STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF WAKE

IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION

2020 (1: 3 | 20-CVS-8346

,	
PLAINTIFFS,)	
v.)	
THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, NORTH CAROLINA STATE AS OF RIGHT EDUCATION ASSISTANCE N.C. R. Civ. P. 24(c) AUTHORITY,	<u>ON</u>
DEFENDANTS, and)	
PHILIP E. BERGER in his official) capacity as President Pro Tempore of) the North Carolina Senate, and) TIMOTHY K. MOORE in his official) capacity as Speaker of the North) Carolina House of Representatives,)	
INTERVENOR-) DEFENDANTS.)	

Notifying Intervenor-Defendants, Philip E. Berger, in his official capacity as President Pro Tempore of the North Carolina Senate, and Timothy K. Moore, in his official capacity as Speaker of the North Carolina House of Representatives, hereby give notice of their intervention in this matter under N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 1-72.2(b) and N.C. Rule of Civil Procedure 24(c).

In support of this notice, President Pro Tempore Berger and Speaker Moore show that they are entitled to intervene as of right as follows:

- 1. "It is the public policy of the State of North Carolina that in any action in any North Carolina State court in which the validity or constitutionality of an act of the General Assembly is challenged, the General Assembly, jointly through the Speaker of the House of Representatives and the President Pro Tempore of the Senate, constitutes the legislative branch of the State of North Carolina and the Governor constitutes the executive branch of the State of North Carolina, and when the State of North Carolina is named as a defendant in such cases, both the General Assembly and the Governor constitute the State of North Carolina." N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 1-72.2(a).
- 2. In light of this public policy, the N.C. Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure state that "[t]he Speaker of the House of Representatives and the President Pro Tempore of the Senate, as agents of the State through the General Assembly, must be joined as defendants in any civil action challenging the validity of a North Carolina statute or provision of the North Carolina Constitution under State or federal law." *Id.* § 1A-1, R. 19(d); *see also id.* § 120-32.6 ("Whenever the validity or constitutionality of an act of the General Assembly or a provision of the Constitution of North Carolina is the subject of an action in any State or federal court, the Speaker of the House of Representatives and the President Pro Tempore of the Senate, as agents of the State through the General Assembly, shall be necessary parties"). As described below, this is just such an action.
- 3. The State empowers low-income North Carolina children and their families with Opportunity Scholarships to allow them to select the school that best fits their unique needs.

- 4. The Opportunity Scholarship Program was first enacted in 2013. See N.C. Gen. Stat. 115C-562.1-562.8. It was upheld by the North Carolina Supreme Court in Hart v. State, 368 N.C. 122, 774 S.E.2d 281 (2015), and Richardson v. State, 368 N.C. 158, 774 S.E.2d 304 (2015).
- 5. Despite the fact that the North Carolina Supreme Court had already validated the constitutionality of the Opportunity Scholarship Program, Plaintiffs filed this action on July 27, 2020, challenging the Program's constitutionality again.
- 6. In particular, Plaintiffs allege in their Complaint that the Opportunity Scholarship Program violates Article I, Sections 13, 15, and 19; and Article V, Sections 2(1) and 2(7). Compl. at 34-38. In their prayer for relief, the Plaintiffs ask the Court to declare the entire program unconstitutional and enjoin any further scholarships, disbursements, or appropriations to the Program. (Compl. at 38.)
- 7. Plaintiffs here have ignored the clear command of N.C. Rule of Civil Procedure 19(d) because they "challeng[e] the validity of a North Carolina statute . . . under State . . . law," id., and yet failed to join President Pro Tempore Berger and Speaker Moore as agents of the State on behalf of the General Assembly. See also N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 120-32.6. As Plaintiffs' prayer for relief states, they "request that the Court declare that the Program as implemented is unconstitutional under the North Carolina Constitution." (Compl. at 38.)
- 8. Plaintiffs' action unquestionably seeks to call into doubt the constitutionality of the Opportunity Scholarship Program, as enacted by the General Assembly. Plaintiffs were, thus, required under Rule 19(d) and Section 120-32.6 to join President Pro Tempore Berger and Speaker Moore as defendants. North Carolina law anticipates such failures and allows the General Assembly to represent its interests, nonetheless: "The Speaker of the House of Representatives and the President Pro Tempore of the Senate, as agents of the State, by and through counsel of

their choice, including private counsel, shall jointly have standing to intervene on behalf of the General Assembly as a party in any judicial proceeding challenging a North Carolina statute or provision of the North Carolina Constitution." N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 1-72.2(b).

