NUNN, CHRISTOPHER and NICHOLE PEEDIN, and KATRINIA POWERS, Intervenor-Defendants.	

THIS MATTER came before the Court upon Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend the Pleadings

filed June 21, 2021.

Section 1-294 of the North Carolina General Statutes states that "[w]hen an appeal is

perfected . . . it stays all further proceedings in the court below upon the judgment appealed

from, or upon the matter embraced therein." N.C.G.S. § 1-294.

This Court finds that Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend presents a matter that is embraced

within the May 7, 2021, order, signed by Judge G. Bryan Collins, Jr., denying State and

Legislative Defendants' respective motions for transfer to a three-judge panel. That order found that the complaint presents only as-applied constitutional challenges, as opposed to facial challenges. Plaintiffs' Motion seeks to amend the language in the complaint that was largely at issue before the Court and is now the subject of Defendants' appeal of that order.

"An appeal is not perfected until it is docketed in the appellate court, but when it is docketed, the perfection relates back to the time of notice of appeal, so any proceedings in the trial court after the notice of appeal are void for lack of jurisdiction." *Ponder v. Ponder*, 247 N.C. App. 301, 305, 786 S.E.2d 44, 47 (2016). While the current appeal of the May 7, 2021 Order has not been perfected, the stay will relate back to the notice of appeal. For all intents and purposes, N.C.G.S. § 1-294 applies now.

As such, the Court concludes that Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend is stayed pursuant to N.C.G.S. § 1-294.

For the foregoing reasons, this Court declines to rule on Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend the Pleadings at this time. Plaintiffs may renew their motion following the disposition of Defendants' appeal on the merits or a determination by the Court of Appeals that the May 7, 2021 Order is not immediately appealable, and that appeal is thereby dismissed.

This the 29 day of July, 2021.

Honorable A. Graham Shirley Superior Court Judge Presiding

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served on the persons indicated

below via e-mail transmission addressed as follows:

Paul E. Smith Burton Craige Narendra K. Ghosh Trisha S. Pande Christopher A. Brook PATTERSON HARKAVY, LLP PSmith@pathlaw.com BCraige@pathlaw.com NGhosh@pathlaw.com TPande@pathlaw.com CBrook@pathlaw.com *Counsel for Plaintiffs* 

Tamika L. Henderson Laura McHenry N.C. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE tlhenderson@ncdoj.gov Imchenry@ncdoj.gov *Counsel for State Defendants* 

Matthew F. Tilley Russ Ferguson WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US), LLP Matthew.tilley@wbd-us.com Russ.ferguson@wbd-us.com Counsel for Legislative Intervenor-Defendants

John E. Branch, III Andrew D. Brown NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP John.branch@nelsonmullins.com Andrew.brown@nelsonmullins.com Counsel for Parent Intervenor-Defendants

This the 28<sup>th</sup> day of July 2021.

Kellie Z. Myers Trial Court Administrator – 10<sup>th</sup> Judicial District kellie.z.myers@nccourts.org

Service is made upon local counsel for all attorneys who have been granted pro hac vice admission, with the same effect as if personally made on a foreign attorney within this state.