

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL JACKSON, et al.
Plaintiffs,
v.
JANET NAPOLITANO, et al.
Defendants.

Case No.: 19cv1427-LAB (AHG)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

On September 23, the Court granted in part Defendants’ motions to dismiss, and ordered Plaintiffs to show cause why this action should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. The Court’s reasoning rested, in part, on Plaintiffs’ claims having become moot after Defendant Teamsters Local 2010 refunded union dues that had been withheld from their paychecks. Plaintiffs have now filed notice that they do not intend to file a response, and conceding that this will result in dismissal of the action.


Plaintiffs believe, however, that Defendant Teamsters Local 2010 will reissue their refund checks, and have made this request in their letter. In light of Defendants’ representations in support of their motions to dismiss, this is a reasonable understanding.

///

1 The Court accepts Plaintiffs' concession, and this action is **DISMISSED**
2 **WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, but without leave to amend. This order does not preclude
3 Plaintiffs from seeking reconsideration should Teamsters Local 2010 fail to reissue
4 Plaintiffs' refund checks.

5
6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7 Dated: October 14, 2020

8 
9 _____
10 Honorable Larry Alan Burns
11 Chief United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28