IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

DAN McCALEB, Executive Editor of THE CENTER SQUARE,))))
v.) Case No. 3:22-cv-00439
MICHELLE LONG, in her official capacity as DIRECTOR of TENNESSEE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS,	 District Judge Richardson Magistrate Judge Frensley) <l< td=""></l<>
Defendant.	,

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

The plaintiff, Dan McCaleb, Executive Editor of The Center Square ("McCaleb" or "Plaintiff"), by and through his undersigned attorneys, hereby files his first motion for temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 65 and LR 65.01. In support of this motion, Plaintiff relies upon the entire record in this matter including the: (1) Complaint ("Compl."); (2) Declaration of Dan McCaleb ("McCaleb Decl."); and (3) Memorandum of Law. Plaintiff states as follows:

- 1. McCaleb is a veteran editor and has worked in journalism for more than 25 years, and he is currently Executive Editor of The Center Square. Most recently, McCaleb served as editorial director of Shaw Media and the top editor of the award winning Northwest Herald in suburban Chicago.¹
- 2. The Center Square was launched in May 2019 to fulfill the need for highquality statehouse and statewide news across the United States.²
- 3. The Center Square's focus is state- and local-level government and economic reporting. As a result of this approach, its readers are better informed about the issues of state and local government and its cost to the citizens whose tax dollars fund governmental decisions. McCaleb Decl. ¶6.
- 4. The Center Square provides extensive news coverage throughout the United States, including the Southeast region and Tennessee.³ McCaleb Decl. ¶10.
- 5. On or about June 6, 2022, McCaleb learned that Michelle Long, in her official capacity as Director of the Tennessee Administrative Office of the Courts ("TAOC"), had promulgated a new closure policy #3.04, preventing public and press access to Tennessee Judicial Conference meetings. A copy of the closure policy is attached to the Compl. as *Exhibit 1*. McCaleb Decl. ¶15.
- 6. This closure policy prevents McCaleb from assigning reporters he supervises to cover future Tennessee Judicial Conference meetings, either virtually

¹ https://www.thecentersquare.com/users/profile/dan%20mccaleb/.

² https://www.thecentersquare.com/site/about/about.html.

³ https://www.thecentersquare.com/tennessee/.

or in person, including meetings beginning on June 15 in Middle Tennessee in Franklin and metropolitan Nashville. McCaleb Decl. ¶16.

- 7. But for the closure policy, as the Executive Editor of The Center Square, McCaleb would make an editorial decision to assign reporters he supervises to report and cover future Tennessee Judicial Conference meetings, either virtually or in person, including upcoming meetings beginning on June 15 in Franklin and Nashville. McCaleb Decl. ¶17.
- 8. As part of McCaleb's duties as Executive Editor, he assigns reporters to cover newsworthy events in Tennessee that he thinks would be keeping within The Center Square's focus on state- and local-level government. McCaleb Decl. ¶11.
- 9. As Executive Editor, McCaleb thinks reporting and covering Tennessee Judicial Conference meetings would be keeping within The Center Square's focus and would engage its readers with essential news, data, and analysis on the state court rulemaking process in Tennessee state courts. McCaleb Decl. ¶12.
- 10. As Executive Editor, McCaleb thinks this because Tennessee Judicial Conference members are required to meet annually to discharge their official duties and deliberate on state court policy and rules, draft legislation, and then submit their recommendations to the General Assembly. McCaleb Decl. ¶13.
- 11. McCaleb seeks declaratory and injunctive relief to stop Director Long from enforcing TAOC's closure policy and ending policy #3.04's ongoing and continuing violation of his First Amendment right of access that prevents public and press access to future Tennessee Judicial Conference meetings, including

upcoming meetings beginning on June 15 in Middle Tennessee in Franklin and metropolitan Nashville. McCaleb Decl. ¶27.

- 12. McCaleb is requesting relief ordering Director Long to provide him with both virtual and in-person access so that he may assign reporters he supervises as Executive Editor of The Center Square to report on future Tennessee Judicial Conference meetings, either virtually or in person, including upcoming meetings beginning on June 15 in Middle Tennessee in Franklin and metropolitan Nashville. McCaleb Decl. ¶28.
- 13. Under the *Richmond Newspapers* two-part test, the First Amendment right of access of the public and press attaches to Tennessee Judicial Conference meetings. *Richmond Newspapers, Inc. v. Virginia*, 448 U.S. 555, 589 (1980)

 (Brennan, J. concurring in the judgment); see also Detroit Free Press v. Ashcroft, 303 F. 3d 681, 682-83, 705 (6th Cir. 2002).
- 14. The First Amendment right of access attaches under the "experience" prong to Tennessee Judicial Conference meetings. *See Detroit Free Press*, 303 F. 3d at 700.
- 15. Meetings of the Judicial Conference of the United States provide the quintessential equivalent proceedings to Tennessee Judicial Conference meetings.
- 16. Federal Judicial Conference meetings are indistinguishable from Tennessee Judicial Conference meetings. Except federal Judicial Conference meetings have historically been open to the public and press for many years.

