```
1
    Deborah J. La Fetra, Cal. Bar No. 148875
    dlafetra@pacificlegal.org
 2
    Timothy R. Snowball, Cal. Bar No. 317379
 4
    tsnowball@pacificlegal.org
    Pacific Legal Foundation
 5
    930 G Street
 6
    Sacramento, California 95814
    Phone: (916) 419-7111
 7
    Jeffrey M. Schwab (Pro Hac Vice)
 8
    jschwab@libertyjusticecenter.org
 9
    James J. McQuaid (Pro Hac Vice Application to Be Filed)
10
    imcquaid@libertyjusticecenter.org
    Liberty Justice Center
11
    190 South LaSalle Street, Suite 1500
12
    Chicago, Illinois 60603
    Phone: (312) 263-7668
13
    Fax: (312) 263-7702
14
15
    Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
    Michael Jackson and Tory Smith
16
17
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
18
19
                      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
20
    MICHAEL JACKSON, et al.,
                                           3:19-cv-1427-LAB-AHG
21
                Plaintiffs,
                                           STATEMENT REGARDING
22
                                           NOTICE OF RELATED CASE
          V.
23
                                           LOCAL RULE 40
                                           Honorable Larry A. Burns
24
    JANET NAPOLITANO, et al.,
25
                 Defendants.
26
27
28
```

Plaintiffs Michael Jackson and Tory Smith (Plaintiffs) hereby file this Statement Regarding the Notice of Related Case filed by counsel for Defendant Teamsters Local 2010 (Local 2010) on October 7, 2019 (ECF 13). Local 2010's Notice draws this Court's attention to *O'Callaghan and Jenee Misraje v. Napolitano, Teamsters Local 2010, and Xavier Becerra*, Case No. 2:10-cv-02289-JVS-DFM (C.D. Cal.), suggesting that Plaintiffs' case be transferred out of the Southern District and reassigned to Judge Selna, the Central District judge who decided *O'Callaghan*. While *O'Callaghan* and the instant case bear minor similarities, the significant differences between the two cases strongly advise against transfer.

#### 1. No Identical or Substantially Identical Questions of Law

Plaintiffs raise five counts in their Complaint. ECF 1 at 10–18. Only one count—the violation of Plaintiffs' First Amendment rights to free speech and association to refuse to join or financially support a union without their affirmative consent—is similar to any claim brought in *O'Callaghan*. Plaintiffs' other four counts allege additional constitutional violations based on the First Amendment right to receive information and both procedural and substantive due process claims arising out of implementation and enforcement of Senate Bill 866, collectively referred to as the Gag Rule Statutes (ECF 1 at Exh. A), that prohibit public employees from talking to their own employers about payroll deductions, union membership, or their constitutional rights recognized by the Supreme Court's decision in *Janus v. Am. Fed'n of State, Cty., and Mun. Employees, Council 31*, 138 S. Ct. 2448, 2486 (2018). Since the bulk of Plaintiffs' claims involve questions of law of first impression that were never briefed to or considered by Judge Selna in *O'Callaghan* (or any other case), there is no benefit to efficiency or expertise in transferring Plaintiffs' case to his docket.

### 

# 

# 

# 

#### 

#### 

### 

# 

### 

### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

### 

### 

# 

## 

# 

# 2. No Identical or Substantially Identical Transactions, Happenings, or Events

While both *O'Callaghan* and the instant case involve attempts by employees to cease union dues deductions taken without their affirmative consent, Plaintiffs experienced significant additional constitutional injuries due to the Gag Rule Statutes. Their unique experience, which informs four out of five of their claims, is not the same or even substantially identical to the events in *O'Callaghan*. Given these notable differences and their impact on this case, transfer to Judge Selna is unlikely to conserve judicial effort or resources.

#### 3. Transfer Imposes an Unnecessary Burden on Plaintiffs

Plaintiffs reside and work in and around San Diego. ECF 1 ¶¶ 3–4. Their employer, the University of California, San Diego, is obviously located in San Diego. The events giving rise to Plaintiffs' lawsuit all took place in San Diego. ECF 1 ¶¶ 21–56. The instant case was filed in the Southern District, in San Diego. Given these significant connections to San Diego, Local 2010's suggestion that the instant case be transferred to Los Angeles simply because it shares a single issue of law and statewide defendants with a case already decided by a Central District judge is patently unreasonable. Plaintiffs are entitled to participate fully in the prosecution of their case. Imposing a drive to Los Angeles that can take over three hours in traffic, in addition to extra time off work and travel expenses, is a significant and unnecessary burden on Plaintiffs. *Cf. Ferens v. John Deere Co.*, 494 U.S. 516, 528 (1990) (defendants cannot impose an inconvenient forum on plaintiffs to discourage them from exercising their opportunity to choose where to litigate). Logic and fairness dictate that this case should remain in the Southern District.

O'Callaghan and the instant case share only one out of five claims. The events giving rise to the instant case are intertwined with the Gag Rule Statutes, which played no role in O'Callaghan. The Plaintiffs and this lawsuit are firmly rooted in

San Diego, and transfer to Los Angeles would make it needlessly difficult and 1 expensive for Plaintiffs to participate in their own lawsuit. For these reasons, this 2 Court should decline Local 2010's suggestion to transfer this case to Judge Selna in 4 the Central District. 5 Dated: October 8, 2019. Respectfully Submitted, 6 7 /s/ Deborah J. La Fetra 8 DEBORAH J. LA FETRA TIMOTHY R. SNOWBALL 9 Pacific Legal Foundation 10 930 G Street Sacramento, California 95814 11 Phone: (916) 419-7111 12 dlafetra@pacificlegal.org 13 JEFFREY M. SCHWAB 14 JAMES J. MCQUAID 15 Liberty Justice Center 190 South LaSalle Street 16 **Suite 1500** 17 Chicago, Illinois 60603 18 Phone: (312) 263-7668 jschwab@libertyjusticecenter.org 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

-4-

1 2

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that that the foregoing document was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court on October 8, 2019, using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of said filing to the attorneys of record.

-5-

/s/ Deborah J. La Fetra
DEBORAH J. LA FETRA
Pacific Legal Foundation
930 G Street
Sacramento, California 95814
Phone: (916) 419-7111
dlafetra@pacificlegal.org