STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF WAKE

IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION 20-CVS-8346

2020 NOV 15 A 10: 56

TAMIKA WALTER KELLY, KRISTY MOORE, AMANDA HOWELL, KATE MEININGER, ELIZABETH MEININGER, JOHN SHERRY, AND RIVCA RACHEL SANOGUEIRA,

PLAINTIFFS,

v.
THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA,

NORTH CAROLINA STATE EDUCATION ASSISTANCE AUTHORITY,

DEFENDANTS, and

PHILIP E. BERGER in his official capacity as President Pro Tempore of the North Carolina Senate, and TIMOTHY K. MOORE in his official capacity as Speaker of the North Carolina House of Representatives,

INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS. LEGISLATIVE
INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS'
RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION TO STAY

Legislative Intervenor-Defendants, Philip E. Berger, in his official capacity as President Pro Tempore of the North Carolina Senate, and Timothy K. Moore, in his official capacity as Speaker of the North Carolina House of Representatives ("Legislative Intervenor-Defendants"), submit this response to the Motion For Clarification or in the Alternative Motion to Stay of the

Nunn Intervenor-Defendants filed on October 23, 2020. The Legislative Intervenor-Defendants respond as follows:

The Legislative Intervenor Defendants are in agreement with the Nunn Intervenor-Defendants that all proceedings in this case should be stayed pending the outcome of their appeal of this Court's order denying the Nunn Intervenor-Defendants' Motion to Intervene. As the motion explains, N.C. Gen. Stat. § 1-294 provides that "[w]hen an appeal is perfected as provided by this Article it stays all further proceedings in the court below upon the judgment appealed from, or upon the matter embraced therein, unless otherwise provided by the Rules of Appellate Procedure." Since the Nunn Intervenor-Defendants properly and timely appealed this Court's order denying them intervention, this case should, therefore, be stayed until that appeal is resolved.

Furthermore, even if this Court were to conclude that an automatic stay is not required under these circumstances by N.C. Gen. Stat. § 1-294, the Legislative Intervenor-Defendants submit that this Court should exercise its discretion to stay the case pending the resolution of the appeal to promote judicial economy and the interest of justice in the case. The Legislative Intervenor-Defendants believe that the Nunn Intervenor-Defendants represent the substantial interests of those parents whose children benefit from the Opportunity Scholarship Program. Were the case to proceed in parallel with the Nunn appeal, the Court and the other parties would be deprived of this substantial unrepresented interest. Moreover, if the case is not stayed, the Nunn Intervenor-Defendants could be prejudiced by an inability to participate in ongoing proceedings, including motions practice and discovery, which would carry-on without the important voice of the parents whose children are served by the Program. Finally, it promotes judicial economy to have a final ruling on which parties are parties to the case before entering into other motions practice. If the Nunn Intervenor-Defendants are allowed into the case at a later date, they might

bring motions to undo this Court's actions taken in the meantime, and that would not be a good use of this Court's time or of the time of the other parties in the case.

For the above stated reasons, the Legislative Intervenor-Defendants submit that the Court should grant the Motion of the Nunn Intervenor-Defendants and stay further proceedings in this case pending the resolution of their appeal.

This is the 16th day of November, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

Matthew F. Tilley (NC No. 40125)

matthew.tilley@wbd-us.com

Russ Ferguson (NC No. 39671)

russ.ferguson@wbd-us.com

WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP

One Wells Fargo Center, Suite 3500

301 S. College Street

Charlotte, North Carolina 28202-6037

Phone: 704-350-6361

Brian K. Kelsey (TN No. 022874)*

bkelsey@libertyjusticecenter.org

Daniel R. Suhr (WI No. 1056658)*

dsuhr@libertyjusticecenter.org

LIBERTY JUSTICE CENTER

190 S. Lasalle Street, Suite 1500

Chicago, Illinois 60603

Phone: 312-263-7668

*Pro Hac Vice motions granted

Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendants
Philip E. Berger and Timothy K. Moore

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing document has been sent to the attorneys listed below by depositing the same in the First Class mail, postage prepaid, at the following addresses and by sending an e-mail to the e-mail addresses below on this 16th day of November, 2020.

Burton Craige, NC State Bar No. 9180 bcraige@pathlaw.com Paul E. Smith, NC State Bar No. 45014 psmith@pathlaw.com Narendra K. Ghosh, NC State Bar No. 37649 nghosh@pathlaw.com Trisha S. Pande, NC Bar No. 53573 tpande@pathlaw.com 100 Europa Dr., Suite 420 Chapel Hill, NC 27517 Tel: 919.942.5200 Fax: 866.397.8671

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Joshua H. Stein
Attorney General
Laura H. McHenry
Special Deputy Attorney General
Imchenry@ncdoj.gov
Tamika L. Henderson
Special Deputy Attorney General
thenderson@ncdoj.gov
North Carolina Department of Justice
P.O. Box 629
Raleigh, NC 27602
Phone: (919) 716-6900
Fax: (919) 716-6763

Attorneys for Defendants

John Branch, III, NCSB # 32598 128 E. Hargett Street, Suite 300 Raleigh, NC 27601 Tel: (919) 856-9494 Fax: (919) 856-9499 jbranch@shanahanlawgtoup.com

Timothy Keller (AZ Bar No. 019844)*
Institute for Justice 398 S. Mill Avenue, Suite 301
Tempe, AZ 85281
Tel: (480) 557-8300
Fax: (480) 557-8305
tkeller@ij.org

Ari Bargil (FL Bar No. 71454)x
2 South Biscayne Blvd., Suite 3180
Miami, FL 33131
Tel: (305) 721-1600
Fax: (305) 121-1601
abargil@ij.org
Marie Miller (IN Bar No. 34591-53)*
Institute for Justice
901 N. Glebe Road, Suite 900
Arlington, VA 22203-1854
Tel: (703) 682-9320
Fax: (703) 682-9321
mmiller@ij.org

Attorneys for Nunn Proposed Intervenor- Defendants