- 17. It is well-settled "that meetings of bench-bar committees established to recommend rules ordinarily [are] open to the public." Swint v. Chambers County Comm'n, 514 U.S. 35, 48 (1995); see also 28 U.S.C. § 2073(c)(1).
- 18. Members of the Tennessee Judicial Conference meet to discharge their official duties and consider rules, draft legislation, and then submit their recommendations to the General Assembly, just like members of the United States Judicial Conference in their open meetings when they consider rules and submit their recommendations to Congress.
- 19. Under the "logic" prong, the First Amendment right of access attaches to Tennessee Judicial Conference meetings. *See Detroit Free Press*, 303 F. 3d at 700.
- 20. Open Tennessee Judicial Conference meetings would be positive because openness would promote public confidence in the judiciary and transparency in the state court rulemaking process. *Applications of Nat'l Broad. Co.*, 828 F. 2d 340, 347 (6th Cir. 1987)
- 21. Allowing the public and press to observe members at federal Judicial Conference meetings considering rules and making recommendations to Congress has played a significant and positive role in the rulemaking process regarding federal court policy. *See Swint*, 514 U.S. at 48.
- 22. TAOC's closure policy cannot overcome McCaleb's First Amendment right of access to assign reporters to report and cover future Tennessee Judicial Conference meetings, including upcoming meetings in Middle Tennessee. *Press-Enterprise Co. v. Superior Court (Press-Enter. I)*, 464 U.S. 501, 510 (1984).

- 23. The closure policy fails to provide findings to assist a reviewing court to determine whether closure was proper and whether less restrictive alternatives exist. See Press-Enterprise Company v. Superior Court (Press-Enter II), 478 U.S. 1, 13 (1986).
- 24. Although attendees' safety and security are important, a generalized interest in "safety and security" is not a legally compelling interest that can overcome the First Amendment. See Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. v. FTC, 710 F. 2d 1165, 1179 (6th Cir. 1983).
- 25. The policy lacks tailoring because the blanket closure policy prevents the public and press from attending meetings virtually even though virtual attendance at Tennessee Judicial Conference meetings would pose no safety or security risk, much less a physical threat to attendees. See Detroit Free Press, 303 F.3d at 707.
- 26. As set forth in the Memorandum of Law, McCaleb has established he is entitled to a TRO to preserve the status quo that meetings are presumptively open in accordance with the General Assembly's intent under the express text of the Tennessee Judicial Conference statute, Tenn. Code Ann. § 17-3-101, et seq.
- 27. As set forth in the Memorandum of Law, McCaleb's loss of his First

 Amendment rights is an irreparable injury and is immediate in his specific

 situation entitling him to a TRO to preserve the status quo that Tennessee Judicial

 Conference meetings are presumptively open to the public and press.
- 28. As set forth in the Memorandum of Law, McCaleb has satisfied the necessary requirements for this Court to enter a preliminary injunction because he

is likely to prevail on his claim that the First Amendment right of access attaches to Tennessee Judicial Conference meetings, and TAOC closure policy #3.04 cannot overcome his First Amendment right of access.

- 29. Because Plaintiff seeks preliminary injunctive relief for the loss of his First Amendment rights and the state faces no risk of monetary loss or injury, respectfully the Court should exercise its discretion and waive the bond requirement of Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(c) should the Court issue Plaintiff's requested relief. See Appalachian Reg'l Healthcare, Inc. v. Coventry Health and Life Ins. Co., 714 F. 3d 424, 431 (6th Cir. 2013).
- 30. Plaintiff seeks an emergency TRO hearing, and Plaintiff's counsel is available for a scheduled hearing on <u>Tuesday</u>, <u>June 14</u>, <u>2022</u>, as well as the following days this week, including: June 15, June 16, and June 17.
- 31. Plaintiff's counsel **will be** providing advance notice via electronic mail to the Office of the Tennessee Attorney General and Reporter regarding this motion and other associated papers filed today on June 13, 2022, requesting an emergency TRO hearing.
- 32. Plaintiff's counsel will further submit a proposed order to the Court in accordance with LR 65.01(b).

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Issue a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction, later to be made a permanent injunction, restraining and enjoining Director Long from enforcing TAOC closure policy #3.04;

B. Issue a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction, later to be made a permanent injunction, ordering Director Long to provide him with both virtual and in-person access so he can assign reporters to report on future

Tennessee Judicial Conference meetings including those beginning on June 15 in Middle Tennessee in Franklin and the metropolitan Nashville area; and

C. Grant such further relief this Court deems just, proper, and equitable.

June 13, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ M. E. Buck Dougherty III

M. E. Buck Dougherty III, TN BPR #022474
James McQuaid, pro hac vice forthcoming
LIBERTY JUSTICE CENTER
440 N. Wells Street, Suite 200
Chicago, Illinois 60654
312-637-2280-telephone
423-326-7548-mobile
312-263-7702-facsimile
bdougherty@libertyjusticecenter.org
jmcquaid@libertyjusticecenter.org

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Dan McCaleb, Executive Editor of The Center Square

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 13, 2022, a copy of the foregoing Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction was filed electronically via the Court's CM/ECF filing system. Notice of this filing will be sent by operation of the Court to all parties indicated on the electronic filing receipt. Further, I hereby certify that on June 13, 2022, I sent a copy of the foregoing Motion to the Office of the Tennessee Attorney General and Reporter via electronic mail as follows:

Office of the Attorney General & Reporter P.O. Box 20207 Nashville, Tennessee 37202-0207 tnattygen@ag.tn.gov

/s/ M. E. Buck Dougherty III

M. E. Buck Dougherty III, TN BPR #022474