9. Because Plaintiffs did not join President Pro Tempore Berger and Speaker Moore as defendants to this action, Notifying Intervenor-Defendants now exercise their right under Section 1-72.2(b) "to intervene on behalf of the General Assembly as a party in [this] judicial proceeding challenging a North Carolina statute."

10. North Carolina law dictates that President Pro Tempore Berger and Speaker Moore's intervention "shall be effected upon the filing of a notice of intervention of right in the trial . . . court in which the matter is pending." N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 1-72.2(b); see id. § 1A-1, R. 24(c). Through this filing, Notifying Intervenor-Defendants, hereby, give the Court notice of their intervention as of right.

WHEREFORE, Notifying Intervenor-Defendants request that this Court give effect to their notice of intervention as of right.

This is the 26th day of October, 2020.

Respectfully submitted

Matthew F. Tilley (MC No. 40125)

matthew.tilley@wbd-us.com

Russ Ferguson (NC No. 39671)

russ.ferguson@wbd-us.com

WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP

One Wells Fargo Center, Suite 3500

301 South College Street

Charlotte, North Carolina 28202-6037

Phone: 704-350-6361

Brian K. Kelsey (TN No. 022874)* bkelsey@libertyjusticecenter.org Daniel R. Suhr (WI No. 1056658)* dsuhr@libertyjusticecenter.org LIBERTY JUSTICE CENTER 190 S. Lasalle Street, Suite 1500 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Phone: 312-263-7668 *Pro Hac Vice Motion to Be Filed

Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendants
Philip E. Berger and Timothy K. Moore

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing document has been sent to the attorneys listed below by depositing the same in the First Class mail, postage prepaid, at the following addresses and by sending an e-mail to the e-mail addresses below on this 26th day of October, 2020.

Burton Craige, NC State Bar No. 9180 bcraige@pathlaw.com Paul E. Smith, NC State Bar No. 45014 psmith@pathlaw.com Narendra K. Ghosh, NC State Bar No. 37649 nghosh@pathlaw.com Trisha S. Pande, NC Bar No. 53573 tpande@pathlaw.com 100 Europa Dr., Suite 420 Chapel Hill, NC 27517 Tel: 919.942.5200 Fax: 866.397.8671

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Joshua H. Stein
Attorney General
Laura H. McHenry
Special Deputy Attorney General
Imchenry@ncdoj.gov
Tamika L. Henderson
Special Deputy Attorney General
thenderson@ncdoj.gov
North Carolina Department of Justice
P.O. Box 629
Raleigh, NC 27602
Phone: (919) 716-6900

Attorneys for Defendants

Fax: (919) 716-6763

John Branch, III, NCSB # 32598 128 E. Hargett Street, Suite 300 Raleigh, NC 27601 Tel: (919) 856-9494 Fax: (919) 856-9499 jbranch@shanahanlawgtoup.com

Timothy Keller (AZ Bar No. 019844)*
Institute for Justice
398 S. Mill Avenue, Suite 301
Tempe, AZ85281
Tel: (480) 557-8300
Fax: (480) 557-8305

tkeller@ij.org

Ari Bargil (FL Bar No. 71454)x 2 South Biscayne Blvd., Suite 3180 Miami, FL 33131 Tel: (305) 721-1600 Fax: (305) 121-1601 abargil@ij.org

Marie Miller (IN Bar No. 34591-53)*
Institute for Justice
901 N. Glebe Road, Suite 900
Arlington, YA 222A3
Tel: (703) 682-9320
Fax: (703) 682-9321
mmiller@ij.org

Attorneys for Nunn Proposed Intervenor- Defendants

Matthew F. Tilley